

1 **Title:**

2 The role of hybridization in the evolution and emergence of new fungal plant
3 pathogens

4

5

6

7 **Author:**

8 Eva H. Stukenbrock

9

10

11

12 **Affiliations**

13 Environmental Genomics, Christian-Albrechts University of Kiel, Am Botanischen
14 Garten 9-11, 24118 Kiel, Germany and Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary
15 Biology, August-Thienemann-Str. 2, 24306 Plön, Germany

16

17

18

19

20

21 **Corresponding author**

22 Eva H. Stukenbrock

23 Environmental Genomics, Christian-Albrechts University of Kiel

24 Am Botanischen Garten 9-11, 24118 Kiel, Germany

25 Email: estukenbrock@bot.uni-kiel.de

26 Tlf: +49 (0) 431 880 6369

27

28

29 **Keywords**

30 Hybridization, introgression, plant pathogens, genome evolution, genomic
31 conflicts, host specificities

32

33

34 **Abstract**

35 Hybridization in fungi has recently been recognized as a major force in the
36 generation of new fungal plant pathogens. These include the grass pathogen
37 *Zymoseptoria pseudotritici* and the powdery mildew pathogen *Blumeria graminis*
38 *triticales* of triticale. Hybridization also plays an important role in the transfer of
39 genetic material between species. This process is termed introgressive
40 hybridization and involves extensive backcrossing between hybrid and the
41 parental species. Introgressive hybridization has contributed substantially to the
42 successful spread of plant pathogens such as *Ophiostoma ulmi* and *Ophiostoma*
43 *novo-ulmi* the casual agents of Dutch elm disease and other tree pathogens such
44 as the rust pathogen *Melampsora*. Hybridization occurs more readily between
45 species that have previously not co-existed, so-called allopatric species.
46 Reproductive barriers between allopatric species are likely to be more
47 permissive allowing interspecific mating to occur. The bringing together of
48 allopatric species of plant pathogens by global agricultural trade consequently
49 increases the potential for hybridization between pathogen species. In light of
50 global environmental changes, agricultural development and the facilitated long
51 distance spread of fungal plant pathogens; hybridization should be considered
52 an important mechanism whereby new pathogens may emerge. Recent studies
53 have gained insight into the genetics and biology of fungal hybrids. Here I
54 summarize current knowledge about hybrid speciation and introgressive
55 hybridization. I propose that future studies will benefit greatly from the
56 availability of large genome datasets and that genome data provides a powerful
57 resource in combination with experimental approaches for analyses of hybrid
58 species.

59

60

61

62

63 **1. Introduction**

64 Hybrids emerge from successful genetic crosses of non-conspecific individuals.
65 In fungi hybrids can be generated both by sexual mating and asexual fusion of
66 cells or hyphae (Kohn 2007). Phylogenetic analyses can identify hybrids as
67 intermediate clades with incongruent phylogenetic topologies that reflect the
68 different evolutionary histories of different loci in the genomes of hybrids
69 (Schardl and Craven 2003). Phenotypically hybrids are often characterized by
70 intermediate phenotypes of the parental species (e.g. Greig et al. 2002).

71

72 Pure hybrids are found relatively rare in nature. One reason is that hybrids
73 exhibiting intermediate phenotypes often have a reduced fitness compared to
74 their parents. Due to their non-optimized phenotypes they are outcompeted by
75 their parents if co-existing in the same environment (Barton 2008). Additionally
76 hybrids may suffer negative fitness effects due to genetic incompatibilities of
77 parental alleles. Many allelic combinations in the hybrid will consist of alleles
78 that have not co-evolved in the same genomic background and therefore have
79 not been optimized in parallel by natural selection. Such genomic
80 incompatibilities in hybrids are also referred to as negative epistatic interactions
81 or Dobzhansky-Muller interactions (Kondrashov and Kondrashov 2002; see also
82 Kohn 2007 for discussion about the importance of Dobzhansky-Muller
83 interactions in speciation of fungi). The finding of pure hybrid species in nature
84 however suggests that genomic incompatibilities and fitness effects under some
85 conditions can be overcome (Figure 1A) (e.g. Greig et al. 2012; see also review by
86 Yakimowski and Rieseberg 2014).

87 Another more common result of mating between non-conspecific individuals is
88 introgression by which “transient” hybrids backcross with individuals of the
89 parental species (Arnold 2004 Mallet 2005) (Figure 1B). Repeated backcrossing
90 can “dilute” the hybrid genome to only maintain fragments of the genome of the
91 other parental species (Baack and Rieseberg 2007). Examples from plants,
92 animals and oomycetes suggest that introgressive hybridization can act to
93 transfer adaptive traits between species and thereby be a mechanism that
94 speeds up adaptive evolution (see Arnold 2004 for a review).

95

96 Genomics have increased our understanding of hybrid genetics and have
97 provided new insights into the importance of introgressive hybridization for
98 species evolution (Mallet 2005). In a recent paper using comparative population
99 genomics analyses, Lamichhaney and colleagues explored the adaptive radiation
100 in one of the most famous models in evolutionary biology: Darwin's finches at the
101 Galapagos islands (Lamichhaney et al. 2015). The authors showed evidence for
102 extensive gene flow between species of finches. Clearly introgressive
103 hybridization has played a role in adaptive radiation of finches together with
104 natural selection on standing and introgressed variation. Adaptive introgression
105 has also been identified in other species using genome analyses. Recent
106 examples include *Heliconius* butterflies (Pardo-Diaz et al. 2012), monkeyflowers
107 (Stankowski and Streisfeld 2015) and fungal plant pathogens causing mildew
108 (Menardo et al. in press). Together these studies demonstrate that hybridization
109 in diverse organismal groups has been instrumental in the formation of adaptive
110 diversity.

111

112 Among plant associated fungi (pathogens, endophytes or symbionts) there are
113 several well-characterized hybrid systems including the grass endophyte
114 *Epichloe* (Schardl 2001), the grass pathogen *Zymoseptoria pseudotritici*
115 (Stukenbrock et al. 2012), and the triticale infecting mildew pathogen *Blumeria*
116 *graminis f. sp. triticales* (Menardo et al. in press). Moreover introgressive
117 hybridization has been documented in several systems such as the Dutch Elm
118 disease pathogens *Ophiostoma ulmi* and *Ophiostoma novo-ulmi* (Brasier et al.
119 1998; Brasier and Kirk 2010), and the anther smut pathogens *Microbotryum*
120 *silene-dioicae* and *M. lychnidis-dioicae* (Gladieux et al. 2011).

121 Hybridization has been proposed a major force in the evolution of plant
122 pathogens and in particular the emergence and adaptation of crop infecting
123 pathogens (Brasier 2000 Brasier 2001). Global trade moves pathogens and hosts
124 around the world and thereby brings together species, which previously did not
125 co-exist (Brown and Hovmøller 2002). Introduced pathogens provide a threat to
126 naïve hosts (cultivated as well as wild plants). Another concern is the potential of
127 hybridization between introduced and native pathogen species. As will be
128 discussed in more details below, reproductive barriers between species that

129 have previously not co-existed can be more permissive and thereby allow
130 hybrids to be formed more readily (e.g. Anderson et al. 1980, Stenlid and
131 Karlsson 1991 Dettman et al. 2003). The result can be either the emergence of
132 new hybrid pathogen species or the transfer of genetic material between
133 pathogen species.

