

Terahertz Kerr effect

Matthias C. Hoffmann, Nathaniel C. Brandt, Harold Y. Hwang, Ka-Lo Yeh, and Keith A. Nelson

Citation: *Applied Physics Letters* **95**, 231105 (2009); doi: 10.1063/1.3271520

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3271520>

View Table of Contents: <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/apl/95/23?ver=pdfcov>

Published by the *AIP Publishing*

Articles you may be interested in

[Hysteresis and memory factor of the Kerr effect in blue phases](#)

J. Appl. Phys. **114**, 173104 (2013); 10.1063/1.4828477

[Extended Kerr effect of polymer-stabilized blue-phase liquid crystals](#)

Appl. Phys. Lett. **96**, 071105 (2010); 10.1063/1.3318288

[Dynamic Kerr effect responses in the terahertz range](#)

J. Chem. Phys. **122**, 184517 (2005); 10.1063/1.1897695

[Orientational and interaction induced dynamics in the isotropic phase of a liquid crystal: Polarization resolved ultrafast optical Kerr effect spectroscopy](#)

J. Chem. Phys. **120**, 10828 (2004); 10.1063/1.1737293

[Measurement system for optical Kerr effect induced by continuous wave laser](#)

Rev. Sci. Instrum. **69**, 2871 (1998); 10.1063/1.1149027

Frustrated by old technology?

Is your AFM dead and can't be repaired?

Sick of bad customer support?

It is time to upgrade your AFM

Minimum \$20,000 trade-in discount for purchases before August 31st

Asylum Research is today's technology leader in AFM

dropmyoldAFM@oxinst.com

OXFORD
INSTRUMENTS
The Business of Science

Terahertz Kerr effect

Matthias C. Hoffmann,^{1,2,a)} Nathaniel C. Brandt,² Harold Y. Hwang,² Ka-Lo Yeh,² and Keith A. Nelson²

¹Max Planck Group for Structural Dynamics, University of Hamburg, CFEL, Hamburg, Germany

²Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA

(Received 29 July 2009; accepted 12 November 2009; published online 8 December 2009)

We have observed optical birefringence in liquids induced by single-cycle terahertz pulses with field strengths exceeding 100 kV/cm. The induced change in polarization is proportional to the square of the terahertz electric field. The time-dependent terahertz Kerr signal is composed of a fast electronic response that follows the individual cycles of the electric field and a slow exponential response associated with molecular orientation. © 2009 American Institute of Physics.

[doi:10.1063/1.3271520]

The Kerr effect is a change Δn in the optical refractive index, which is quadratic in the externally applied electric field. In the dc limit, this is usually expressed as $\Delta n = K\lambda E^2$ with the Kerr constant K and the vacuum wavelength λ . At optical frequencies, an intensity-dependent modulation of the refractive index $\Delta n = n_2^I I(t)$ is observed, resulting in well-known nonlinear optical effects like self-focusing (Kerr-lensing), self-phase modulation, and birefringence that is usually measured through the depolarization of a separate, weak optical beam in what is conventionally designated the optical Kerr effect (OKE) measurement. The optical Kerr effect¹⁻⁴ can be observed in isotropic materials including liquids and gases that do not possess a second-order contribution $\chi^{(2)}$ to the polarization that leads to a linear dependence on the electric field (Pockels effect). Using ultrashort laser pulses, information about the dynamical responses of liquids on femtosecond time scales can be obtained.⁵⁻⁷ In addition to orientational degrees of freedom, molecular vibrations excited through impulsive stimulated Raman scattering may be observed.

Here we present results in which the refractive index at optical frequencies is perturbed not by optical pulses but single-cycle terahertz pulses. Processes in the terahertz frequency range correspond to timescales on the order of molecular relaxation constants in liquids and glasses, but until recently the terahertz field strengths have been too small to induce observable higher-order nonlinear effects. Due to the phase-stability of terahertz generation processes, a femtosecond optical pulse that is used to produce a terahertz pulse can be used to time-resolve individual cycles of the terahertz field, and we anticipate that the optical pulse also can be used to time-resolve a Kerr response to individual cycles of the terahertz field, in contrast to all-optical Kerr experiments where only the Kerr response to the intensity envelope of the optical excitation pulse can be observed. Z-scan measurements of ZnTe during terahertz generation by an optical pulse⁸ suggested significant terahertz-induced Kerr index change, but conventional OKE and mixed optical/terahertz effects, as well as cascaded $\chi^{(2)}$ effects in the noncentrosymmetric crystal, were also present. Here we present results in centrosymmetric media with only terahertz excitation to

demonstrate unambiguously and generally the terahertz Kerr effect.

The experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1. Single-cycle terahertz pulses with energies exceeding 1.5 μJ were generated by the tilted pulse front technique.⁹⁻¹¹ This method uses noncollinear velocity matching to enhance optical rectification in lithium niobate through tilting of the intensity front of a femtosecond laser pulse with a grating. The generated field was collimated and focused onto the sample where the terahertz intensity exceeded 50 MW/cm². An Infrasil cuvette that is relatively transparent in the far-infrared, with a path length of $L=5$ mm, was used for liquid samples. CS₂, benzene and CCl₄ have low absorption in the terahertz range, so a 5 mm sample length did not significantly diminish the terahertz pulse.

A weak 800 nm probe beam was passed collinearly through the sample at a polarization of 45° with respect to the terahertz polarization. A combination of a quarter-wave plate and a Wollaston prism was used to analyze the change in refractive index. Two balanced photodiodes and a lock-in amplifier were used to record pump-probe data. In order to assess the field strength and temporal shape of the terahertz field at the sample position, electro-optic sampling¹² with a 0.1 mm ZnTe crystal was used.

Since in our experiment, the terahertz excitation pulse and the optical probe pulse travel through the sample to-




FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Experimental setup. terahertz radiation was generated by the tilted pulse front method and focused onto the sample in a 5 mm quartz cell. Light pulses with 800 nm wavelength and 100 fs duration were used to probe the sample, and their induced depolarization was analyzed. (b) The probe polarization at the sample was 45° with respect to the terahertz polarization.

^{a)}Electronic mail: mch@mit.edu.




FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Terahertz Kerr signals obtained from five different liquids. The dotted line indicates the square of the electric field measured by electro-optic sampling with ZnTe. (b) The magnitude of the Kerr signal (shown for CS₂) scales quadratically with the applied terahertz field.

gether, it is important to achieve velocity matching. The time-domain signal is optimal if the phase velocity of the terahertz pulse causing the birefringence and the group velocity of the optical pulse probing it are identical. In this case the optical pulse envelope remains fixed at the same part of the terahertz wave cycle as the two pulses propagate through the thick sample. The matching of the optical (group) refractive index and the terahertz refractive index in our samples allows us to use long path lengths, thus enhancing measurement sensitivity to small refractive index changes.

Figure 2(a) shows results of terahertz-pump/optical-probe scans for CS₂, CH₂I₂, benzene, CCl₄, and chloroform, normalized to unity. The overall signal levels in the different samples reflect the differences in electronic and molecular polarizabilities. CS₂, CH₂I₂, and benzene have the strongest signals, which show slow decays due to the orientational contributions to their polarizabilities^{5,13} as will be discussed in more detail below. The observed signal from CCl₄ is substantially weaker and has no orientational component because of the molecular symmetry. CHCl₃ should show orientational signal but the level is apparently too low to detect above noise in our current measurements. Terahertz Kerr effect responses were also observed from a solid sample, a 150 μm thick crystal of the relaxor ferroelectric KTa_{1-x}Nb_xO₃ (KTN) with x=0.09 at room temperature, in the paraelectric phase. Velocity-matching was not possible in this material because of its high terahertz refractive index, and the signals, which showed a long decay time, are under further study. Surprisingly, nitrobenzene which has a value of $5.8 \times 10^{-20} \text{ m}^2 \text{ V}^{-2}$ for $\chi^{(3)}$ at optical frequencies,¹⁴ roughly twice that of CS₂, yielded no measurable signal. This was also the case for tetrahydrofuran (THF), which is known to have a very low polarizability.

The magnitudes of the observed Kerr signals scale quadratically with the terahertz field amplitude [Fig. 2(b)] as

expected for a $\chi^{(3)}$ process. From the observed magnitude of the Kerr signal, i.e., from the difference signal $\Delta I/I$ in our balanced detection system, the phase retardation $\Delta\phi$ of the probe pulse of frequency ω accumulated while traversing the sample cell with length L can be calculated and the change in refractive index Δn caused by the terahertz field can be deduced from the expressions

$$\frac{\Delta I}{I} = \sin \Delta\phi = \sin \frac{\Delta n \omega L}{c} = \sin \frac{(n_x - n_y) \omega L}{c}. \quad (1)$$

