Magnetotactic Bacteria Powered Biohybrids Target E. coli Biofilms
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ABSTRACT: Biofilm colonies are typically resistant to general antibiotic treatment and require targeted methods for their removal. One of these methods includes the use of nanoparticles as carriers for antibiotic delivery, where they randomly circulate in fluid until they make contact with the infected areas. However, the required proximity of the particles to the biofilm results in only moderate efficacy. We demonstrate here that the nonpathogenic magnetotactic bacteria Magnetospirillum gryphiswalense (MSR-1) can be integrated with drug-loaded mesoporous silica microtubes to build controllable microswimmers (biohybrids) capable of antibiotic delivery to target an infectious biofilm. Applying external magnetic guidance capability and swimming power of the MSR-1 cells, the biohybrids are directed to and forcefully pushed into matured Escherichia coli (E. coli) biofilms. Release of the antibiotic, ciprofloxacin, is triggered by the acidic microenvironment of the biofilm, ensuring an efficient drug delivery system. The results reveal the capabilities of a nonpathogenic bacteria species to target and dismantle harmful biofilms, indicating biohybrid systems have great potential for antibiofilm applications.
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Biofilms are composed of heterogeneous communities of bacteria encased in a self-extruded polymeric matrix known as exopolysaccharides (EPS), whose formation is a survival mechanism that enables bacteria to adapt and protect themselves from hostile environments, antibiotics, or the immune systems of host organisms.1 Numerous research methodologies have explored the formation of biofilms and possibilities for their eradication. Biofilms are pervasive in industrial settings, resulting in metallic corrosion or fluid blockage,2 as well as hospital environments, where they can develop on medical implants or wounds, causing devastating infections.3 An added burden is the increased resistivity of bacteria and biofilms to current antibiotics4 and their ability to develop a tolerance to antibiotics after repeated exposure.5 Consequently, the economic and social impact of biofilms is considerable, with infections being the fourth leading cause of death in hospitals in the USA6 with significant financial costs to target bacteria infections.7 One of the most common, noninvasive methods for treating clinical bacterial infections is the administration of broad spectrum antibiotics. In this fashion, the nontargeted delivery mechanism is not always successful at destroying biofilms, as biofilms are less susceptible to antibiotics when compared to planktonic bacteria.8,9 Bacteria antibiotic resistance can develop; thereupon stronger medication or surgical options are needed to remove the biofilm. Other methods for reducing the risk of localized bacterial infections include treating the surfaces of bacteria-prone areas (e.g., medical implants, tooth surfaces) with anti fouling properties such as silver nanoparticles10 or antibiotic-loaded nanoparticles,11 but these surface treatments can only target biofilm at the material interface and over time can become inert due to protein adsorption.12 Unbound liposomes13 and nanoparticles14 have also shown promise for drug delivery to treat...
biofilms or cancer; however these targeted measures are limited by their dependence on host circulation and serendipitous encounters with biofilm interfaces, limiting their potency.

Micro- and nanoswimmers offer an alternative and capable method for targeted drug delivery, and the fluidic propulsion that bacteria can create can be an optimal energy source for biohybrid swimmers. Biohybrid swimmers merge active motile cells with an artificial material, where the cells simultaneously act as bioengines and biosensor (pH, temperature, chemical) and the artificial cargo load can serve as the drug delivery device. The artificial and cellular components of the biohybrids form an active swimming system with greater navigation and sensing capabilities than monocomponent swimmers (either biological or artificial) or magnetic particles. Recent biohybrid microsystem publications have utilized sperm cells, contractive muscle cells, and bacteria to create micro- or macroscale actuators to perform work for potential biomedical applications, but implementing biohybrids for biofilm treatments has not yet been explored. Here, we present magnetotactic bacteria biohybrid microswimmers for antibiotic delivery to biofilms that address problems with current antibiotic agents. Earlier biohybrid work using magnetotactic bacteria propulsion has proven bacteria are capable of micromanipulation, microself-assembly, and carrying cargo loads in microfluidic devices. More recently, the magnetotactic bacteria Magnetococcus marinus (MC-1), were used to power biohybrids for in vivo tumor targeting in mice, indicating magnetotactic bacteria have potential for clinical applications after further immune response studies. For the locomotive component or the presented biohybrid, the magnetotactic bacteria Magnetospirillum gryphiswalense, MSR-1, were chosen to power the swimmer due to their capacity to sense and respond to their surrounding environment, ability to be externally guided with low magnetic fields, and rapid and high-density culture cycle. MSR-1 bacteria swim using rotating bipolar flagella and contain a chain of self-produced magnetosome nanoparticles composed of Fe3O4 that assist in directing the cells to preferred oxygen concentrations in their native aquatic environments. MSR-1 cells were strategically adhered inside mesoporous silica microtube (MSM) structures that had been preloaded with the antibiotic ciprofloxacin (CFX). Mesoporous silica is biocompatible and has a high surface area that is apt for drug storage and delivery, and the tubular structure of the chassis has been shown in our previous report to be a beneficial configuration for improved bacteria biohybrid directional swimming. The MRS-1-CFX-MSM biohybrid system was capable of being magnetically guided to E. coli biofilms, penetrating into the biofilm, and releasing the CFX cargo load, triggered by the low pH of the biofilm microenvironment.