134

135 In this review, I will present examples of hybrid fungal plant pathogens, and I
136 will discuss the genetic basis of hybrid formation in the context of adaptive
137 evolution. I propose that more studies integrating genomic and experimental
138 data are essential to understand the biology and evolution of hybrids fungal
139 plant pathogens in natural environments as well as agro-ecosystems.

140

141 **2. How hybrids arise**

142 A hybrid is the offspring of two non-conspecific individuals (Mallet, 2007).
143 Normally, reproductive barriers act to maintain the genetic integrity of species
144 and prevent the introduction of “foreign” DNA by mating with non-conspecific
145 individuals (Orr 1995). It may however occur that hybrids can form if
146 reproductive barriers are permissive, allowing mating between individuals of
147 different species. To understand how reproductive barriers can be permissive,
148 we first need to identify the underlying genetics of reproductive barriers.
149 Detailed studies in the two ascomycete model species *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*
150 and *Neurospora crassa* have shed light on speciation genetics and reproductive
151 isolation in fungi (Dettman et al. 2008; Dettman et al. 2010, Turner et al. 2011).
152 These studies have documented how both pre-zygotic and post-zygotic
153 reproductive barriers either impair the formation of hybrid zygotes (pre-zygotic
154 reproductive barriers) or severely decrease fitness of hybrid offspring (post-
155 zygotic reproductive barriers). Dettman and colleagues used an experimental
156 evolution approach to generate two diverged yeast populations adapted to
157 distinct environments, high salinity (S) and low glucose minimal (M) media
158 (Dettman et al. 2010). As expected, fitness of S-M hybrids was severely reduced
159 compared to fitness of the parental populations. However, the hybrids were also
160 impaired in meiotic efficiency suggesting additional genomic “defects”. The
161 meiotic impairment could be linked to antagonistic interactions between

162 diverged alleles brought together in the SM hybrids. Dettman and colleagues
163 demonstrated how rapidly (500 cell transfers) negative interactions can arise
164 between diverging populations. Moreover the study shows that one or few
165 genetic incompatibilities may be sufficient to prevent mating between diverged
166 populations and thereby be the drivers of incipient speciation.

167 Turner and colleagues took a different approach to assess the underlying genetic
168 traits of incompatibility between sympatric strains of *N. crassa* and *N. intermedia*
169 (Turner et al. 2011). The authors generated hybrids of allopatric and sympatric
170 strains of the two *Neurospora* species and used a quantitative trait locus (QTL)
171 approach to identify the genetic traits associated with pre-zygotic reproductive
172 barriers. By crossing sympatric and allopatric conspecific and non-conspecific
173 strains it was shown that hybrid fruiting bodies of sympatric crosses are aborted
174 at a significantly higher frequency than hybrid fruiting bodies of allopatric
175 species. This finding is in agreement with the presence of reinforced
176 reproductive isolation between co-existing *N. crassa* and *N. intermedia* strains.
177 Interestingly, maternal *N. crassa* colonies that aborted fruiting bodies in crosses
178 with sympatric *N. intermedia* strains were subsequently able to mate normally
179 with a conspecific partner. In comparison, a maternal *N. crassa* strain already
180 fertilized by a conspecific partner (sympatric or allopatric) could not produce
181 new fruiting bodies in a second round of fertilization with a con-specific partner.
182 A key finding in the study by Turner and colleagues is the identification of
183 genetic traits underlying fruiting body abortion and thereby the reinforced
184 reproductive barriers (Turner et al. 2011). These major QTLs located on the
185 mating type determining chromosome of *Neurospora* and associated with con-
186 specific reproduction represent interesting candidates in future studies of
187 speciation genomics of *Neurospora*.

188 Reinforced reproductive barriers between sympatric species have also been
189 elegantly demonstrated by in vitro crossing experiments in other ascomycetes
190 including wild populations of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Kuehne et al. 2007) and
191 in Basidiomycetes such as *Armillaria mella* (Anderson et al. 1980) and
192 *Heterobasidion annosum* (Stenlid and Karlsson 1991).

193

194 We know very little about reproductive barriers in plant pathogenic fungi, although
195 important insight has been gleaned from studies of the anther smut fungus
196 *Microbotryum* (Le Gac et al. 2007a Yockteng et al. 2008; Gladieux et al. 2011).
197 Studies of *Microbotryum* have shown an effect of pre-zygotic reproductive
198 barriers on hybridization between the two species *M. lychnidis-dioicae* and *M.*
199 *silene-dioicae* and show a central role of host-dependent effects on hybrid fitness
200 and establishment (Büker et al. 2013). The nature of these effects is not known
201 in the *Microbotryum* system. However, they are likely to involve an optimal
202 repertoire of effector genes and associated regulatory machinery essential for
203 successful host infection. An optimal intact combination of effector genes is likely
204 not preserved in hybrid plant pathogen genomes (Le Gac et al. 2007a). Studies
205 from *Microbotryum* and another plant associated fungus, *Epichloe*, document that
206 specialization to distinct hosts is a primary barrier for interspecific mating
207 between pathogens (Le Gac et al. 2007b; Schirrmann and Leuchtman 2015).
208 Pathogens belonging to distinct species may never encounter each other if they
209 are not compatible on the same host. Hybridization will in this case only be
210 possible if the sexual cycle is detached from host infection or if the life cycle of
211 both parental species includes a saprotrophic stage where hyphal fusions can
212 occur. For most plant pathogens, the biology of growth outside the host is still
213 poorly known.

214

215 **3. The hybrid genome: conflicts and potentials**

216 The formation of a fungal hybrid can occur by sexual mating between parental
217 species or fusion of hyphae or vegetative cells. Fusion of vegetative cells can be
218 followed by parasexual mating where mitotic crossover generates recombined
219 hybrid cells (Scharidl and Craven 2003). The outcome of hybridization can be an
220 off-spring with the same chromosome number as the parent, known as a
221 homoploid hybrid, or it can be a hybrid with an increased chromosome number
222 known as an allopolyploid or heteroploid hybrid (Mallet, 2007).

223 The genome structure can have a determining effect on fitness and evolution of
224 the hybrid (Yakimowski and Rieseberg, 2014). First of all, the hybrid can be
225 affected by genomic incompatibilities between interacting alleles. Secondly, the
226 consequence of chromosome number changes can be reproductive isolation.

227 This is the case when backcrossing with the parental species is prevented due to a
228 novel chromosome structure in the heteroploid hybrid.

229 We first consider genomic incompatibilities: Examples of hybrid sterility and
230 inferior fitness observed in plants, animals, and fungi support the hypothesis
231 that incompatibilities between individual genes with major effects, or larger
232 numbers of genes with a cumulative effect, are responsible for deleterious effects
233 in hybrids (Orr 1995). As found by Dettman and colleagues, divergently adaptive
234 yeast strains rapidly evolve genetic incompatibilities, negative epistasis that
235 lower mitotic fitness in yeast hybrid cells (Dettman et al. 2010). When the
236 authors dissected the underlying genetic nature of the evolved incompatibilities
237 in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* they found that two genes involved in cell wall
238 synthesis were underexpressed in the hybrid while two other genes involved in
239 sporulation were overexpressed (Dettman et al. 2010; Parreiras et al. 2011).
240 Regulatory defects in hybrids were also shown in crosses of *S. cerevisiae* and
241 *Saccharomyces bayanus* (Lee et al. 2008) suggesting that negative epistatic
242 interactions in hybrids often are determined by non-optimal expression of genes.
243 Several examples of successful hybrids suggest that genomic incompatibilities in
244 some cases, under some circumstances can be overcome (Mallet, 2007). In
245 heteroploid hybrids, the genomic contents of both parents may be entirely or
246 partly retained, and genomic incompatibilities in the form of negative epistasis
247 can be reduced, when both parental alleles are expressed. Instead, it is possible
248 that incompatibilities relating to gene dosage may become relevant. Moreover,
249 negative fitness effects caused by genomic incompatibilities can under some
250 circumstances be “overruled” by positive fitness effects in hybrids. As will be
251 discussed below, hybrids can also contain new advantageous allele combinations
252 that contribute to new phenotypic characteristics and that allow them to explore
253 niches not occupied by the parental species (Greig et al. 2002).

