Limitations of Transient Power Loads on DEMO and Analysis of Mitigation Techniques
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In this paper is presented the assessment of the operational space for the present DEMO standard water cooled tungsten mono-block divertor targets and copper alloy heat sink, under static and transient heat loads. A transient thermo-hydraulic analysis has been performed using the code RACLETTE, which allowed a broad parametric scan of the target geometry and coolant conditions. The limiting factors considered were the coolant Critical Heat Flux (CHF), and the materials temperatures. The second part of the work is devoted to the study of the plasma strike point sweeping as a mitigation technique for the divertor power exhaust. The RACLETTE code was used to evaluate the impact of a large set of sweeping frequencies and amplitudes. A reduced subset of cases, which complied with the desired results, were benchmarked with a 3D FEM model, leading to a reduction of the heat flux to the coolant, up to a factor ~ 4, and a lower materials temperature, for an incident heat flux in the range [15\textendash30] MW/m\textsuperscript{2}. Finally a preliminary assessment was performed on the installed power required for the sweeping, the AC losses in the superconductors and the thermal fatigue analysis. No evident show stoppers were found.
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1. Introduction

The operating conditions of the DEMO (DEMONstration fusion power reactor) divertor will be more demanding than the one presently expected in ITER due to more severe material and technical limitations, as the higher neutron fluence and longer pulses. ITER divertor [1-2], on which technology is based the present DEMO design, is made of tungsten mono-block divertor targets and copper alloy heat sink cooling pipe, with water used as coolant with an inlet temperature of 100°C. It is designed to work with steady state heat flux loads up to 10MW/m\textsuperscript{2} during plasma burning operations, and to withstand slow transient heat loads up to 20MW/m\textsuperscript{2} for ~ 10 s, including a safety margin equal to 1.7, with actual pipe burnout limit equal to ~ 34MW/m\textsuperscript{2}. The DEMO standard single null configuration, presently considered, based on the described ITER technology, will have reduced margins in the heat flux removal capability, due to the higher coolant inlet operational temperature, required to avoid the copper alloys embrittlement at high neutron irradiation [3-4]. Although a new investigation on copper alloys irradiation is in programmed for the next years in DEMO relevant conditions, the present knowledge suggests to operate the water coolant at a temperature ≥ 200°C, which decrease the heat removal performances and decrease the operational space margins for the expected power input range.

The present estimated heat loads due to the charged particles in DEMO, in absence of any radiation, are in the range of 150MW/m\textsuperscript{2}, which is way outside the present technological limits. Techniques to reduce the heat load to the divertor, essential also for ITER, are currently been explored in the main fusion devices, including the control of the plasma into regimes where the major part of the power to the divertor is radiated [5], and the divertor detachment [6]. Failure of the above controls may lead to sudden increase of heat flux with potentially destructive events for the divertor, and for this reason they need to be studied to evaluate the adequate counteraction, in terms of control strategies and reaction time.

In the first part of the paper is presented a transient thermo-hydraulic analysis, performed using the code RACLETTE [7-8], which allowed a broad parametric scan of the target geometry and coolant conditions, using as input a wide range of incident heat flux. The second part of the work is devoted to the study of the plasma strike point sweeping as a mitigation technique for the divertor power exhaust. A parametric scan was performed on a set of sweeping frequencies and amplitudes at different levels of incident power heat flux. A narrowed subset of cases was further validated using a 3D FEM code. Finally a preliminary assessment was carried out on the installed power needed for the sweeping cases considered, the perturbations on the plasma shape, the AC losses induced in the closest
superconductive coils, and the thermal-fatigue. No evident show stoppers were found.

2. Thermo-hydraulic analysis

A transient thermo-hydraulic analysis has been performed to evaluate the heat removal capabilities of the divertor targets due to steady state and under slow transient heat loads under the expected DEMO conditions. The analysis was performed using the code RACLETTE [7-8], a relatively simple and versatile 1D model, with 2D corrections, which includes in good details most of the surface heat transfer processes such as evaporation, melting, and radiation, and their interaction with the PFC block thermal response and the coolant behavior. The use of RACLETTE allowed to quickly run a broad parametric scan of the target geometry and coolant conditions, such as the tungsten (W) armor thickness, the copper alloy pipe and interlayer diameter and length, the mono-block pitch between two pipes, and the coolant pressure, velocity and inlet temperature. The input power flux footprint used in the model is according to the latest prediction for DEMO [9]. One of the limiting factor considered was the coolant CHF, which is the maximum heat flux that can be removed before the coolant begins to boil, determining the pipe burnout, leading to the destructive event of water in the machine. The other limiting factors are the mono-block materials temperature operational range under the expected DEMO neutron fluence.