RESULTS

Formation and Characterization of Biohybrids. The tubular, mesoporous silica chassis of the biohybrid was fabricated using an organic synthesis method with a commercially available polycarbonate (PC) membrane template. Mesoporous silica formed within the 2 μm pores of the template, and after polishing, mesoporous silica microtubes were released by chemical solvation of the template and subsequent washing and sonication (Figure 1B). The conical pores of the membrane formed the asymmetric shape of the MSMs, and the MSMs were produced in two lengths, long and short MSMS. Inset Scale bar = 10 μm. (C) SEM of MSR-1 cells captured within a microtube. Inset displays bright field microscopy images of long and short MSMs. Inset scale bar = 100 nm. (D) Bright field microscopy images of MSR-1-powered biohybrid swimming. Blue arrow indicates location of MSR-1 inside the microtube. Red track indicates the trajectory of the biohybrid.

Figure 1. Characterizing bacteria-driven biohybrid microswimmers. (A) SEM image of MSR-1 bacterium. Inset displays TEM of MSR-1 and internal magnetosome chain. Inset Scale bar = 500 nm. (B) TEM image of pores in MSMS. Inset displays bright field microscopy images of long and short MSMS. Inset scale bar = 10 μm. (C) SEM of MSR-1 cells captured within a microtube. Inset displays increased magnification of bacteria in tube. Inset scale bar = 500 nm. (D) Bright field microscopy images of MSR-1-powered biohybrid swimming. Blue arrow indicates location of MSR-1 inside the microtube. Red track indicates the trajectory of the biohybrid.
(~20 μm in length) and short (~10 μm in length), where short MSMs were due to breaking of single long MSMs. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the MSMs show nanoscale pores distributed throughout the tube body. After characterization of the MSMs, biohybrids were created by incubating MSR-1 with MSMs in a buffer solution for 15 min with gentle shaking to promote MSR-1 encounters and adhesion with the tubes. MSR-1 adhered inside the tube and were capable of swimming with the tube over prolonged durations. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images in

Figure 2. Magnetically guided swimming. (A) Track of a single biohybrid (left) guided with an external magnetic coil system (right). The starting point of the track is indicated with a blue arrow. (B) Trajectories of free MSR-1 cells or biohybrids moving in a periodically reversing magnetic field. Arrow indicates the general direction of swimming. (C) Sine of the angle (sin θ) of the cell or biohybrid in the rotating magnetic field. (D) Time lapsed U-turn analysis of MSR-1 (top) and biohybrid (bottom). (E) Tracks of four separate biohybrids with magnetic guidance.
Figure 1C display MSR-1 partially penetrating MSMs. The flagella of the MSR-1 with or without the tube could not be observed, but it is hypothesized that the flagella motion of MSR-1 is similar to *Spirillum volutans* bacteria, where the rotating flagella cause the cell body to rotate in the opposite direction, eliminating torque.39 Once the MSR-1 are within the MSM, it is unknown if both flagella or only a single flagella are rotating, but the biohybrids exhibit continuous directional motion. Specific bacteria adhesion inside the tubes was controlled by providing amine chemical moieties only inside the MSM structure (Supporting Information, Figure S1). Limited studies have examined the adhesion of magnetotactic bacteria to surfaces, but the positive charge of the surface-bound (3-aminopropyl)triethoxysilane (APTES) may promote bacteria adhesion due to van der Waals and electrostatic forces.12 APTES localized within the MSMS created guided cell adhesion generating unidirectional forces from the bacteria flagella and allowing the biohybrid to swim efficiently (Figure 1D). The choice of using a magnetically guidable bacteria instead of a magnetically guidable microtube chassis was based upon the multiple capabilities of the MSR-1: swimming, sensing, and magnetic sensitivity. This permitted a larger variety of materials for the tube chassis, materials such as mesoporous silica, which is ideal for drug storage and delivery. As mentioned in our previous article, addition of magnetic components to the chassis increased the density of the microtube; bacteria were still capable of attaching to the microtube, but most biohybrids were not able to swim with the heavier load.38 Use of MSR-1 bacteria resolves this issue.