254

255 As mentioned above, the chromosome content and structure of hybrids have
256 implications for the ability to backcross with parental species. Thereby, the
257 evolutionary potential of heteroploid and homoploid hybrids is not the same and
258 hybrid speciation is considered more likely in heteroploid hybrids (Yakimowski
259 and Rieseberg, 2014). This is because the altered genome structure of the hybrid

260 can act as a reproductive barrier and prevent backcrossing to any of the parents.
261 In contrast, backcrossing is a more probabilistic outcome in homoploid hybrids
262 where chromosome number is retained. In other words, introgressive
263 hybridization is a more probably outcome with homoploid hybrids that share the
264 same chromosome composition as their parents (Yakimowski and Rieseberg
265 2014).

266

267 Several studies have in recent years documented that some fungal plant
268 pathogens can tolerate and even benefit from high levels of genomic plasticity,
269 including rearrangements, dynamics of repetitive islands, and accessory
270 chromosomes (see reviews by Raffaele and Kamoun 2012; Croll and McDonald
271 2012; Seidl and Thomma 2014). To what extent hybrid incompatibilities can be
272 overcome in genomes that already frequently undergo rearrangements should
273 be a central question in future studies of hybrid plant pathogens. In this context,
274 it may be considered that fungi typically produce millions of spores; therefore,
275 many “hybrid experiments” can be tested by natural selection. One or few spores
276 with the right combination of genes can be enough for the establishment of a fit
277 hybrid lineage.

278

279 **4. The ecological niche of hybrids**

280 Not only do genomic conflicts constrain hybrids, but also their level of fitness in a
281 new niche or one co-occupied by parental species. The parents are expected to be
282 considerably better adapted in their respective environments relative to hybrids
283 of intermediate genotypes and phenotypes. Hybrids may however contain new
284 gene combinations that contribute new phenotypic characteristics, allowing
285 them to exploit other environmental niches not occupied by the parental species
286 (Le Gac et al. 2007b; Schirrmann and Leuchtman 2015). In yeast, experimental
287 evolution studies revealed that hybrid progenies of *S. cerevisiae* and
288 *Saccharomyces paradoxus* under certain intermediate environmental conditions
289 can exhibit higher fitness than their parents (Greig et al. 2002). Similar hybrid
290 fitness advantages in plant pathogens have, as mentioned above, been described
291 for hybrids of *Ophiostoma*, *Verticilium*, and *Microbotryum* (Brasier and Kirk
292 2010; Inderbitzin et al. 2011; Gibson et al. 2014). The ability to colonize a new

293 host niche can be the “key” to establishment without resource competition
294 between parental and hybrid lineages.

295 Also host expansion through introgressive hybridization has been reported for a
296 number of pathogens including several oomycete species in the genera
297 *Phytophthora* and *Albugo* (e.g. Brasier et al. 2004; Goss et al. 2011; McMullan et
298 al. 2015). *Albugo candida* is an obligate biotrophic parasite, comprising a number
299 of races specialized to infect distinct *Brassicaceae* host species. While the
300 genomes of these different races are clearly distinct, they also show signatures of
301 recurrent introgression, including the transfer of host-specific effector
302 determinants (McMullan et al. 2015). Introgressive hybridization is thereby a
303 mechanism by which new host specificities of *A. candida* can rapidly emerge and
304 be transferred between species. The role of introgressive hybridization in
305 adaptation and ecological speciation of fungal plant pathogens is only studied in
306 a few systems (Le Gac et al. 2007b; Schirrmann and Leuchtman 2015). The
307 acquisition of genome data from an increasing number of species will however
308 provide new possibilities for future studies of introgression between plant
309 pathogens species of wild and cultivated plants.

310

311 **5. The population genetics of hybrids**

312 Different evolutionary mechanisms, including natural selection, gene flow,
313 recombination and genetic drift shape the population genetic structure of
314 populations of plant pathogens (McDonald and Linde 2002). The population
315 genetic structure of a hybrid plant pathogen may differ considerably from the
316 population genetic structure of the parental species. Consequently, populations
317 of hybrids may evolve by mechanisms other than the parental species.

318 Hybridization can be associated with a substantial loss of genetic variation as
319 observed in the grass pathogen *Zymoseptoria pseudotritici* (Stukenbrock et al.
320 2012). This will be the case when hybrids are formed by a single cross or by few
321 crosses. In the absence of gene flow and backcrossing, the build up of new
322 genetic variation will be determined by the acquisition of new mutations. In this
323 case, the hybrid population will resemble a founder population characterized by
324 low levels of genetic variation and strong effects of genetic drift (Barton and
325 Charlesworth 1984). Hybrids may also emerge as clones that do not undergo

326 sexual recombination, e.g. *Verticilium longisporum* a pathogen of cruciferous
327 plants (Inderbitzin et al. 2011). Comparative genome studies of fungal plant
328 pathogens have shown that adaptive genetic diversity can be generated by other
329 mechanisms than sexual recombination (Seidl and Thomma 2014). Adaptive
330 genetic diversity can evolve in repeat-rich and fast evolving genome
331 compartments (e.g. Klostermann et al. 2011, Rouxel et al. 2011). Clonal hybrids
332 may likewise benefit from rapidly evolving repeat-rich regions.

333 Hybrids that undergo recurrent backcrossing will receive new genetic variation
334 from the parental species. If the extent of backcrossing is high then the genetic
335 differentiation between the hybrid and the parental species will decrease
336 proportionally (Arnold, 2004). Below, I briefly consider different population
337 genetics parameters in the context of hybridization of plant pathogens. Further
338 detailed theoretical analyses of hybrid evolution are presented in reviews by Orr
339 (1995), Baack and Rieseberg (2007) and Barton (2008).

340

341 *Effective population size and genetic drift:* The parameter “effective populations
342 size” termed N_e reflects the amount of genetic diversity that can be passed on
343 from one generation to the next in a population (Wright 1938). Depending on
344 the “format” of the hybridization event, hybrids may emerge with small effective
345 population sizes resembling that of a founder population. In species with small
346 effective population sizes, the effect of genetic drift will be more severe. Genetic
347 drift refers to the random loss of genetic variation and such an effect can
348 potentially aid the negative effect of genomic incompatibilities. In populations
349 with many alleles of a particular gene (high effective population size), the effect
350 of genetic drift is small; however in populations with fewer alleles (low effective
351 population size), the effect is high (Barton and Charlesworth 1984).

