Measurement of the anisotropy in the radial neutral gas pressure.
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Abstract

The rate of radial momentum transported by the neutral gas from a plasma beam to the walls of the container (i.e. the anisotropy in the radial pressure of the neutral gas) has been measured by the force exerted on the plate of a torsion balance. This force is plotted as a function of the neutral gas pressure and magnetic field strength. Some heuristic arguments are given to explain the experimental results.
Apparatus

The measurements were made in an experimental set-up called "CABINET" a detailed description of which is given in Ref. 1. It consists of a cylindrical steel vacuum vessel of 140 cm length and 20 cm diameter, surrounded by magnetic field coils, on top of which is the plasma source, a "duoplasmatron". The plasma drifts out of an emission aperture of 3 mm diameter and streams along the axis of the cylinder.

The anisotropy in the radial pressure of the neutral gas is measured by a torsion balance inserted into a vacuum vessel outside of the magnetic coils and communicating with the plasma chamber through an aperture of 3 cm diameter in the steel cylinder. The neutral molecules which have collided in the plasma beam may reach one plate of the balance, while the ions are held back by the magnetic field (Fig. I).

The angle of rotation of the torsion balance is measured by means of an optical system, as used in a galvanometer. The sensibility of this balance is $3.8 \times 10^{-4}$ dyn/cm with a precision of 10%.

Measurements are made in hydrogen with a pressure of about $10^{-3}$ Torr and with magnetic field strengths between 400 and 2000 gauss. The plasma density in the beam is of the order of $10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$ and the ion temperature is of the order of $10^3$ °K, both depending on neutral gas pressure and magnetic field strength.

General considerations

If a plasma beam streams along the axis of a cylindrical vessel with a temperature $T_i$ higher than the wall temperature $T_w$, we expect a net energy and momentum transport, by the neutral gas, from the plasma beam to the walls. It should then be possible to measure the rate of transport of this momentum by the force exerted on a torsion balance placed near the wall.

1) F. Boeschoten, F. Schwirzke, Nucl. Fusion 2, 54 (1962)
A rough estimation of this force can be given on the basis of some crude hypothesis.

If we suppose that the ions in the beam and the neutral molecules have a Maxwellian velocity distribution at different temperatures, $T_1$ and $T_n$ respectively, we can calculate the mean energy gained by a neutral molecule in a collision with an ion:

$$
\Delta \frac{1}{2} m_n \nu_n^2 = 4 \frac{m_n m_1}{(m_n + m_1)^2} k (T_1 - T_n)
$$

(1)

If we assume further that after a collision $\nu_n = (8/3 \pi)^{1/2} \sqrt{\nu_n^2}$, as it would be for a Maxwellian velocity distribution, we obtain for the momentum:

$$
\Delta m_n \nu_n = \left( \frac{8k m_n}{3 \pi} \right)^{1/2} \left\{ \left( \frac{8m_n m_1 (T_1 - T_n)}{(m_1 + m_n)^2} + 3 T_n \right)^{1/2} - (3 T_n)^{1/2} \right\}
$$

(2)

With the same assumptions we can also calculate the collision rate per unit volume:

$$
N_{n,i} = 2 n_n n_1 \sigma_{1,n} \left( \frac{2k}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} \left\{ \frac{m_1 T_n + m_n T_1}{m_1 m_n} \right\} 1/2,
$$

where $m_n$, $T_n$, $n_n$ and $m_1$, $T_1$, $n_1$ are mass, temperature, and density of the neutral gas molecules and ions, respectively; $\nu_n =$ velocity of neutral gas molecules; $\sigma_{1,n} =$ cross section for a collision between ions and neutral gas molecules; $k =$ Boltzmann constant.

The total momentum gained per unit volume and per second by the neutral gas is, therefore:
\[ N_{n,i} \Delta m_{n} v_{n} = 8 n_{n} n_{i} \frac{\sigma_{i,n}}{\pi} \frac{kT_{n}}{T_{n}} \left( \frac{uT_{i} + T_{n}}{T_{n}} \right)^{1/2} \]

\[ \left\{ \left( \frac{8\mu}{3(1 + \mu)^{2}} \frac{T_{i} - T_{n}}{T_{n}} + 1 \right)^{1/2} - 1 \right\} , \]

where \( \mu = m_{n}/m_{i} \).

Let us now consider an ideal cylinder of radius \( R \) and length \( dz \) around the plasma beam. If we suppose that the neutral gas molecules after a collision have still an isotropic velocity distribution and that there is no radial momentum transported by the neutral gas in the beam direction (which is reasonable if the plasma beam is long enough for all gradients in the beam direction to be negligible), then the radial momentum gained by the neutral gas per second in the volume of this cylinder:

\[ M_{i} = \frac{3}{2} \Delta m_{n} v_{n} N_{n,i} s \ dz, \ s \ being \ the \ cross \ section \ of \ the \ beam \ must \ be \ equal \ to \ the \ rate \ of \ transport \ of \ radial \ momentum \ through \ the \ side \ surface \ \Sigma = 2\pi R dz \ of \ the \ cylinder. \]

