

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

# Sequential information in a great ape utterance

Pawel Fedurek <sup>a, c, d</sup>, Klaus Zuberbühler <sup>a, b, c</sup> & Christoph D. Dahl <sup>a, e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Institute of Biology, University of Neuchâtel, Neuchâtel, Switzerland;

<sup>b</sup> School of Psychology and Neuroscience, University of St Andrews, Scotland (UK);

<sup>c</sup> Budongo Conservation Field Station, Masindi, Uganda;

<sup>d</sup> Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Department of Primatology, Leipzig,  
Germany

<sup>e</sup> Centre for Advanced Studies, National Research University, Higher School of Economics,  
Moscow, Russia

Correspondence: Pawel Fedurek, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology,  
Department of Primatology, Deutscher Platz 6, D-04103 Leipzig, Germany. E-mail:  
[fedurek@hotmail.co.uk](mailto:fedurek@hotmail.co.uk)

20 **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

21 **Supplementary audio data**

22 Supplementary Audio S1: A pant hoot given by an adult male in a travelling context.

23 **Supplementary methods**

24 *Subjects*

25 From the 14 individuals, four males were excluded from analyses because they were notoriously  
26 difficult to follow (N=2 adult males), had a substantial handicap due to snare injuries (N=1 adult  
27 male), or very rarely produced pant hoots (N=1 adolescent male). Table S1 shows ages and  
28 social rank of the study subjects.

29

30 Table S1: Estimated age and dominance rank (rank; 1-10) of the focal males at the onset of the  
31 study.

Male ID	Age (years)	Rank
FK	14	6
HW	20	2
KT	18	5
KZ	18	10
MS	22	1
NK	31	4
PS	15	9
SQ	22	3
ZF	31	8
ZL	18	7

42

43 *Social status*

44 Social status was established only for adult and late adolescent males, using the Elo-rating  
45 procedure. This method is based on a sequence in which interactions between individuals occur  
46 rather than on an interaction matrix. At the onset of the process each individual was given the  
47 same rating of a value 1000. After each agonistic or submissive interaction the score was updated  
48 with the winner of the interaction gaining whereas the loser losing points <sup>1</sup>. The number of  
49 points gained or lost by two interacting individuals was dependent on the expected outcome,  
50 which in turn depended on previous interactions between these two individuals <sup>2</sup>. In our study the  
51 scores were based on pant grunts (i.e. vocalisations given by males to other males that outrank  
52 them) combined with the outcomes of dyadic win-lose agonistic interactions (physical attack,  
53 chase, charge, or displacements <sup>3,4</sup> recorded during the study period. Since dominance  
54 relationships between male chimpanzees change frequently <sup>5</sup>, we calculated Elo-rating scores for  
55 the following five periods separately: June to October 2013, June 2013 to May 2014, June 2013  
56 to September 2014, June 2013 to April 2015, and May to October 2015. The Elo-rating scores  
57 were then converted into rank orders for each male (from 1 to 10, with 1 representing the highest  
58 ranking male; Table S1). The Elo-rating method has several advantages over more traditional  
59 methods such as sensitivity to short-term demography changes, effectiveness in tracking  
60 hierarchy dynamics on short-term scales, and more effective evaluation of relative hierarchy  
61 position between individuals with undecided interactions <sup>1</sup>. We believe that this method was  
62 especially effective in establishing dominance positions of the Sonso males, since the hierarchy  
63 was unstable throughout the study period with no clear alpha male after one of the males had lost  
64 his alpha status prior to the study period. Elo-rating scores were calculated using R v.3.1.1 (The  
65 R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

66 **Supplementary references**

- 67 1. Neumann, C. *et al.* Assessing dominance hierarchies: validation and advantages of  
68 progressive evaluation with Elo-rating. *Anim. Behav.* **82**, 911–921 (2011).
- 69 2. Elo, A. E. *The rating of chessplayers, past and present.* (Arco Pub., 1978).
- 70 3. Goodall, J. *The chimpanzees of Gombe: Patterns of behavior.* (Harvard University Press,  
71 1986).
- 72 4. Muller, M. N. & Wrangham, R. W. Dominance, aggression and testosterone in wild  
73 chimpanzees: a test of the ‘challenge hypothesis’. *Anim. Behav.* **67**, 113–123 (2004).
- 74 5. Gilby, I. C. & Wrangham, R. W. Association patterns among wild chimpanzees (*Pan*  
75 *troglydytes schweinfurthii*) reflect sex differences in cooperation. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **62**,  
76 1831–1842 (2008).