352

353 *Gene flow:* Gene flow mediates the transfer of genetic variation between
354 populations and may be the source of new genetic variation into the hybrid
355 population. Introgressive hybridization is the extreme case in which the hybrid is
356 a “transient” state in the exchange of genetic material between the two parental
357 species (Arnold 2004; Mallet 2005). As discussed above, the extent of
358 backcrossing will be determined by the nature of reproductive barriers between

359 the hybrid and the parental species, which for example may be a difference in
360 genome composition for heteroploid hybrids. Furthermore, the ecological niches
361 occupied by the species will affect rates of gene flow (Giraud et al. 2010). Distinct
362 host compatibilities can act as reproductive barriers between plant pathogens
363 and thereby also isolate hybrids from gene flow (Giraud et al. 2010).

364 Lastly, gene flow between species can be facilitated by human mediated long
365 distance dispersal of plant pathogens (Brown and Hovmøller, 2002). This has for
366 example been the case for the ascomycete fungus *O. ulmi*, the casual agent of
367 Dutch elm disease (Brasier 2000). Intercontinental dispersal of the two species
368 *O. ulmi* and *O. novo-ulmi* led to the emergence of rare hybrids that mediated the
369 transfer of genetic material between *Ophiostoma* species by introgressive
370 hybridization (Brasier, 2001).

371

372 *Natural selection:* As already pointed out above, the hybrid genome, in contrast
373 to the genomes of the parental species, was never tested in the same extent by
374 natural selection and comprises gene combinations that did not evolve in parallel
375 (Barton 2006). To which extent hybrids can overcome such genomic
376 incompatibilities depends on multiple factors such as the genetic composition of
377 the hybrid, the nature of negative epistatic interactions, and the interplay of
378 environment, selection and genetics in the hybrid (Barton 2001). As shown
379 experimentally for yeast hybrids (Greig et al. 2002), a particular intermediate
380 “recombinant” environment can provide an optimal niche for a hybrid where it
381 has a selective advantage compared to the parental species.

382

383 *Recombination and mating systems:* Reproduction of fungal plant pathogens
384 ranges from purely clonal reproduction (e.g. *Verticilium dahliae*) to obligate
385 outcrossing (e.g. *Ustilago maydis*) (McDonald and Linde 2002). Furthermore
386 sexually reproducing species can be heterothallic (mating between compatible
387 strains of opposite mating types) or homothallic (when self-fertilization is
388 possible) (Lin and Heitman 2007). In this wide range of reproductive modes and
389 mating systems, hybrids can form in different ways and possess different types
390 of mating systems similar or distinct to the mating systems of their parents.

391 In hybrids that are able to undergo meiosis, recombination may be important in
392 combining the right parental alleles required for successful establishment and
393 propagation. Recombination can also be instrumental in breaking down genomic
394 incompatibilities between parental traits. Furthermore, as in all sexually
395 reproducing organisms, recombination in the hybrid can act to speed up
396 adaptive evolution through the fixation of beneficial mutations and the removal
397 of deleterious and non-adaptive variants from the genome (Marais and
398 Charlesworth 2003; Goddard et al. 2005).

399 Sexual recombination has been a main driver in the emergence and evolution of
400 the hybrid *Z. pseudotritici*, a close relative of the heterothallic ascomycete *Z.*
401 *tritici* (Stukenbrock et al. 2012). Consistent with the mating type structure in *Z.*
402 *tritici* we found both mating types present among individuals of the hybrid *Z.*
403 *pseudotritici* (Waalwijk et al. 2002). Furthermore, the genome of this hybrid is
404 shaped by recombination between conspecific strains suggesting that mating
405 behavior resemble mating in *Z. tritici* and other non-hybrid relatives.

406 In contrast to *Z. pseudotritic*, hybrids of *Epichloe* are asexual while non-hybrids
407 include both asexual and sexual species (Charlton et al. 2014). *Epichloe* hybrids
408 are abundant and are considered to increase the diversity of alkaloids produced
409 to enhance host protection against herbivores (Charlton et al. 2014).

410

411 *Parasexuality and dikaryosis:* Parasexuality refers to the transfer of genetic
412 material between individuals without meiotic recombination and the formation
413 of fruiting bodies. Some asexual pathogens can benefit from parasexual mating
414 by the formation of new genotypes (Hickman et al. 2015). Parasexuality can also
415 be instrumental in the formation of hybrids (Schardl and Craven, 2003; Roach
416 and Heitman 2014).

417 The first step of parasexual reproduction in fungi is the fusion of vegetative cells
418 or hyphae. Normally, vegetative compatibility in both Ascomycetes and
419 Basidiomycetes is governed by genetic programs that prevent fusion with non-
420 conspecific individuals (Glass et al. 2000; Worall 1997). In cases where
421 vegetative compatibility systems fail to prevent inter-specific fusions, a
422 heterokaryon will be formed. Heterokaryons of incompatible strains are often
423 unstable and revert into homokaryons or they show reduced growth and fitness

424 (Kausserud et al. 2012). But in some cases the heterokaryon can convert into
425 heteroploid or haploid cells or hyphae by parasexual nuclear fusion and mitotic
426 cross-over of chromosomes. Recent studies of the human asexual pathogen
427 *Candida albicans* show that parasexual mating can generate a diverse population
428 of progenitors differing in ploidy and chromosome numbers (Hickman et al.
429 2015). In the same way parasexual mating between non-conspecific partners can
430 give rise to a diverse population of heteroploid hybrid individuals from which
431 natural selection can “pick out” fit genotypes.

432

433 **6. Recent examples of plant pathogenic fungal hybrids**

434 ***Zymoseptoria pseudotritici* – a one-time fit combination**

435 *Z. pseudotritici*, a close relative of the prominent wheat pathogen *Z. tritici*, was
436 isolated from two distinct grass hosts *Elymys repens* and *Dactylis glomerata* in
437 the north of Iran (Stukenbrock et al. 2012). Population genomic sequence data of
438 the haploid *Z. pseudotritici* revealed a peculiar mosaic genome structure with
439 long segments completely lacking variation (Stukenbrock et al. 2012). The non-
440 variable segments were found interspersed by regions of unusual high sequence
441 variation. The variable segments consistently exhibited only two haplotypes
442 differing by 30 SNPs per 1kb on average, corresponding to 3% nucleotide
443 variation between haplotypes.

444 A genomic region with comparatively low levels of genetic variation often
445 indicates a selective sweep where a beneficial allele in a population of
446 individuals through time has been fixed by natural selection. In the case of *Z.*
447 *pseudotritici*, however, the non-variable segments were interspersed between
448 variable regions always comprising two haplotypes. This mosaic genome
449 structure of *Z. pseudotritici* did not support a selective sweep model. We instead
450 propose that hybrid speciation explains this peculiar genomic mosaic in *Z.*
451 *pseudotritici* and postulated that the species was formed by a single
452 hybridization event between two individual from two closely related species or
453 lineages about 3% divergent from each other. The non-variable segments in the
454 genome are chromosomal regions that were transmitted from one parent to all
455 hybrid progeny. The variable segments comprising two haplotypes are
456 chromosomal regions that were transmitted to the hybrid swarm from both

457 parents. Using the correlation of the length distribution of variable segments
458 with recombination rate and generation time of *Z. pseudotritici* it was possible to
459 estimate the number of recombination events that have occurred since the
460 hybridization event forming *Z. pseudotritici*. From the generation time of *Z.*
461 *pseudotritici* we found that the mosaic genome of *Z. pseudotritici* results from
462 approximately 400 recombination events between individuals of the hybrid
463 swarm. The lack of additional polymorphism in the non-variable segments
464 indicates that backcrossing to the parental species did not occur after the initial
465 hybridization event.