3. Simulation of transient loads handling

The transient analysis was performed giving a step function as input power, simulating a sudden increase of the incident heat flux, from a value below the one that would lead to the reaching of the CHF, i.e. between zero and the expected steady state value 10MW/m², to a value that exceed the CHF, for example to mimic a loss of control in the divertor detachment. The output calculated consisted in the time taken for the divertor to reach the CHF or the material melting, in function of the final value of the input step function. Two scans will be presented in this work.

3.1 Scan of the coolant inlet temperature from ITER to present predicted DEMO ranges

The first case presented considers the difference on heat removal capability in function of the water coolant inlet temperature, in a range from ITER (100°C) to the present DEMO predictions (≥200°C). The latter limit is due to the current knowledge, based on [3-4], and is present to avoid the copper alloys embrittlement at high neutron irradiation at temperatures lower than the value indicated. Investigation on irradiated materials will be performed in the next years under relevant DEMO conditions. The analysis was performed considering the standard model of divertor mono-block currently considered, i.e. with a 5mm tungsten armor and 28mm distance between the center of two adjacent blocks, a copper alloy (CuCrZr) pipe (acting as heat sink) thickness of 1.5mm and diameter of 12mm, and a coolant pressure of 4MPa, a velocity 12m/s and a turbulence promotion factor 1.9 (swirl tube). The initial input value used in the input function before the power density step was considered to be zero, in the analysis presented in Fig1. The heat removal capability is reduced by increasing the inlet water coolant temperature from 100°C (ITER like), where CHF is reached for input power values >50MW/ m², identified by the solid vertical lines, to a temperature of 200°C (DEMO like), where the CHF is reached for input power values of 24MW/m². In the first case, the ITER-like condition, the melting of the tungsten surface, represented by dashed lines, is reached for an input power of 43MW/m², i.e. before the CHF, while for DEMO the CHF is reached much before the melting. The outcome of this analysis is that:

- Melting is not the driving criterion for DEMO, as it is for ITER, for slow transients as the one presented.
- CHF is in DEMO the limiting factor.
- CHF margin is substantially reduced in DEMO.

Fig.1. Time to failure \( \tau(s) \) is shown in a logarithmic scale on a y-axis, due to step function from 0 to the value of MW/m² shown on the x-axis, for coolant temperature in the range 100°C (ITER-like) to 200°C (DEMO-like). The solid lines represent the reaching of the CHF, the dotted the reaching of melting.

3.2 Scan of the tungsten armor thickness

The second case presented considers the value of the water coolant temperature fixed at 200°C, and the other parameters as in the previously described case, except

Fig.2. Time to failure for coolant temperature of 200°C (DEMO-like), for tungsten armour thickness in the range 2mm to 10mm. All other parameters are as in Fig.1.
the armor thickness, which is varied in the range (2, 5, 10) mm. This is done to evaluate the tradeoff between being limited by the CHF or the tungsten melting temperature in DEMO-like conditions. The Fig. 2 shows the results using an armor thickness of (2, 5, 10) mm, which correspond to an increase in the CHF to a value of (23, 24, 26) MW/m². This is a minor improvement in the maximum CHF value, but it is interesting to notice the time needed to reach this value. For instance in the plot in correspondence of the y axis value of 3s to the failure, the 10mm thick tungsten armor can withstand a jump of incident power up to 34MW/m², while at the 2s value this become up to 45MW/m², with contemporary staring melting starting from 34MW/m². This may allow a time margin of 3s, in the first case, to detect and react to an increasing of the allowable steady state incoming power, or 2s, in the second case, with the sacrifice of the melting of some tungsten surface. This time may be sufficient for instance to bring back the heat flux down to a tolerable lever or shutting down the plasma. This would avoid the destructive event of the cooling pipe burnout, with consequent water in the machine, which may be a potentially fatal event for DEMO. Thicker tungsten armor may also compensate the material erosion during the divertor lifetime operation, while it would have as drawback a higher operating surface temperature.