At the maximum terahertz pump strength we obtain $\Delta I/I$ of 2.7×10^{-3} , corresponding to an index change of $\Delta n = 4.3 \times 10^{-6}$, in the case of CS₂. For a terahertz electric field of 150 kV/cm we obtain a Kerr constant K of $2.4 \times 10^{-14} \text{ m/V}^2$ which is close to the dc value for CS₂ of $2.8 \times 10^{-14} \text{ m/V}^2$.¹⁵ In all-optical Kerr measurements, the refractive index change for a weak probe of linearly polarized light at frequency ω' is connected to the nonlinear refractive index n_2 by

$$\Delta n(\omega') = 2n_2 \langle E(\omega)E(\omega) \rangle, \quad (2)$$

and related to the nonlinear susceptibility by $n_2 = [3/(2n_0)]\chi_{xxxx}^{(3)}(\omega'; \omega', \omega, -\omega)$, where n_0 is the unperturbed refractive index. The angled brackets indicate a time average over the electric field cycles. The nonlinear refractive index can also be given in terms of intensity $\Delta n = n_2^I I$ where the relationship between the nonlinear coefficients is given by $n_2^I = n_2 / (\epsilon_0 n_0 c)$, where ϵ_0 the vacuum permittivity. Table I summarizes our results for the observed peak refractive index change and the derived nonlinear constants for the five liquids.

Generally, our values for the nonlinear refractive index are on the same order of magnitude as values reported for all-optical measurements.¹⁴ More quantitative comparison is difficult because in the available literature we found substantial variation in the reported values for n_2 and $\chi^{(3)}$ from OKE measurements.

The time-dependent polarization P can be expressed using a response function $\mathbf{R}(t)$ (Ref. 16)

$$P_{ijkl}^{(3)}(t, \tau) = E_j^{pr}(t - \tau) \int_0^\infty d\tau' R_{ijkl}^{(3)}(\tau') E_k^{pu}(t - \tau') E_l^{pu}(t - \tau'), \quad (3)$$

where τ is the delay between the pump and probe pulses E^{pu} and E^{pr} . The response function may be further separated into electronic and nuclear degrees of freedom $R_{ijkl}^{(3)} = R_{ijkl}^{(3)el} + R_{ijkl}^{(3)nuc}$. For electronically nonresonant frequencies, the electronic response function is essentially instantaneous in time and contains no information about molecular dynamics. The second term contains the inter- and intramolecular con-

TABLE I. Refractive index change Δn , nonlinear refractive index n_2 in terms of intensity, nonlinear susceptibility $\chi^{(3)}(\omega_{\text{THz}}, \omega_{\text{THz}}, \omega_{\text{opt}})$, and rotational relaxation time constant τ_0 for the terahertz Kerr effect in various liquids. The measured terahertz pulse parameters used for the calculation are: duration 1 ps, beam diameter at focus 2 mm, energy 1.5 μJ. These correspond to a terahertz peak field of 150 kV/cm and peak intensity of 50 MW/cm². The fourth column contains reference values from Ref. 14.

Liquid	$\Delta n \times 10^{-6}$	K (10^{-14} m/V)	n_2^I ($10^{-16} \text{ cm}^2/\text{W}$)	n_2^I (Ref. 14) ($10^{-16} \text{ cm}^2/\text{W}$)	$\chi^{(3)}$ ($10^{-20} \text{ m}^2/\text{V}^2$)	τ_0 (ps)
CS ₂	4.3	2.4	440	332	2.08	1.7
Benzene	0.5	0.26	56	168	0.22	2.1
CCl ₄	0.23	0.12	27	15	0.10	-
CHCl ₃	0.086	0.045	10	30	0.04	-
CH ₂ I ₂	1.4	0.75	140	147	0.70	13




FIG. 3. (Color online) Terahertz Kerr signal in (a) CS_2 , (b) CH_2I_2 , and (c) CCl_4 (solid lines). For comparison, the square of the terahertz electric field profile obtained from EO sampling is shown (dotted lines). The dashed (blue) line in (a) is based on a fit to Eq. (4). The insets show data on a log scale together with exponential fits yielding decay constants of 1.7 ps in CS_2 and 13 ps in CH_2I_2 .

tributions to the signal. For anisotropic molecules the main contribution to the nuclear term is from molecular orientational diffusion, which can be approximated to have an exponential decay with time constant τ_0 . The induced difference Δn between the indices of refraction parallel and perpendicular to the terahertz field can then be written as

$$\Delta n(\tau) = n_2^e E^2(\tau) + \frac{n_2^o}{\tau_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} E_{\text{THz}}^2(t) \times e^{-(t-\tau)/\tau_0} dt, \quad (4)$$

where n_2^e contains the instantaneous the electronic contribution and n_2^o the orientational contribution to n_2 . A fit of Eq. (4) to $\Delta n(t)$ for CS_2 yields ratio n_2^o/n_2^e of about 0.4; subtraction of the electronic contribution from the measured response yields the red trace in Fig. 3(a) which gives the purely molecular orientational contribution with orientational relaxation time $\tau_0=1.7$ ps. In the case of diiodomethane (CH_2I_2), we observe a strong electronic contribution as well as a longer exponential contribution with a time constant of 13 ps [Fig. 3(b)]. This relatively large value can be explained by the larger moment of inertia of the diiodomethane molecule. The exponential decay times that we measured are in reasonable agreement with reported values from all-optical Kerr effect measurements.¹³