466 *Z. pseudotritici* was found to occur frequently on grasses collected at five plots
467 along a 500 km transect in Iran. Individuals from the parental species were not
468 collected from grasses at the same plots at subsequent collecting trips in the
469 same area (M. Javan-Nikkhah, M. Zala, B.A. McDonald and E.H. Stukenbrock,
470 unpublished data) suggesting that the hybrid has invaded a completely new
471 niche (in time or space) or outcompeted both parental species.

472 *Z. pseudotritici* is an excellent example of recent hybrid speciation of a fungal
473 plant pathogen. A number of questions remain to be addressed in this hybrid
474 system: which hosts did the parental species colonize? Why did only one
475 successful hybrid clone emerge from the parental species? How has successful
476 adaptation over multiple generations been possible following extreme loss of
477 genetic variation? Further dissection of the genome evolution in *Z. pseudotritici*
478 as well as more extensive sampling at the center of origin of *Z. pseudotritici*
479 including *Septoria* pathogens from non-*Poaceae* hosts will provide further
480 insight into the origin and evolution of this hybrid.

481

482 ***Microbotryum* – incomplete reproductive barriers and introgression**

483 The species complex of the anther smut fungus *Microbotryum violaceum*
484 (Basidiomycota) provides an excellent model system to address the importance
485 of introgressive hybridization among closely related plant pathogens (e.g. Le Gac
486 et al. 2007a, Le Gac et al. 2007b; Giraud et al. 2008; Gladieux et al. 2011).
487 Gladieux and colleagues addressed the extent of hybridization between the two
488 species *M. lychnidis-dioicae* and *M. silene-dioicae* using population genetic
489 analyses and coalescent models (Gladieux et al. 2011). *M. lychnidis-dioicae* and *M.*

490 *silene-dioicae* are ecologically isolated from reproduction as they each are
491 specialized to distinct *Silene* hosts. In a large collection of *Microbotryum* isolates,
492 the authors identified only a small number of hybrids (15 out of 1028 isolates)
493 based on microsatellite data and a Bayesian clustering algorithm. Few isolates
494 were assigned as “cross-species” isolates, i.e. isolated assigned to either *M.*
495 *lychnidis-dioicae* and *M. silene-dioicae* but isolated from the original host of the
496 other *Microbotryum* species (Gladieux et al. 2011). These “cross-species” isolates
497 show that host-defined pre-zygotic reproductive barriers can be permissive
498 allowing isolates from distinct hosts to cross with each other. By applying an
499 “Isolation-with-migration” coalescence model to the dataset, the authors were
500 able to date the divergence of *M. lychnidis-dioicae* and *M. silene-dioicae* and to
501 estimate when gene flow between species occurred (Gladieux et al. 2011).
502 Consistent with the low frequency of hybrids, analyses of gene flow revealed
503 recent divergence times and low rates of gene flow between the two
504 *Microbotryum* species. Although the two pathogen-species co-exist in the same
505 environment, reproductive barriers determined by the host may be sufficient to
506 prevent the long-term establishment of hybrid individuals. Nevertheless,
507 recurrent introgressive hybridization between *Microbotryum* species may allow
508 the transfer of new adaptive traits between species and eventually promote the
509 evolution of new host specificities. Experimental back-crossings of *M. lychnidis-*
510 *dioicae* and *M. silene-dioicae* hybrids have demonstrated a mating type effect on
511 inter-specific conjugation formation (Büker et al. 2013). This could suggest that
512 not only host factors determine reproductive barriers but in addition, as also
513 observed in *N. crassa* (Turner et al. 2011), mating type related factors.
514 Comparative genome analyses may in the future allow the identification of
515 introgressed traits in *Microbotryum* species. Which and how many genes
516 determine host specificity in the *Microbotryum* system still needs to be
517 determined. An intriguing question will be whether these genes have been
518 introgressed between species as predicted.

519

520 ***Epichloe* – hybrids and the evolution of host specificities**

521 The ascomycete endophyte *Epichloe* is the main fungal model for studies of
522 hybridization and the impact of hybridization on phenotypes *in planta*. Species of

523 *Epichloe* colonize the apoplast of pooid grasses. The endophyte has little effect on
524 the vegetative tissue of its host; however, during sexual reproduction *Epichloe*
525 can negatively affect the development of flowering shoots by formation of fungal
526 sexual structures in these plant parts, causing the so-called “choke” disease
527 (Schardl et al. 2004). Sexual reproduction of the pathogenic *Epichloe* species is
528 thereby tightly associated with reproductive success of the host. *Epichloe* also
529 includes mutualistic endophytic species that confer a fitness advantage to their
530 host, for example by the production of anti-herbivore alkaloids (Schardl 2001).
531 Interestingly, several mutualistic *Epichloe* species have been identified as
532 hybrids. These hybrids do not originate from interspecific sexual mating, as
533 mating populations in the field can be clearly distinguished according to sexual
534 compatibility and host specificities (Schirrmann and Leuchtmann 2015). Rather,
535 hybrids are asexual lineages that originate from vegetative fusions between
536 hyphae of different *Epichloe* species (Tsai et al. 1994; Shoji et al. 2015).

537 Asexual *Epichloe* species were previously classified in the genus *Neotyphodium*,
538 but they were recently attributed to the genus *Epichloe* (Leuchtmann et al.
539 2014). Interestingly, phylogenetic analyses show that asexual species frequently
540 are heteroploid hybrids emerged from interspecific hyphal fusions of other
541 *Epichloe* species (Moon et al. 2004; Shoji et al. 2015).

542 In a recent paper, Shoji and co-workers assessed the fate of nuclei and organelles
543 during vegetative hyphal fusions in different *Epichloe* species (Shoji et al. 2015).
544 Cytological analyses of interspecific hyphal fusions showed that distinct types of
545 nuclei never co-existed. Viable hybrids are therefore formed by nuclear fusion
546 and the formation of allodiploid hyphae. The authors also addressed the
547 frequency of interspecific hyphal fusions and could with quantitative analyses
548 show that interspecific hyphal fusions are rare. Nevertheless in nature
549 interspecific hybrids of *Epichloe* are found frequently. The authors propose that
550 established hybrids could have a fitness advantage through host specificities
551 acquired by the hybridization event allowing them to rapidly increase in
552 frequency. It is also possible that *in-planta* conditions promote hyphal fusions to
553 an extent not reproduced experimentally.

554 Interestingly, non-hybrid and hybrid *Epichloe* endophytes differently affect
555 growth and reproduction of host species. The evidence suggests that the

556 performance of hybrid compared to non-hybrid endophytes depends on host
557 genotype and environmental conditions. Hybrids were shown to enhance the
558 competitive abilities of the grass *Festuca arizonica* by increased biomass
559 production (Saari and Faeth 2012). But in another grass species *Hordelymus*
560 *europaeus* non-hybrid *Epichloe* endophytes were found to have more positive
561 influence, compared to hybrid endophytes, on host fitness measured as seed
562 production of different accessions of *H. europaeus* (Oberhofer et al. 2014). As for
563 *Z. pseudotritici* and *Microbotryum*, a deeper understanding of the underlying
564 host-fungus interaction is necessary to unravel differences in the biology of
565 hybrid and non-hybrid *Epichloe* species.