Once the biohybrid was formed, the microswimmer could be magnetically guided using a permanent magnet (Video SV1) or using electromagnetic coils as seen in Figure 2. The magnetic field, *B*, induced a torque that passively aligned the MSR-1 along the magnetic field lines, forcing the bacteria to swim in a single direction. Biohybrids were capable of following constant changes in the magnetic field and could be directed to swim in complex microtrajectories, such as a figure-eight (Figure 2A, Video SV2), demonstrating they can operate in microenvironments and be guided to biofilm locations. To further investigate their swimming trajectories under magnetic fields, free swimming MSR-1, short biohybrids, and long biohybrids were observed swimming in a periodically reversing magnetic field using the “U-turn method”.40 By reversing a 6 mT magnetic field every 6 s, MSR-1 cells responded by changing their swimming direction and completing a U-turn. The U-turn method is often used to find the magnetic moment of magnetotactic bacteria,41 but it can also be used to analyze the performance of a magnetically guided biohybrid. Figure 2B displays examples of trajectories of the cells and biohybrids in the switching field. The velocities of the biohybrids, long (4 ± 1 μm/s) and short (8 ± 1 μm/s), are slower than the free bacteria and cause the length and diameter of their U-turn trajectories to be much shorter, with the longer biohybrids having U-turns with the lowest diameters. The free-swimming MSR-1 have a higher velocity (21 ± 5 μm/s), so they are capable of swimming larger distances between each field reversal and have a greater diameter in their U-turn trajectory compared to the biohybrids. The sine of the angle (sin θ) of the free bacteria or biohybrid relative to the x−y plane was also measured over time (Figure 2C) and assisted in visualizing the duration of the U-turns. The free bacteria responded almost instantaneously to the reversal in magnetic field; however, the biohybrids needed greater time in reversing their direction (Figure 2D). The delay in changing direction is attributed to the increased force required for the MSR-1 to rotate the tube, but they are still capable of rotating the MSM 180° within the 6 s window. Figure 2B and C provide useful insight on biohybrid rotation capabilities and response time within the magnetic field. The diminished U-turn diameters and the slower turning times of the long biohybrids indicate that there is an upper limit to the length of the MSM that is capable of being reoriented by MSR-1 in a magnetic field. Although longer MSMS would be capable of carrying larger drug doses, there would be a small sacrifice in maneuverability. Shorter biohybrids would be more...
advantageous for guidance to microscale or mobile targets. This information will be essential for future development of bacteria-powered biohybrids and in vivo applications.

Coordinating biohybrid swimming is recognized as a significant challenge in the field of biohybrids, as a significant number of the biohybrids are needed to deliver therapeutic doses of medicine. We acknowledge similar difficulties with the presented microswimmer platform, as not every MSM captures a bacterium. Currently we cannot separate biohybrids from free swimming bacteria, making it difficult to quantitatively confirm the yield of success to biohybrid form. However, there has been success in collecting and monitoring the swimming behavior of small groups of biohybrids. Using an external magnetic field, clusters of biohybrids were observed swimming and operating together. An example is shown in Figure 2E (Video SV3), where four biohybrids swim in unison in the direction of the magnetic field. Unified group swimming of large quantities of biohybrids is recommendable for macroscale targets, such as tumors, but small clusters of biohybrids have an advantage for aiming at smaller targets, such as biofilms. Infectious biofilms are mobile and heterogeneous with scale sizes in the micrometer range. Instead of overwhelming the drug delivery target with biohybrids to increase efficacy, biohybrids may also operate in a “stealth” mode, where antibiotic can be delivered to precise locations to do the most damage. Targeted delivery of antibiotics and biofilm disruption is discussed further in later results.

**CFX and CFX-MSMs with Bacteria and Biofilms.** The fluoroquinolone antibiotic CFX was chosen to load the MSMs for four major reasons: (1) CFX is a clinically relevant antibiotic and is prescribed for numerous bacterial infections, (2) the low dose of antibiotic needed for the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) for *E. coli*, (3) the solubility of CFX is dependent on solution pH, and (4) the fluorescence of the antibiotic is in the visible spectrum, providing a facile method for detection and concentration analysis. MSR-1 and *E. coli* behavior and viability in the presence of CFX are discussed in the Supporting Information (Figure S2). To load MSMs with CFX, MSMs were incubated in an aqueous acidic CFX solution overnight and then washed to produce CFX-MSMs. The solubility of CFX in aqueous solutions is pH dependent, only becoming soluble in acidic solutions, so the release of CFX from CFX-MSMs was measured at two different pH’s in motility media, 5.8 and 7.4 (Figure 3A). At pH 7.4, almost no CFX was released from the tubes over 24 h, but there was a significant increase in release when the pH of the solution was lowered to 5.8. After 24 h, ~0.04 µg mL⁻¹ of CFX had been released from 10⁵ MSMs, illustrating that pH could be used as a trigger to release the antibiotic from the MSMs. As biofilms have previously shown localized acidic microenviromments within the biofilm, they are an ideal spark to initiate CFX release from CFX-MSMs. In Figure 3B, an *E. coli* biofilm had live cells (green), dead cells (red), and acidic microenviromments of cells and EPS (blue) fluorescently labeled. Acidic regions were labeled with a pH-sensitive probe, LysoSensor Blue DND-167, which is essentially nonfluorescent when the pKₐ > 5.2. It is observed in the black and white x−z cross sections of the biofilm image (Figure 3C) that the LysoSensor dye stained a significant portion of the interior of the biofilm, indicating a localized acidic microenviroment which included live and dead MSR-1. To ensure these observed acidic pockets in the biofilm would trigger CFX release from CFX-MSMs, CFX-MSMs were incubated in 48 h *E. coli* biofilms and had their CFX fluorescence monitored over time with confocal microscopy (Figure 3D, Video SV4). After 6 h it is noted that surrounding bacteria in the biofilm also become fluorescent as they absorb the released CFX from the CFX-MSMs. At 24 h, a significant portion of the surrounding biofilm and bacteria are fluorescent, revealing the biofilms are suitable for triggering release of the antibiotic from CFX-MSMs.

