Note: A simple image processing based fiducial auto-alignment method for sample registration
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Accurate and reproducible registration of a sample with an experimental probe (such as a laser or molecular beam) is a necessity for many types of experiments. Included among these are techniques that utilize nanofabricated structures for sample localization, such as self-localizing micro well arrays, microfluidic “lab on a chip” sample sorting and mixing devices, as well as high throughput electron and x-ray crystallography sorting arrays. Sample registration is typically performed using fiducial markers which are manually selected as reference positions using precision translation stages, imaging microscopy, and tedious operator selection which can increase the experiment setup time and introduce reproducibility errors. Toward this end, numerous techniques have been developed to incorporate fiducials onto various sample types and sample holders. More recently, image processing methods have been employed in developing sophisticated techniques to correlate machine vision with accurate and reproducible fiducial location and further to achieve subpixel image resolution enhancement for applications requiring extreme speed and precision.

In this note, we address the need for a widely available and easily implemented method for sample registration by using an image processing algorithm (IPA) available from MATLAB in conjunction with LabVIEW for instrument control. The method can be implemented quickly in any laboratory with access to these software packages. The software uses IPA characterized circular fiducials as a length calibration and center point of circular fiducials for distance self-calibration and iterative alignment and can be used with most imaging systems. The method is demonstrated to be fast and reliable in locating and aligning sample fiducials, provided here by a nanofabricated array, with accuracy within the optical resolution of the imaging system. The software was further demonstrated to register, load, and sample the dynamically wetted array.

A simple method for the location and auto-alignment of sample fiducials for sample registration using widely available MATLAB/LabVIEW software is demonstrated. The method is robust, easily implemented, and applicable to a wide variety of experiment types for improved reproducibility and increased setup speed. The software uses image processing to locate and measure the diameter and center point of circular fiducials for distance self-calibration and iterative alignment and can be used with most imaging systems. The method can be implemented quickly in any laboratory with access to these software packages. The software uses image processing to locate and measure the diameter and center point of circular fiducials for distance self-calibration and iterative alignment and can be used with most imaging systems. The software was further demonstrated to register, load, and sample the dynamically wetted array. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4929408]
nanofabricated fiducial array. Single images of the sample video were selected for each image processing iteration to optimize the overall speed. The readily available, Hough transform based image processing algorithm (imfindcircles, MATLAB, Image Processing Toolbox 8.1) was chosen to identify the fiducial structure on the sample image. The IPA is optimized to locate circular structures with a preset radius range given an imperfect image input and has been shown to be effective in detecting structures under a variety of imaging conditions. The image processing constraints and parameters, radius minimum, radius maximum, selection sensitivity, and pixel edge selection threshold, are set within the LabVIEW user interface and can be adjusted for a variety of sample types, fiducial characteristics and imaging conditions.

The software was optimized for IPA fiducial detection considering the fiducial structural characteristics and imaging conditions (0° to the sample normal). Fiducials on the nanofabricated array were successfully located, as shown in Fig. 2(a). The center point location accuracy was measured to be 3.7 µm, as described in Table I. Following successful image processing, a specific fiducial is selected by the user for alignment. The location of the center and the diameter of the selected fiducial, in camera pixel coordinates, is returned and used to estimate the distance between center of the fiducial mark and the user selected image reference position. The software uses the IPA measured and user input fiducial diameter to convert the camera pixel coordinates to a distance. The piezo stages are then translated to the estimated location to overlap the fiducial center with the reference position. Inaccuracies in IPA measured fiducial diameter and the known fiducial diameter contribute to pixel to distance conversion estimate errors. Further, it was observed that the IPA parameters and imaging conditions could be selected to result in IPA fiducial diameter error as large as 30%, though IPA diameter detection errors do not affect center point location of circular fiducials given they are concentric. Errors of this type are propagated to the distance estimate and increase the iterations necessary for alignment. Conditions were found that resulted in IPA detection that was not concentric to the fiducial and should be avoided to prevent alignment error. Successful alignment of the fiducial center with the reference position was achieved for input error as high as 1.9 times the known fiducial diameter (99 iterations, 130 s). Alignment was achieved within 15 s for the input diameters of 1.5 times the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Viewing angle (deg)</th>
<th>Microscope resolution (µm)</th>
<th>IPA accuracy (µm)</th>
<th>Alignment repeatability (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. Fiducial location accuracy and fiducial alignment reproducibility for the IPA software.

*aRoot mean square, N ≥ 24 determinations.

FIG. 2. Representative images of the IPA applied to the fiducial target, detected structure shown in green circles. Alignment iterations of the image reference position (red circle) with a nanofabricated fiducial, (a) before alignment initiation, (b) immediately following the first, (c) second, and (d) final auto-alignment iteration. IPA detection not shown in (b)–(d) for clarity.
actual fiducial size. As expected, the alignment procedure was successful given smaller input values of the fiducial diameter and simply resulted in an increase in the number of iterations necessary for alignment. The distance calibration method is robust in that it can be used with any length scale fiducial, necessary for alignment. The distance calibration method simply resulted in an increase in the number of iterations for fiducial size. As expected, the alignment procedure was successfully registered the sample and translated the sample to the reference position and to perform the auto-alignment on three fiducials and corrected for sample tilt.

The complete software is demonstrated under non-ideal imaging conditions (35° to the sample normal) for the dynamical wetting and laser ablation of the nanofabricated array as shown in the videos in Fig. 3 (multimedia view). The software was used to select the laser focus as the image reference position and to perform the auto-alignment on three circular fiducial wells for sample registration. This sample registration procedure was successfully completed in less than 15 s. Enhanced alignment repeatability (1 µm) was observed for 35° imaging, possibly due to the higher image contrast providing less variance in the IPA fitting of the fiducials. As demonstrated in Fig. 3 (multimedia view), the software successfully registered the sample and translated the sample wells to the laser focus for ablation before well evaporation (<1 s) following loading by dynamical wetting. Loaded wells are distinguished by their dark appearance. The maximum sampling frequency for the array was approximately 16 Hz (~1000 samples per minute) and was limited by the scanning speed of the piezo stages and the distance between the wells.

In conclusion, we have presented a robust, widely applicable, and readily implemented method for the accurate location and alignment of sample fiducials for sample registration. The method was successfully applied to a novel method of dynamically wetting and sampling nanofabricated wells within the well evaporation time. The method can readily be implemented for high throughput sampling of various types of nanofabricated sample arrays as well as easily modified for other applications including mass spectrometry, optical microscopy, laser etching, electron microscopy, nano-fluidics, and general pump-probe experiments. The software eliminates operator judgment error for fiducial alignment and increases the speed and reproducibility of alignment and sampling. Most importantly, the technique is easily implemented by most laboratories as it only requires MATLAB and LabVIEW or comparable software. The method can be significantly improved to overcome IPA tuning sensitivity and improve accuracy by implementing digital image filtering methods prior to IPA location and auto-alignment.
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