In CH_2I_2 and CCl_4 , it can be seen that on the short time scale the nonlinear refractive index follows the square of the terahertz field. This is in contrast to all-optical Kerr measure-

ments, where the birefringence change follows the *envelope* of the square of the electric field. CH_2I_2 and CCl_4 are favorable samples for this observation because in the former the electronic Kerr response, which is the part that follows the square of the pump field, is well separated temporally from the much slower orientational response, while in the latter there is no orientational response. A more extensive theoretical model of dynamic responses to terahertz fields¹⁷ may enable spectroscopic exploitation of the fact that induced responses with well defined polarities can be measured. So far we have not observed measurable optical birefringence in polar liquids although terahertz-induced second-order lattice vibrational responses were observed optically in ferroelectric crystals.¹⁸ Therefore a strong molecular dipole does not necessarily facilitate the present class of measurement, and may hinder it through absorption of the terahertz pulse near the front of the sample. Other probing methods may enable detection of nonlinear terahertz pumping of molecular dipolar responses.

We have observed terahertz-induced transient optical birefringence in liquids. The nonlinear refractive index n_2 is generally on the same order of magnitude as in all-optical measurements. In materials with a small or slow contribution of molecular orientation such as CCl_4 and CH_2I_2 , we are able to observe that the electronic part of the system response follows roughly the square of the terahertz electric field. Therefore responses to each terahertz field polarity may be observed distinctly. This may enable novel spectroscopic measurements of responses with well defined orientations in addition to alignments. The terahertz Kerr effect may reveal polarizability dynamics associated with electronic, vibrational, and structural responses in ordered and disordered solids as well as liquids.

This work was supported in part by ONR Grant. No. N00014-06-1-0459.

¹P. D. Maker, R. W. Terhune, and C. M. Savage, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **12**, 507 (1964).

²P. P. Ho and R. R. Alfano, *Phys. Rev. A* **20**, 2170 (1979).

³K. Sala and M. C. Richardson, *Phys. Rev. A* **12**, 1036 (1975).

⁴C. J. Fecko, J. D. Eaves, and A. Tokmakoff, *J. Chem. Phys.* **117**, 1139 (2002).

⁵D. McMorro, W. T. Lotshaw, and G. A. Kenney-Wallace, *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* **24**, 443 (1988).

⁶Q. Zhong and J. T. Fourkas, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **112**, 15529 (2008).

⁷N. A. Smith and S. R. Meech, *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **21**, 75 (2002).

⁸W.-Q. He, C.-M. Gu, and W.-Z. Shen, *Opt. Express* **14**, 5476 (2006).

⁹K.-L. Yeh, M. C. Hoffmann, J. Hebling, and K. A. Nelson, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **90**, 171121 (2007).

¹⁰T. Feurer, N. S. Stoyanov, D. W. Ward, J. C. Vaughan, E. R. Statz, and K. A. Nelson, *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* **37**, 317 (2007).

¹¹J. Hebling, G. Almasi, I. Kozama, and J. Kuhl, *Opt. Express* **10**, 1161 (2002).

¹²C. Winnewisser, P. Uhd Jepsen, M. Schall, V. Schyja, and H. Helm, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **70**, 3069 (1997).

¹³S. Ruhman, L. R. Williams, A. G. Joly, B. Kohler, and K. A. Nelson, *J. Phys. Chem.* **91**, 2237 (1987).

¹⁴R. L. Sutherland, *Handbook of Nonlinear Optics* (Dekker, New York, 2003).

¹⁵A. Yariv and P. Yeh, *Optical Waves in Crystals* (Wiley, New York, 1984).

¹⁶S. Mukamel, *Principles of Nonlinear Optical Spectroscopy* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1995).

¹⁷U. Häberle and G. Diezemann, *J. Chem. Phys.* **122**, 184517 (2005).

¹⁸J. Hebling, K.-L. Yeh, K. A. Nelson, and M. C. Hoffmann, *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* **14**, 345 (2008).