---

Figure 4. *E. coli* biofilm disruption. Overnight cultured biofilms had live (green) and dead cells (red) compared in 350 × 350 µm² 3D confocal images. (A, B) Unmodified control biofilms, (C, D) biofilms with MSMs, and (E, F) biofilms with CFX-MSMs were compared at the time of incubation with the microtubes (0 h) and 24 h later. CFX fluorescence is shown in e and f in cyan. (G) CV absorbance analysis of biofilms after 24 or 48 h of incubation with biohybrids (CFX-MSMs+MSR-1) and control groups. Error bars indicate the SD of the mean (n = 15), and statistical significance (with P < 0.01) is indicated with * for data sets that are significantly different between the 24 and 48 h time points.
Biofilm Disruption with Biohybrids. *E. coli* biofilms were cultured for 24 h on glass coverslips, washed with motility media, and then left unmodified, incubated with MSMs, or incubated with antibiotic-loaded CFX-MSMs for another 24 h. Live/dead cell staining was performed at the moment of microtube incubation (0 h) or 24 h after (Figure 4A–F). 3D confocal imaging of the biofilms displays clusters of *E. coli* on the surface. Unmodified biofilms displayed no visual change over a 24 h period (Figure 4A,B), and biofilms incubated with MSMs also had limited variations in bacteria density (Figure 4C,D), but biofilms incubated with CFX-MSMs had a significant change in biofilm structure after 24 h (Figure 4E,D). CFX-MSMs biofilms exhibited a decrease in clustering after incubation with the antibiotic tubes, and final bacteria density was visibly lower compared to the 0 h time point. To further quantify the effect of the biohybrids on the *E. coli* biofilms, crystal violet (CV) staining was employed. The 96-well plates of 24 h cultured biofilm were washed and incubated with the proposed biohybrid (MSR-1+CFX-MSMs) or nine other control groups for 24 or 48 h as seen in Figure 4G. After incubation with the biohybrid and control groups, biofilms were stained with CV, and the optical density (OD) at 590 nm (OD_{590}) was measured to quantify the concentration of *E. coli* biofilm. Exposing the biofilms to 0.05 μg mL\(^{-1}\) CFX solutions resulted in insignificant disruption of the biofilm at both the 24 and 48 h incubation time points, while a higher concentration of CFX, 0.1 μg mL\(^{-1}\), provided a ~40% decrease in CV biofilm absorbance after 24 h that remained constant at 48 h. The increased concentration is significantly higher than the CFX concentration needed to reduce planktonic *E. coli* proliferation, but the result is expected, as biofilms have been shown to be less susceptible to antibiotics than free-swimming bacteria. Biofilms incubated for 24 h with MSR-1, MSMs+MSR-1, CFX-MSMs, and biohybrids also displayed decreases in biofilm formation when compared to the unmodified control biofilm, with biohybrids inducing a 32% decrease in CV absorbance. It is interesting to note that the MSR-1 bacteria and MSMs+MSR-1 also produced similar reductions in absorbance without the assistance of antibiotic after 24 h. We hypothesize that the locomotion and consumption of resources (nutrients, oxygen) of large quantities of MSR-1 bacteria have the capability to disturb the biofilm and prevent static biofilm development as well, therefore decreasing the CV absorbance. However, there is no significant change between the 24 and 48 h time points for control groups containing MSR-1, indicating they would only be useful for temporarily disrupting foreign biofilm. MSR-1 motility decreases over time and ceases once the bacteria die and their death is accelerated at physiological temperatures, leaving MSR-1 inactive 30 to 45 min after exposure to 37 °C. Only the CFX-MSMs group and biohybrid group (MSR-1+CFX-MSMs) demonstrated a statistically significant decrease in CV absorbance between the 24 to 48 h time point (25% and 32% decrease, respectively, labeled with an asterisk in Figure 4G). The biohybrid group also had the greatest overall decrease in CV absorbance from the unmodified biofilm control group at 48 h (55%) when compared to the other controls. In the presence of only antibiotic solution or MSR-1 bacteria, the *E. coli* biofilm disruption plateaus after 24 h, but the antibiotic-loaded biohybrid swimmer has an increased antibiofilm effect that continues to disrupt biofilm until 48 h, displaying biohybrids’ therapeutic advantages as an active drug delivery system.