The flux of radial momentum per unit surface, i.e. the anisotropy in the radial pressure of neutral gas, at a distance \( R \) from the beam, will, therefore, be:

\[ \Delta P_{i} = \frac{M_{i}}{\Sigma} = \frac{8}{3\pi^{2}} \frac{s}{R} n_{n} n_{i} \sigma_{n} T_{n} \left( \frac{uT_{i} + T_{n}}{T_{n}} \right)^{1/2} \]

\[ \left\{ \left( \frac{8\mu}{3(1 + \mu)^{2}} \frac{T_{i} - T_{n}}{T_{n}} + 1 \right)^{1/2} - 1 \right\} , \]

or because \( n_{n} = P_{n}/(kT_{n}) \), \( P_{n} \) being the neutral gas pressure:

\[ \Delta P_{i} = \frac{8}{3\pi^{2}} \frac{s}{R} n_{i} \sigma_{n} \left( \frac{uT_{i} + T_{n}}{T_{n}} \right)^{1/2} \left\{ \left( \frac{8\mu}{3(1 + \mu)^{2}} \frac{T_{i} - T_{n}}{T_{n}} + 1 \right)^{1/2} - 1 \right\} . \]
If we now assume, in Eq. (5):

\[ \mu = 1 - 2 \]; \quad s \approx 0.5 \text{ cm}^2; \quad \sigma = 2 \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2; \]
\[ T_n = 300^\circ \text{K}; \quad P_n = 10^{-3} \text{ Torr}; \]
\[ R = 30 \text{ cm (distance from the plasma beam to the balance)}; \]
and \( n_2 \approx 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}; \quad T_1 \approx 10^{30} \text{K}; \)

which are reasonable values for our plasma, we obtain
\[ \Delta P_1 \approx 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ dyn/cm}^2 \text{ and on the 4 cm}^2 \text{ plate of our balance a} \]
force \( F \approx 10^{-3} \text{ dyn which is within the sensitivity range of our} \]
device.

**Results**

Fig. 1 to 4 show the rate \( \Delta P_1/P_n \) as a function of the neutral gas pressure for different values of the magnetic field strength \( B \). Fig. 5 to 9 show \( \Delta P_1/P_n \) as a function of \( 1/B \) for different values of \( P_n \).

The order of magnitude of \( \Delta P_1 \) is in agreement with our theoretical estimation. The experimental data may be described by an empirical equation (dotted lines).

\[
\frac{\Delta P_1}{P_n} = A e^{-(h_1 + \frac{h_2}{B})P_n},
\]

where \( A = 1.9 \times 10^{-3} \); \( h_1 = 0.64 \text{ (dyn/cm}^2\text{)}^{-1}; \) \( h_2 = 1.4 \times 10^2 \text{ gauss (dyn/cm}^2\text{)}^{-1} \) are empirically deduced constants.

We may attempt to obtain a similar equation on the basis of our hypothesis. Setting in Eq. (5): \( T_n = \text{const} \) and \( T_1/T_n >> 1 \), we obtain:

\[
\frac{\Delta P_1}{P_n} = \left( \frac{8 \beta \mu}{3n^2 R (1 + \mu) T_n} \sigma \right) n_1T_1 = \text{const} x n_1T_1,
\]
and if the ion temperature and density decrease along the z axis only by collisions with the neutral gas molecules, it is reasonable to set:

\[
\frac{dT_1}{dz} = -\frac{\alpha}{\lambda_1} T_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dn_1}{dz} = -\frac{\beta}{\lambda_1} n_1,
\]

where \( \lambda_1 = 1/n_1 \sigma_{n,i} = kT_n/P_n \sigma_{n,i} \) is the mean free path of the ions for collisions with neutral molecules and \( \alpha = \alpha(z,B) \); \( \beta = \beta(z,B) \) are coefficients which will be, in general, functions of \( z \) and \( B \).

As we have done our measurements at a fixed \( z = 70 \text{ cm} \), we may integrate \( dT_1/dz \) and \( dn_1/dz \) and substitute in Eq. (7):

we obtain:

\[
\frac{\Delta P_1}{P_n} = -\left( \frac{8}{3\pi^2} \frac{s}{R} \frac{\mu}{(1 + \mu)^2} \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \right) \times n_{1T_1}^0 e^{-\left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda_1} + \frac{\beta}{\lambda_1}\right)z} \tag{8}
\]

or

\[
\frac{\Delta P_1}{P_n} = \left( \frac{8}{3\pi^2} \frac{s}{R} \frac{\mu}{(1 + \mu)^2} \frac{\sigma}{\pi} \right) \times n_{1T_1}^0 e^{-\left(\frac{\sigma}{kT_n} + \frac{\sigma}{kT_n}\right)z} P_n \tag{9}
\]

where \( \bar{\alpha} = 1/\bar{z} \int_0^{\bar{z}} \alpha(\tau) d\tau \); \( \bar{\beta} = 1/\bar{z} \int_0^{\bar{z}} \beta(\tau) d\tau \), and \( n_{1T_1}^0 \) are the ion density and temperature at \( z = 0 \).

Eq. (9) shows a dependence of \( \Delta P_1 \) on the neutral gas pressure \( P_n \) which is consistent with the empirically found Eq. (6). Further, if we compare the value of \( \bar{\alpha} / \lambda_1 \) deduced from the axial slope of the ion density measured by a Langmuir probe (a measurement made by Dr. G. Siller), we find that it agrees (by less than a factor 2) with the value of \( \bar{\alpha} / \lambda_1 \) deduced from Eq. (6), if we set \( \bar{\alpha} \ll \bar{\beta} \) and \( \bar{\beta} / \lambda_1 \approx (h_1 + h_2/B) P_n \). It is, however, not possible to make any more quantitative comparison of Eq. (8) with the experimental results, because the parameters contained in it are too poorly known in
CABINET's plasma. It seems, therefore, not worthwhile to continue these measurements on CABINET. However, such measurements could be a useful additional diagnostic tool in other cases: for example, to measure $n_1T_1$ in hot plasma experiments.
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\( \frac{\Delta p_t}{p_n} \) vs. \( p \) for different magnetic fields:
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