3. Divertor heat loads mitigation techniques

The eventuality of a sudden increase of the influx power to the divertor represents a serious treat for both ITER and the presently expected DEMO burning plasma phase. This may be caused by physics reasons, or technical failure of a control system which may, for instance, leads to a loss of detachment regime. As it is shown in the previous section, this may bring to a destruction of the targets due to reaching of the CHF limit, in a time scale of the order of a fraction of second to few seconds, depending of the maximum heat flux reached and the target design. In the present experimental tokamak are already present emergency strategies to protect the machine if certain limits are hit, which allow, for instance, executing a different scenario or, eventually, to start a shutdown procedure which quickly bring to the plasma current termination. An example of such system for the JET tokamak is reported in [10-11]. In DEMO the task to detect an increase of incoming heat power may be more demanding due to the limited type of diagnostic capability which can be installed close enough to the plasma in a highly neutron radiated environment. In the present DEMO design, a pulsed machine with two hours burning phase, it will be highly desirable to be able to demonstrate continuous operations with as less interruptions as possible due to unforeseen events, to guarantee a reliable electricity production. This would avoid also the need to start up and shut down the plasma, being both these phases demanding in term the amount of electricity required from the grid. In this respect, in long pulsed machines, it would be highly desirable to be able to react to temporary increase of heat flux to the divertor, above the sustainable limits, with maneuvers that mitigate the higher power loads, avoiding the destruction of the targets, while the control systems try to recover, if possible, the desired plasma regime, or ultimately to safely shut down the machine.

In the present operating surface, A - burns burning phase, it will be m.

![Fig.3. Contour plot of the CHF percentage reached in function of the sweeping frequency and amplitude, respectively on the y and x axis, for armor thickness of 5mm and 10mm and input heat flux of 30MW/m².](attachment:chf-contour-plot.png)

3.1 Strike point sweeping operational space

The plasma strike point sweeping is a mitigation technique which is used in the present devices to reduce the thermal loads on the divertor targets both in tokamaks [12] and stellarators [13]. It consists in a periodic movement of the strike points, which are the contact point of the plasma separatrix flux-lines with the divertor, with predefined amplitude and frequency. This periodic movement reduces the localized thermal load by spreading the total energy over a larger area. A sensitivity analysis was performed using the code RACLETTE on a broad range of parameters, hereafter reported:

**Input parameter for the outer strike point:**
- Incident heat flux $q_i$ peak range (15, 30) MW/m², according the DEMO latest predicted footprint [9]

**Sweeping parameters:**
- Amplitude range (peak to peak) (5, 10, 20, 40) cm
- Frequency (0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0) Hz

**Mono-block model geometric parameter:**
- Tungsten armor thickness (5, 10) mm
- Other parameters are as presented in paragraph 3.1.
the middle of the frequency and amplitude operational space considered.

The outputs considered in the analysis were:
- the percentage to the CHF, as ratio between heat flux to the coolant over the CHF value, where 100% means that the CHF limit is reached, with cooling pipe burn out;
- the tungsten armor surface temperature, with its melting limit at 3422°C, and a recrystallization temperature around 1200°C, above which a significant reduction of the strength of tungsten was observed in the heat flux tests of divertors [14], and major cracks appears on its surface;
- the heat sink CuCrZr pipe maximum temperature, with a limit at 350°C, above which the material start softening/aging [15].

The results presented are relative to the most severe case analyzed, with input power of 30MW/m², as to simulate, for instance, a partial loss of control of plasma detachment. Fig.3 shows the results of the parametric scan, where in the x and y axes are reported respectively the sweeping amplitude and frequency, while the contour line are the maximum percentage to the CHF reached. If a safety factor of 1.7 to the CHF (CHF represented by the 100% contour line) is considered, the resulting 60% to the CHF operational space is achieved either for frequency equal to 0.5Hz and amplitudes greater than >20cm, or for amplitudes equal to 10cm, and frequencies greater than 1Hz, for armor thickness of 5mm, which represents the worst case. With similar sweeping parameters also the maximum temperature of the CuCrZr heat sink stays below 350°C, and no melting is reached on the tungsten surface in the sweeping operational space considered, although the recrystallization limit of 1200°C is satisfied only for the sweeping frequencies greater than 2Hz and amplitudes greater that 30cm, for the most demanding case, which is this time the one with armor thickness of 10mm. The union of these results, together with consideration on other technical limitations, which will be discussed later, as the installed power needed to perform the sweeping, the AC losses on the closes superconductors, helped to determines operational space of interest and to narrow down the sweeping parameters.