To prove the overall advantage of our biohybrid systems over current biofilm disruption methodologies, we demonstrated our swimming biohybrids targeting and delivering their antibiotic cargo load. Biohybrids powered by MSR-1 were incubated with *E. coli* biofilms. Using a permanent magnet, biohybrids were guided through concentrated *E. coli* populations to clusters or islands of dense biofilm (Figure 5). Multiple biofilm regions were targeted in a single Petri dish using different biohybrids (Figures 5A and 5C), showing the benefits of operating low numbers of biohybrids to attack multiple microscale targets. Once the target biofilm clusters were reached, the biohybrids could be pushed into the biofilm using the force of the MSR-1.

Figure 5. Magnetic guidance of biohybrids to *E. coli* biofilms. (A) Single MSR-1-powered biohybrid magnetically guided to an island of *E. coli* biofilm (yellow circle). The biohybrid is pushed into the biofilm and becomes trapped. (B) SEM image of a biohybrid trapped in an *E. coli* biofilm. Yellow arrows indicate the location of the MSM. (C) Increased magnification displays EPS and bacteria surrounding the biohybrid.
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propulsion (Video SV5). Although the power of the MSR-1 provides energy to push into the biofilm, once inside, it becomes trapped within the biofilm matrix, becoming incapable of further motility (Figure 5B,C). The biofilm EPS is the largest contributor to the viscoelastic properties of biofilm and has been shown to deform or rearrange its structure due to external stress, such as applied pressure or shear forces. Penetration of the biohybrid into the biofilm causes a temporary elastic deformation, but the high viscosity of the biofilm prevents further biohybrid swimming. This delivery and capture mechanism is an efficient method to ensure targeted drug delivery to the acidic interior biofilm environments. Combining localized cell adhesion for propulsive force, MSR-1 magnetic sensing, and MSM drug loading capacity, we have presented a biohybrid with the potential to disrupt mature E. coli biofilms.

**DISCUSSION**

Even after decades of research, an in-depth understanding of biofilm formation and eradication methodologies remains elusive. There are still many questions regarding the biofilm EPS composition, bacteria communication within the biofilm (quorum sensing), and biofilm metabolic pathways to resist antibiotics. To engage with such a complex biological system, a radical alternative to current methods needs to be presented. The MSR-1-propelled biohybrid demonstrates the feasibility of using a “hero” nonpathogenic bacteria to deliver antibiotics to infectious biofilms. The MSR-1 bacteria possesses the ability to sense and respond to external magnetic fields, allowing the biohybrid to have targeted antibiotic delivery to motile biofilm cites and the ability to penetrate the microtubular systems deep within the biofilm body and EPS, where the drug release is triggered by biochemical properties of the biofilm. Although this article has demonstrated a proof-of-concept of application, there are still many steps forward until such bacteria biohybrids may be used in vivo. Other types of synthetic swimmers, such as helical nanopropellers or micro artificial bacterial flagella, do not require bioenergy propulsion, but swim by rotation using an external rotating magnetic field. These swimmers could also be considered for the task of antibiotic delivery, as they offer accurate guidance systems with proven drug delivery capabilities. However, these systems lack the sophisticated sensing mechanisms of biological organisms. Helical microswimmer systems require visual observation to be guided toward their intended target, diminishing their applicability for drug delivery to concealed locations until there are improved imaging and resolution techniques. Free swimming bacteria have also shown to disrupt biofilms by tunneling through the matrix, allowing toxic chemicals to permeate the biofilm, but there is no navigational control of the tunneling bacteria, making it difficult to target a precise location. To achieve future in vivo targeted drug delivery, microswimmers will require multicomponent guidance systems utilizing external magnetic control to reach a general location as well as more sensitive local sensing, such as pH, temperature, or biochemical guidance, to find specific microenvironments. Bacteria biohybrids incorporate a synthetic component for triggered drug delivery and a bacterium as a living power source and natural sensor, giving them an advantage over single-element synthetic or cellular swimmers. The presented MSR-1-powered biohybrid moves the microswimmer field closer toward clinical applications and illustrates that bacteria biohybrids can be employed for antibiotic research.

In addition to our biohybrid design properties to target biofilms, we have also considered the effect of our biohybrid on nonpathogenic host bacteria. The plethora of varied bacteria in the human microbiome has only recently been investigated, and initial research has shown bacteria colonies around the human body form symbiotic relationships with their hosts. These relationships have proven essential to maintain good health, as the normal microflora prevent the overgrowth of opportunistic and pathogenic microorganisms. Antibiotics, including CFX, have shown to eliminate natural intestinal microbiota, which results in health problems including alterations in metabolism. Although the MIC of CFX required for E. coli has shown to be <0.06 μg/mL, patients with bacterial infections are given oral CFX doses of 250 to 750 mg tablets, leading to some adverse gastrointestinal and nervous system reactions. Guided manual delivery of antibiotics could also improve the medical side-effects of nontargeted antibiotics and help maintain natural host bacteria. As shown in Figure 4G, the antibiotic-loaded biohybrids have a greater effect at reducing established biofilm when compared to CFX solutions. By guiding the biohybrids to defined locations, the potency of the antibiotic will only be realized at the infection site. Antibiofilm agents that include protection of natural benign bacteria improve the efficacy of drug delivery, by localizing bacteria damage and reducing indirect health complications.