566

567 ***Verticilium longisporum* – multiple parents and multiple hybridizations**

568 Formation of the diploid hybrid *Verticilium longisporum* occurred by vegetative
569 fusion of other haploid *Verticilium* species. Phylogenetic analyses have shown
570 that the hybrid originated from multiple hybridization events involving the
571 unknown species Species A1 and Species D1 and the D2 and D3 lineages of *V.*
572 *dahliae* (Inderbitzin et al. 2011, Inderbitzin and Subbarao, 2014).

573 Both genome structure and host range of *V. longisporum* differ from those of *V.*
574 *dahliae*: While *V. dahliae* is known to have a broad host range, it is, with some
575 exceptions, not infectious on *Brassicaceae* hosts. *V. longisporum* on the other
576 hand is mainly a pathogen of *Brassicaceae* plants (Zeise and Tiedemann 2008)
577 indicating that new host determinants were acquired from the unknown
578 parental species (A1 or D1) or emerged by the combination of multiple host
579 determinants from several parents. The capacity to infect new host species may
580 however not only have resulted from a new combination of genes but also from
581 the doubling of chromosomes in the diploid *V. longisporum*. Populations of other
582 heteroploid hybrids have similarly been shown to comprise an increased
583 phenotypic variability compared to the parental species allowing the
584 exploitation of a broader range of host species (Newcombe et al. 2001; Brasier et
585 al. 2004). To address the genomic source of new virulence traits in heteroploid
586 hybrids as *V. longisporum*, the underlying determinants of host infections are still
587 to be discovered.

588

589 **7. Studies of fungal hybrid species: future directions**

590 Comparative genomics and population genomics provide new powerful
591 approaches to recognize ongoing and past hybridization in plant pathogens (e.g.
592 Stukenbrock et al. 2012; Menardo et al. in press). Likewise experimental
593 evolution studies have shed light on the genetics of reproductive barriers in
594 model ascomycetes (Dettman et al. 2010, Turner et al. 2011). Although
595 hybridization may be an important (yet underestimated) force in the evolution
596 of plant pathogens, we still know little about the biology and genetics of hybrid
597 plant pathogenic fungi. A key question in evolutionary genomics of hybrids is
598 how negative epistatic interactions of distinct parental alleles are overcome.
599 Future studies should combine experimental and genomics approaches to
600 explore the genetics of hybrid incompatibilities and to assess phenotypic and
601 evolutionary potentials of hybrid plant pathogens.

602

603 *Population genomics*

604 I consider at least four important applications of population genomics in hybrid
605 studies. The first is the use of population genomic data to investigate the genome
606 wide distribution of genetic variation in hybrids. As discussed above, this may
607 deviate significantly from the parents, but nevertheless influence the evolutionary
608 potential of the hybrid, including the potential for dispersal, introgression and
609 adaptation. Secondly, population genomic data can be explored to identify
610 signatures of selection in the hybrid genome reflecting novel adaptations and the
611 selection against incompatible allele combinations (Stukenbrock 2013).
612 Signatures of selection can be identified as outlier loci of increased divergence
613 (e.g. Ellison et al. 2011), regions depleted of variation (selective sweeps) (e.g.
614 Sharpio et al., 2012 or genes with an increased proportion of non-synonymous
615 variation (balancing selection) (e.g. Stukenbrock et al. 2011). Thirdly,
616 introgressive hybridization will leave strong signatures of inter-specific
617 exchanges of genetic material (Arnold 2014). Genomic data from hybrids and
618 their parents allow the identification of these transferred and putative adaptive
619 traits (Arnold 2004). Introgressed regions are recognized in genome scans as
620 segments with altered levels of divergence (Menardo et al. in press). For
621 example, divergence will be reduced in regions affected by introgressive

622 hybridization. Lastly, genomic incompatibilities in hybrids may be recognized by
623 in depth analyses of linkage disequilibrium or QTL mapping. As described above
624 experimental evolution, QTL analyses and genomics have shed light on genetic
625 incompatibilities in *S. cerevisiae* and *N. crassa* (Dettman et al. 2010; Turner et al.
626 2011).

627

628 *Experimental studies in planta and in vitro*

629 Only few experimental studies have used *in-planta* experiments to compare
630 fitness of fungal hybrids relative to non-hybrid species (Büker et al. 2013; Saari
631 and Faeth 2012; Oberhofer et al. 2014). It has been documented that
632 hybridization can change the host range of plant pathogens but it is not known
633 how (e.g. Inderbitzin et al. 2008). Is it the new combination of effectors that
634 allow hybrids to explore novel host niches? Or do changes in ploidy and gene
635 dosage alter virulence in the hybrid? Studies that aim to identify new hybrid host
636 determinants are essential to understand the success of hybrid plant pathogens.
637 Such traits may be identified from comparative genome or transcriptome studies
638 and their functional relevance assessed by reverse genetics and experimental
639 approaches. An intriguing question is whether such traits are inherited from one
640 parental species and only confer a distinct fitness advantage in the genomic
641 background of the hybrid, or if these traits are recombined and represent
642 completely new variants.

643 Finally, a better understanding of the underlying genetics of reproductive
644 barriers and self-versus-non-self recognition between species of fungal plant
645 pathogens could improve predictions of hybridization events in agro-
646 ecosystems. As shown in *N. crassa*, genetic determinants of reproductive barriers
647 can be identified by experimental hybridization assays and QTL mappings
648 (Turner et al. 2011). Cytological analyses of between-species, vegetative hyphal
649 fusions can furthermore be applied to study nuclear behavior during inter-
650 specific fusions and the formation of heteroploid hybrids (Shoji et al. 2015).

651 A number of central questions relating to hybrid evolution can be addressed by
652 experimental evolution approaches. In contrast to retrospective sequence-based
653 analyses, experimental evolution of microbial species provides the opportunity
654 to directly study rates of mutational changes and to identify the nature and order

655 of these changes. It also allows fitness assays of all generations from progenitor
656 to last evolved population and thereby a comparison of fitness effects of
657 ancestral and derived alleles. Experimental evolution could be applied for
658 example in studies of hybrid pathogens aiming at addressing the fate of genetic
659 variation and genomic incompatibilities in hybrids following multiple
660 generations of asexual or sexual propagation.

661

662

663

664

665 **Acknowledgements**

666 I would like to thank Freddy Bugge Christiansen and Julien Y. Dutheil for fruitful
667 discussions and helpful comments. I also thank two anonymous reviewers for
668 comments to a previous version of the manuscript. Research conducted in the
669 group of EHS is funded by the Max Planck Society, Germany and a personal grant
670 from the State of Schleswig-Holstein, Germany to EHS.

671

672

673

674 **Literature cited**

675

676 Anderson, J.B., Korhonen, K., and Ullrich, R.C. 1980. Relationships between
677 European and North American biological species of *Armillaria Mellea*. *Exp. Mycol.*
678 4 (1): 78–86.

679 Arnold, M.L. 2004. Transfer and origin of adaptations through natural
680 hybridization: Were Anderson and Stebbins right? *Plant Cell* 16 (3): 562–70.

681 Baack, E.J., and Rieseberg, L.H. 2007. A genomic view of introgression and hybrid
682 speciation. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Develop.* 17 (6): 513–18.