3.1 3D FEM model of divertor mono-block

A 3D FEM model was developed to analyze a subset of cases of interest, coming from the broader scan presented in the previous paragraph. The details of the model used in this analysis are described in [16]. The analysis was limited to a mono-block with armor thickness of 5mm, input heat flux power of (15, 30) MW/m², and sweeping parameters of (0.5, 1) Hz, and amplitudes of (5, 20) cm peak to peak. The results obtained were in good agreement with the RACLETTE code, within 15%.

The Fig.4a shows the comparison of the tungsten surface temperature in the 4 sweeping cases with the stationary case, for the 15MW/m² input heat-flux. No tungsten melting is reached in any of the cases considered. The maximum tungsten surface temperature decrease from ~1290°C, in the stationary case, down to ~550°C, in the sweeping case at a frequency of 1Hz and amplitude of 20cm, below the recrystallization temperature of ~1200°C. Fig.4b shows the same simulation but compares the maximum CuCrZr heat sink temperature which decreases from 400°C, in the stationary case, above the copper softening/aging limit, down to 270°C, in the 1Hz/20cm sweeping, within the desired limits. The maximum heat flux to the coolant also decrease considerably from 25MW/m², larger than the incident heat flux because of the peaking factor due to the circular shape of the pipe, down to 6MW/m², with a reduction of a factor 4.

![Fig.4](image)

**Fig.4. Maximum temperature of a) tungsten armour, and b) copper alloy heat sink, for the stationary and sweeping cases.**

4.2 Thermal fatigue analysis

In this paragraph are presented the results on the analysis performed on the impact of sweeping parameters on the accumulated equivalent plastic strain in the copper interlayer, the details of which can be found in [16], while in previous papers was reported that nearly no cyclic plastic deformation is accumulated in the cooling tube [17]. The results show that the fatigue lifetime increases as the peak flux densities increase. Furthermore if the sweeping frequency is increased of a factor 2, from 0.5Hz to 1Hz, the fatigue lifetime is increased at least by a factor of 4, extending the operating time for interlayer. The estimated operating time for the sweeping cases considered is reported in the table 1.
### Sweeping power requirements and AC losses

A preliminary analysis was performed on the installed power required to perform the strike point sweeping, by using the CREATE L [18] model of a standard DEMO equilibrium, with an aspect ratio of 3.1, plasma current of 20MA, 95% elongation of 1.5, major radius of 8.7m and toroidal field at the magnetic axis of 5.8T. Two sweeping copper coils, connected in antiseries, were preliminary considered located 80cm behind the divertor. The limitation due to the nuclear damages was not considered in this work, but the position chosen could in principle allow the possibility to provide a sufficient shielding and allow the maintenance. An alternative solution could be represented by the use of saddle coils in each toroidal sector, integrated in the divertor cassette, and replaceable with the time scale of the DEMO divertor. A sinusoidal current with amplitude of 240 kA (170 kA rms) and a frequency from 0 to 5 Hz was considered. The figure of 240 kA the nominal current for the internal VS coils in ITER. While the required power scales quadratically, most other relevant parameters, such as strike point motion, plasma motion, magnetic field, etc., have a linear dependence on the sweeping coil current. The power needed for sweeping in the above conditions with the current considered is:

- active power of 0.30 MW at 0.2 Hz, 3.3 MW at 1 Hz;
- reactive power of 3.5 MVAr at 0.2 Hz, 16 MW at 1 Hz.

At the very low frequency considered this would provide a sweep of up to 26 cm peak-to-peak on the outer strike-point and 19 cm on the inner one.

Estimation on the AC losses in the superconductive coils closer to the divertor was also performed. Those are defined as the eddy currents and hysteresis phenomena due to the varying the magnetic field, which heat the superconductive coils decreasing their cooling capabilities. At low frequency, up to 1 Hz, the performance is at least 19 cm sweep peak-to-peak for both strike points, yielding a maximum dB/dt of about 15 mT/s rms at 0.2 Hz and 60 mT/s rms at 1 Hz.

The maximum temperature increase is of 0.03 K, for F=1Hz. This did not include the hysteresis losses that might also play a role. If a likely total of 0.1 K is considered, this is comparable with the AC losses due to the DEMO scenario, which are of the order of 0.3 K, then they are not negligible. The first macro analysis on ITER PF6 with present DEMO sweeping parameters do not excludes the possibility of using sweeping method at the expense of acceptable decrease of T margin in the closest superconductive coil, but a definitive assessment will be carried out in a dedicated thermo-hydraulic study.

### 5. Conclusions
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