Therapeutic methods could also benefit from the penetration delivery method of the presented biohybrid. Biofilm survival is directly linked with its mechanical strength and low metabolic activity. The biofilm and EPS create a protective shell forming a physical barrier for the biofilm, and the diminished growth rate of biofilms limits uptake of local antibiotics. Previous research with fluoroquinolones has shown the drugs are capable of penetrating entire biofilms, but even with prolonged exposure to antibiotics such as CFX, cell death in biofilms is observed only at the biofilm interface, while the bulk remains viable and intact. The ability for E. coli biofilms to remain viable in antibiotics is attributed to their efflux pump systems. Efflux pump genes are highly upregulated during biofilm growth, and efflux pumps are responsible for waste and toxic substance removal, including antibiotics, resulting in antibiotic-tolerant biofilms. However, physical disruption and breakage of biofilms makes biofilm bacteria more susceptible to antibiotics and therefore easier to treat. Using magnetic actuation, magnetotactic bacteria have demonstrated applied mechanical force on biofilms, resulting in subsequent biofilm damage. Combining physical disruption with antibiotic release would improve the therapeutic effect of antibiotic agents. The penetration power of the MSR-1-powered biohybrid pushes through the outer shell of the biofilm and accesses the low-metabolic cells within the biofilm core (Figure 5). This drug delivery method is effective because it utilizes a potent fluoroquinolone antibiotic and physically damages the biofilm. It could be envisioned that future therapeutic applications could use a combination of administered free antibiotic to kill planktonic bacteria and biohybrids to strike and disrupt biofilms. The two-pronged approach would be effective at eradicating robust biofilm cultures that are not affected by antibiotics alone.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Biohybrids operate as intelligent micro robotic systems, with a powerful bioengine and triggered antibiotic delivery. The presented biohybrids are active drug delivery systems using a
living bacteria power source with a natural magnetic sensor and demonstrate the feasibility of an antibiofilm biohybrid. This report demonstrates the advantages of microswimmer technology for the clinically relevant and considerable problem of biofilm formation and its potential for having greater targeting and therapeutic capabilities than monocomponent swimmers or passive drug delivery. Future efforts will focus on increasing the potency of the systems by exploring other antibiotics, but also their ability to function in biological non-Newtonian fluids, such as mucus or saliva. The sensing capabilities of the MSR-1 will also be further probed, by investigating their oxygen sensitivity to the depleted oxygen zones of the biofilm and determine if MSR-1 biohybrids could detect and target biofilms with minimal magnetic navigation. Finally, increasing the quantity of biohybrids will be investigated to improve the therapeutic capacity of biohybrid drug delivery systems.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fabrication of MSMs. MSMs were synthesized using a cyclopore PC membrane template, containing 2 μm maximum diameter conical-shaped micropores (catalog no. 7060-2511; Whatman, Maidstone, UK). Hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (12.5 mg, 99%, Sigma-Aldrich) and triethanolamine (10 mg, 98%, Sigma-Aldrich) were dissolved in 4 mL of deionized water in a glass vial containing the polycarbonate membrane. The mix was heated to 80 °C, and 5 μL of tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS, 99%, Sigma-Aldrich) was added under stirring. After 30 min at constant temperature, 30 μL of a mixture of 1:2 v/v APTES (Sigma-Aldrich) and TEOS was added. The reaction was kept at 80 °C for 2 h with stirring. The reaction was removed from heat, and the solution was kept stirring for 20 min at room temperature. The membrane was removed, rinsed extensively with deionized water, and polished on both membrane sides with a moist tissue. The membrane was removed, rinsed extensively with deionized water, and the solution was kept stirring for 20 min at room temperature. The membrane was removed, rinsed extensively with deionized water, and polished on both membrane sides with a moist tissue. The membrane was removed, rinsed extensively with deionized water, and the solution was kept stirring for 20 min at room temperature. The membrane was removed, rinsed extensively with deionized water, and polished on both membrane sides with a moist tissue.

MSR-1 Culture. The cultivation medium from Heyen and Schüler was used to grow MSR-1 in Hungate tubes. Ten milliliters of a fully grown culture was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 min, and the resulting pellet was isolated due to a band formation process in the semisolid medium column (0.1% agar). The motile part of the population was isolated by a band formation process in the semisolid medium column (0.1% agar). The motile part of the population was isolated by a band formation process in the semisolid medium column (0.1% agar). The motile part of the population was isolated by a band formation process in the semisolid medium column (0.1% agar).