683 Barton, N.H. 2008. The role of hybridization in evolution. *Mol. Ecol.* 10 (3): 551–
684 68.

685 Brasier, C.M., Kirk, S.A., Pipe, N., and Buck, K.W. 1998. Rare hybrids in natural
686 populations of the Dutch elm disease pathogen *Ophiostoma ulmi* and *O. novo-*
687 *ulmi*. *Mycol. Res.* 102:45-57.

688 Brasier, C. M., and S. A. Kirk. 2010. Rapid emergence of hybrids between the two
689 subspecies of *Ophiostoma novo-ulmi* with a high level of pathogenic fitness. *Plant*
690 *Pathol.* 59 (1): 186–99.

691 Brown, J.K.M., and Hovmøller, M.S. 2002. Aerial dispersal of pathogens on the
692 global and continental scales and its impact on plant disease. *Science* 297 (5581):
693 537–41.

694 Büker, B., Petit, E., Begerow, D., and Hood, M.E. 2013. Experimental hybridization
695 and backcrossing reveal forces of reproductive isolation in *Microbotryum*. *BMC*
696 *Evol. Biol.* 13: 224.

697 Croll, D., and McDonald, B.A. 2012. The accessory genome as a cradle for adaptive
698 evolution in pathogens. *PLoS Pathog.* 8: e1002608.

699 Dettman, J.R., Anderson, J.B., and Kohn, L.M. 2008. Divergent adaptation
700 promotes reproductive isolation among experimental populations of the
701 filamentous fungus *Neurospora*. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 8: 35.

702 Dettman, J.R., Jacobson, D.J., Turner, E., Pringle, A., and Taylor, J.W. 2003.
703 Reproductive isolation and phylogenetic divergence in *Neurospora*: Comparing
704 methods of species recognition in a model Eukaryote. *Evolution* 57: 2721–41.

705 Ellison, C.E., Hall, C., Kowbel, D., Welch, J., Brem, R.B., Glass, N.L., and Taylor, J.W.
706 2011. Population genomics and local adaptation in wild isolates of a model
707 microbial eukaryote. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 108.7: 2831-2836.

708 Gibson, A.K., Refrégier, G., Hood, M.E., and Giraud, T. 2014. Performance of a
709 hybrid fungal pathogen on pure-species and hybrid host plants. *Int. J. Plant*
710 *Sciences* 175: 724-730.

711 Giraud, T., Yockteng, R., López-Villavicencio, M., Refrégier, G., and Hood M.E.
712 2008. Mating system of the anther smut fungus *Microbotryum violaceum*: Selfing
713 under heterothallism. *Eukar. Cell* 7: 765–75.

714 Gladieux, P., Vercken, E., Fontaine, M.C., Hood, M.E., Jonot, O., Couloux, A., and
715 Giraud, T. 2011. Maintenance of fungal pathogen species that are specialized to
716 different hosts: Allopatric divergence and introgression through secondary
717 contact. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 28: 459–71.

718 Goddard, M.R., Godfray, H., and Burt, A. 2005. Sex increases the efficacy of natural
719 selection in experimental yeast populations. *Nature* 434 (7033): 636–40.

720 Goss, E.M., Cardenas, M.E., Myers, K., Forbes, G.A., Fry, W.E. Restrepo, S., and
721 Grünwald N.J. 2011. The plant pathogen *Phytophthora andina* emerged via
722 hybridization of an unknown *Phytophthora* species and the Irish potato famine
723 pathogen, *P. Infestans*. PLoS One 6 (9): e24543.

724 Greig, D., Louis, E.J. Borts, R.H., and Travisano, M. 2002. Hybrid speciation in
725 experimental populations of yeast. Science 298: 1773-1775.

726 Hickmann, M.A., Paulson, C., Dudley, A.M. and Berman, J. 2015. Parasexual ploidy
727 reduction drives population heterogeneity through random and transient aneuploidy.
728 Genetics. 115.178020.

729 Inderbitzin, P., Davis, R.M. Bostock, R.M., and Subbarao, K.V. 2011. The
730 Ascomycete *Verticillium longisporum* is a hybrid and a plant pathogen with an
731 expanded host range. PLoS One 6 (3): e18260.

732 Inderbitzin, P., and Subbarao, K.V. 2014. *Verticillium* systematics and evolution:
733 how confusion impedes *Verticillium* wilt management and how to resolve it.
734 Phytopathol. 1046: 564-574.

735 Kauserud H., Knudsen, H. Høgberg, N., and Skrede, I. 2012. Evolutionary origin,
736 worldwide dispersal, and population genetics of the dry rot fungus *Serpula*
737 *lacrymans*. Fungal Biol. Rev. 26:84-93.

738 Klosterman, S.J., Subbarao, K.V., Kang, S., Veronese, P., Gold, S.E., Thomma,
739 B.P.H.J., Chen Z., et al. 2007. Comparative genomics yields insights into niche
740 adaptation of plant vascular wilt pathogens. PLoS Pathog 7: e1002137.

741 Kohn, L.M., 2005. Mechanisms of fungal speciation. Ann. Rev. Phytopathol. 43:
742 279–308.

743 Kuehne, H.A., Murphy, H.A., Francis, C.A., and Sniegowski, P.D. 2007. Allopatric
744 divergence, secondary contact, and genetic isolation in wild yeast populations.
745 Curr. Biol. : 17: 407–11.

746 Le Gac, M, Hood, M.E., and Giraud, T. 2007a. Evolution of reproductive isolation
747 within a parasitic fungal species complex. Evolution 61: 1781–87.

748 Le Gac, M., Hood, M.E., Fournier, E., and Giraud, T. 2007b. Phylogenetic evidence
749 of host-specific cryptic species in the anther smut fungus. Evolution 61: 15–26.

750 Leuchtman, A., Bacon, C.W., Schardl, C.L., White, J.F., and Tadych, M. 2014.
751 Nomenclatural realignment of *Neotyphodium* species with genus *Epicholë*.
752 Mycologia 106: 202–15.

753 Lin, X., and Heitman, J. 2007. Mechanisms of homothallism in fungi and
754 transitions between heterothallism and homothallism, p 35-57. In Heitman,
755 Joseph and Kronstad, James W. and Taylor, John W. and Casselton, Lorna A.(ed),
756 Sex in Fungi.

757 Mallet, J. 2005. Hybridization as an invasion of the genome. Trends Ecol. Evol.
758 20:229-237.

759 Mallet, J. 2007. Hybrid speciation. Nature 446.7133 (2007): 279-283.

760 Marais, G., and Charlesworth, B. 2003. Genome evolution: recombination speeds
761 up adaptive evolution. Curr Biology 13: R68-R70.

762 McDonald, B.A., and Linde, C. 2002. Pathogen population genetics, evolutionary
763 potential, and durable resistance. Ann. Rev. Phytopathol. 40: 349-379.

764 McMullan, M., Gardiner, A., Bailey, K., Kemen, E., Ward, B.J., Cevik, V., Robert-
765 Seilaniantz, A., et al. 2015. Evidence for suppression of immunity as a driver for
766 genomic introgressions and host range expansion in races of *Albugo candida*, a
767 generalist parasite. eLife 4: e04550.