Biohybrid Microswimmer Formation. MSR-1 cells were centrifuged (6000 rpm, 3 min) and resuspended twice in motility media (0.01 M K2HPO4, 67 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 0.002% v/v Tween-20 (pH adjusted to 7.4)). All experiments with bacteria were performed in motility media unless specified. MSMs were added to MSR-1 in motility media and allowed to incubate at ambient temperature for 15 min before imaging in glass coverslip-bottom Petri dishes (Cellview Cell Culture Dish, Greiner Bio-One). Videos and images were acquired using bright field microscopy with an inverted Leica DMi3000B microscope, Leica DFC3000G camera, and Leica Application Suite v.4.5.0 software. For magnetic maneuverability and group swimming experiments, a custom-made microscope was utilized to generate magnetic fields at the sample position during microscopy. Briefly, a triaxial Helmholtz coil setup (C-SpinCoil-XYZ, Micro Magnetics Inc.) was used to generate a homogeneous magnetic field inside the region of interest directly above the microscope objective. The sample was illuminated with a red LED, and the images were captured with a CMOS camera at 10 Hz (2560 × 2160 pixels; NeosCMOS, Andor Technology). For “U-turn” analysis, magnetic fields were generated with four orthogonally oriented iron-core electromagnets. The coils were built onto another custom-made microscope stage to surround a 35 mm Petri dish and placed onto an inverted Zeiss (Carl Zeiss, Inc., Oberkochen, Germany) Axio Observer A1 microscope with a 20× (NA = 0.5) objective lens. The input current for the coils was controlled by motor drivers and an Arduino microcontroller board, and the ~6 mT magnetic field strength was calibrated using a Lake Shore gaussmeter (Darmstadt, Germany) model 460-3-channel gaussmeter. For acquiring swimming velocities, MSR-1 and biohybrids were recorded with a Zeiss Axiocam 503 CCD camera. Tracking of MSR-1 and or biohybrids was performed with ImageJ Fiji 1.49p MTtrackj manual tracking software or an in-house-developed script in Python with the OpenCV library using recorded videos. Thresholding criteria were used to distinguish bacteria and tubes from the background with their positions taken as the center of mass of the contour. Bayesian decision making determined the trajectory of the motors over time. SEM and TEM Imaging of Bacteria and Biohybrids. Bacteria and tubes were imaged with a Zeiss Ultra S5 Gemini scanning electron microscope using an accelerating voltage of 5 keV and an in-lens detector. To prepare samples for SEM, biohybrids suspended in motility media were allowed to sediment on clean, plasma-etched (1 min argon plasma, Diener Electronic Atto Plasma Cleaner) silicon wafer chips (5 × 6 mm) for 1 h at ambient temperature. For biofilm, E. coli were allowed to culture on wafers at 30 °C for 72 h and then washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Wafers were incubated in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in PBS for 45 min at 4 °C and rinsed with PBS, then water. Bacteria were dehydrated in a series of increasing aqueous ethanol concentrations (30%, 50%, 70%, 90%, and 100%) for 5 min in each solution and 10 min in pure ethanol. Bacteria were further dehydrated and preserved using a series of hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS, Sigma-Aldrich) solutions: 2:1 ethanol/HMDS (15 min), 1:1 ethanol/HMDS (15 min), pure HMDS (15 min). Wafers and bacteria were air-dried for 2 h followed by sputtering deposition of 3 nm gold using a Bal-tec MED 020 coating system (Leica). Low-magnification, bright field, TEM images of bacteria and MSMs were acquired with a Zeiss EM 912 Omega at 120 kV. Loading MSMs with CFX (CFX-MSMs). Five mg/mL ciprofloxacin (CFX, Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in 0.1 M aqueous HCl. Then 60 μL of the concentrated CFX solution was added to 540 μL of MSMs in water. MSMs with CFX were shaken overnight in a pulse vortex mixer (1500 speed) at ambient temperature. MSMs were collected by centrifugation at 20 000 rpm for 6 min and resuspended twice in motility media. CFX-MSMs were used immediately for CFX release measurements or biohybrid formation. CFX Release from CFX-MSMs. Freshly made CFX-MSMs suspended in 1 mL of motility media at pH 5.8 or 7.4 in an Eppendorf tube were centrifuged at 20 000 rpm for 6 min. A 400 μL amount of supernatant was collected from the tube, without disturbing the CFX-MSMs pellet, and was divided into two 200 μL aliquots in a black, flat-bottom, chimney 96-well plate (Greiner Bio-One). Well plates also contained calibration samples of CFX in motility media (0, 0.05, 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.8, and 1.0 μg/mL) at pH 5.8 or 7.4. Samples’ fluorescence intensity was measured with a Tecan Infinite M200 multifunctional reader and Tecan i-control 1.10 software. The excitation wavelength and emission wavelength were set to 280 and 425 nm, respectively. After analysis, the supernatant was returned to the CFX-MSMs Eppendorf tube and gently shaken to break up the microtube pellet. These measurements were repeated for the given time points: 0, 0.5, 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, and 24 h. Release experiments were repeated in triplicate. The calibration curves (Figure S4) in each measurement were used to calculate the concentration of supernatant in the sample solution at each pH. E. coli Biofilm Formation. E. coli MG1655 cultured on LB agar plates (Sigma-Aldrich) were transferred to 5 mL of LB broth (Sigma-Aldrich) and allowed to divide overnight at 30 °C and 150 rpm. A 50 μL amount of concentrated MG1655 solution was diluted in 5 mL of fresh LB broth. A diluted E. coli solution was plated in sterile nontissue culture treated 96-well plates (Eppendorf) or Petri dishes with glass bottoms and allowed to culture for 24 or 48 h at 30 °C for biofilm formation. LB broth was removed and replaced with motility media. DOI: 10.1021/acsnano.7b04128
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For inhibition studies of biofilm formation with CFX, diluted E. coli solutions in LB broth were plated in a 96-well plate with various concentrations of CFX (0–0.3 μg/mL), with 200 μL per well. The optical density of each well was measured at 600 nm (OD<sub>600</sub>) with a BioTek Gen5 Synergy 2 plate reader every 20 min for 15 h at 30 °C with gentle shaking.

**Visualization of Biofilms.** Confocal imaging was performed with a Nikon Eclipse Ti confocal microscope equipped with an Andor EMCCD camera (iXon Ultra 888, 1024 × 1024 sensor format of 13 μm × 13 μm pixel size, Belfast, UK) and a Yokogawa CSU-W1 spinning disk. A CFI Plan Apo VC 20× (NA = 0.75) and a Plan Apo VC 60× oil (NA = 1.4) objective lens were used for all 3D confocal images. Twenty-four-hour matured E. coli biofilms cultured on glass bottom Petri dishes were washed once with motility media. For imaging live and dead cells within the biofilm, motility media was removed and the biofilms were incubated with 2 μL/mL of propidium iodide and 2 μL/mL of STYO 9 (Life Technologies) in motility media for 15 min. Dye solution was removed, and the biofilm was stained with 1 μM LysoSensor Blue DND-167 (Life Technologies) for 1 h at 37 °C, followed by gentle washing with fresh motility media. pH distribution within the biofilm architecture was reconstructed using Nikon NIS AR software (v.4.60). For analysis of biofilms with microtubes, biofilms were incubated with MSMs or CFX-MSMs. Unmodified biofilms were used as a control group. Live/dead labeling and imaging was performed as described previously. A set of biofilms were stained after the initial incubation with MSMs or CFX-MSMs (t = 0 h), and separate biofilm sets were stained 24 h after incubation with the microtubes for comparison. The staining solution was removed, and the biofilms were rinsed once with motility media. 3D confocal imaging of the biofilms was used to observe each experimental group. To visualize the release of CFX from CFX-MSMs, CFX-MSMs were incubated with a 24 h matured E. coli biofilm. Time-lapsed 3D confocal images of CFX fluorescence were taken over 24 h. Since only CFX fluorescence was imaged, biofilm absorbing the CFX from the CFX-MSMs could be observed.

**CV Biofilm Assay.** Biofilm CV analysis protocol was adapted from Merrit et al. Sterile nontissue culture treated 96-well plates (Eppendorf) had 100 μL aliquots of bacteria solution placed in each well or LB broth as a control. Biofilm was cultured for 24 h at 30 °C in a static environment. LB broth was removed, and each well of the biofilm was washed with 2× with motility media. The motility media was removed, and wells were incubated with 100 μL of biohybrids or experimental control groups in motility media (0.05 μg/mL CFX, 0.1 μg/mL CFX, MSR-1, MSMs, MSR-1+MSMs, CFX-MSMs, and CFX-MSMs+MSR-1). Unmodified biofilm wells, blank wells, and wells with LB broth were used as controls. Each experimental parameter had five wells. The OD<sub>600</sub> of MSR-1 was kept at ~0.04 for all experiments. The OD<sub>600</sub> was obtained from 200 μL of motility media with MSR-1 in a 96-well plate at ambient temperature using bacteria-free media for a control. The density of MSMs and CFX-MSMs for all experimental parameters was kept at ~20 000 microtubes per well. E. coli biofilms were incubated with control groups and biohybrids for 24 h at 25 °C in a static environment. Media and controls were removed, and wells were washed once with fresh motility media. A 125 μL amount of 0.1% w/v aqueous CV (Sigma-Aldrich) was put in each well and stained the biofilms for 10 min. Stain was removed, and wells were washed 3× with 150 μL of deionized water. Water was removed, and wells were allowed to air-dry for 15 min followed by incubation with 200 μL of 80% v/v ethanol in water for 15 min to solubilize the biofilm. CV solutions were pipetted into a new 96-well plate and had their OD<sub>590</sub> measured with the BioTek plate reader. The CV biofilm assay was repeated in triplicate, and statistical significance of the data was evaluated using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post hoc Bonferroni tests to compare individual means using OriginPro 9.10 software. The level of statistically significant data had P-values of 0.01 or less when compared to the unmodified biofilm group.
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