768 Menardo F, Praz, C., Wyder, S., Ben-David, R., Bourras, S.A., Matsumae, H.,
769 McNally, K.E., Parlange, F., Riba, A., Roffler, S., Schaefer, L.K., Shimizu, K.K. Valenti,
770 L., Zbinden, H., Wicker, T., and Keller, B. In press. Hybridization of powdery
771 mildew strains gives raise to pathogens on novel agricultural crop species.
772 Nature Genetics

773 Moon, C.D., Craven, K.D., Leuchtman, A., Clement, S.L., and Schardl, C.S. 2004.
774 Prevalence of interspecific hybrids amongst asexual fungal endophytes of
775 grasses. Mol. Ecol. 13 (6): 1455-67.

776 Newcombe, G., Stirling, B., and Bradshaw, H.D. 2001. Abundant pathogenic
777 variation in the new hybrid rust *Melampsora xcolumbiana* on hybrid poplar.
778 Phytopathol. 91: 981-85.

779 Oberhofer, M., Güsewell, S., and Leuchtman, A. 2014. Effects of natural hybrid
780 and non-hybrid *Epichloë* endophytes on the response of *Hordelymus europaeus*
781 to drought stress. New Phytol. 201: 242-53.

782 Orr, H. A. 1995. The population genetics of speciation: The evolution of hybrid
783 incompatibilities. Genetics 139: 1805-13.

784 Pardo-Diaz, C., Salazar, C., Baxter, S.W., Merot, C., Figueiredo-Ready, W., Joron, M.,
785 Owen McMillan, W., and Jiggins, C.D. 2012. Adaptive introgression across
786 species boundaries in *Heliconius* butterflies. Plos Genet: e1002752.

787 Parreiras, L.S., Kohn, L.M., and Anderson, J.B. 2011. Cellular effects and epistasis
788 among three determinants of adaptation in experimental populations of
789 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Eukar. Cell 10, 1348-1356.

790 Raffaele, S., and Kamoun, S. 2012. Genome evolution in filamentous plant
791 pathogens: Why bigger can be better. Nature Rev. Microbiol. 10: 417–30.

792 Roach, K.C., and Heitman, J. 2014. Unisexual reproduction reverses Muller’s
793 ratchet. Genetics 198.3 (2014): 1059-1069.

794 Rouxel, T., Grandaubert, J., Hane, J.K., Hoede, C., van de Wouw, A.P., Couloux, A.V.,
795 Dominguez et al. 2011. Effector diversification within compartments of the
796 *Leptosphaeria maculans* genome affected by Repeat-Induced Point mutations.
797 Nature Comm. 2: 202.

798 Saari, S., and Faeth, S.H. 2012. Hybridization of Neotyphodium endophytes
799 enhances competitive ability of the host grass. New Phytol. 195: 231–236.

800 Schardl, C.L. 2001. *Epichloë festucae* and related mutualistic symbionts of
801 grasses. Fungal Genet Biol. : 33 (2): 69–82.

802 Schardl, C.L., and Craven, K.D. 2003. Interspecific hybridization in plant-
803 associated fungi and oomycetes: A review. Mol. Ecol. 12 (11): 2861–2873.

804 Schardl, C.L., Leuchtmann, A., and Spiering, M.J. 2004. Symbioses of grasses with
805 seedborne fungal endophytes. Ann. Rev. Plant Biol 55: 315–340.

806 Schirrmann, M.K., and Leuchtmann, A. 2015. The role of host-specificity in the
807 reproductive isolation of *Epichloë* endophytes revealed by reciprocal infections.
808 Fungal Ecol. 15: 29–38.

809 Seidl, M.F., and Thomma, B.P.H.J. 2014. Sex or no sex: Evolutionary adaptation
810 occurs regardless. BioEssays : 36 (4): 335–345.

811 Shapiro, B.J., Friedman, J., Cordero, O.X., Preheim, S.P., Timberlake, S.C., Szabó, G.,
812 Polz, M.F., Alm, E.J. 2012. Population genomics of early events in the ecological
813 differentiation of bacteria. Science 336, no. 6077: 48-51.

814 Shoji, J.-Y., Charlton, N.D. Yi, M., Young, C.A., and Craven, K.D. 2015. Vegetative
815 hyphal fusion and subsequent nuclear behavior in *Epichloë* grass endophytes.
816 PloS One 10 (4): e0121875.

817 Stankowski, S., and Streisfeld, M.A. 2015. Introgressive hybridization facilitates
818 adaptive divergence in a recent radiation of monkeyflowers. Proc. R. Soc. B. 282
819 No. 1814.

820 Stenlid, J., and Karlsson, J.-O. 1991. Partial intersterility in *Heterobasidion*
821 *annosum*. Mycol. Res. 95: 1153-1159.

822 Stukenbrock, E.H., Christiansen, F.B., Hansen, T.T., Dutheil, J.Y., and Schierup,
823 M.H. 2012. Fusion of two divergent fungal individuals led to the recent
824 emergence of a unique widespread pathogen species. Proc. Nat. Aca. Sci. 109(27):
825 10954–10959.

826 Stukenbrock, E.H., Quaedvlieg, W., Javan-Nikhah, M., Zala, M., Crous, P.W., and
827 McDonald, B.A. 2012. *Zymoseptoria ardebiliae* and *Z. pseudotritici*, two
828 progenitor species of the Septoria tritici leaf blotch fungus *Z. tritici* (synonym:
829 *Mycosphaerella graminicola*). Mycologia 104: 1397–1407.

830 Stukenbrock, E.H., and Bataillon, T. 2012. A population genomics perspective on
831 the emergence and adaptation of new plant pathogens in agro-ecosystems. PLoS
832 Pathog. 8 (9) e1002893.

833 Stukenbrock, E.H. 2013. Evolution, selection and isolation: A genomic view of
834 speciation in fungal plant pathogens. New Phytol. 199(4): 895-907.

835 Tsai, H.F., Liu, J.S., Staben, C., Christensen, M.J., Latch, G.C., Siegel, M.R., and
836 Schardl C.L. 1994. Evolutionary diversification of fungal endophytes of tall fescue
837 grass by hybridization with *Epichloe* species. Proc. Nat. Aca. Sci. 91 (7): 2542–46.

838 Turner, E., Jacobson, D.J., and Taylor, J.W. 2011. Genetic architecture of a
839 reinforced, postmating, reproductive isolation barrier between *Neurospora*
840 species indicates evolution via natural selection. PLoS Genet. 7: e1002204.

841 Wright, S. 1938. Size of population and breeding structure in relation to
842 evolution. Science 87: 430-431.

843 Yakimowski, S., and Rieseberg, L.H. 2014. The role of homoploid hybridization in
844 evolution: A century of studies synthesizing genetics and ecology. Am J. Bot.
845 101(8) 1247-1258.

846 Zeise, K., and Tiedemann, A.V. 2008. Host specialization among vegetative
847 compatibility groups of *Verticillium dahliae* in relation to *Verticillium*
848 *longisporum*. J. Phytopathol. 150(3): 112-119.

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856

857 **Figure legends:**

858 **Figure 1:** A) Hybridization can occur by asexual fusion or sexual mating between
859 diverged species. B) Recurrent crossing between species and backcrossing of the
860 hybrid with parental species is referred to as introgressive hybridization. In the
861 extreme case of introgressive hybridization, the hybrid is a transient form, while
862 the important outcome of the inter-specific mating is the transfer of genetic
863 material.

864