Single-shot carrier-envelope phase determination of long superintense laser pulses
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The impact of the carrier-envelope phase (CEP) of an intense multi-cycle laser pulse on the radiation of an electron beam during nonlinear Compton scattering is investigated. An interaction regime of the electron beam counterpropagating to the laser pulse is employed, when pronounced high-energy x-ray double peaks emerge at different angles near the backward direction relative to the initial electron motion. This is achieved in the relativistic interaction domain, with the additional requirements that the electron energy is much lower than that necessary for the electron reflection condition at the laser peak, and the stochasticity effects in the photon emission are weak. The asymmetry parameter of the double peaks in the angular radiation distribution is shown to serve as a sensitive and uniform measure for the CEP of the laser pulse. The method demonstrates unprecedented sensitivity to subtle CEP-effects up to 10-cycle laser pulses and can be applied for the characterization of extremely strong laser pulses in present and near future laser facilities.

Superintense laser technique has been developing rapidly in recent years. Petawatt (PW) laser systems are developed across the globe, and 10 PW short-pulse lasers are anticipated in near future, e.g., in the Central Laser Facility in UK (Vulcan) [1], in the Extreme Light Infrastructure (ELI) Nuclear Physics (ELI-NP) in Romania [2], and in the ELI-Beamlines in the Czech Republic [3]. Thus, the present record of a laser intensity of $I \sim 10^{22} - 10^{25}$ W/cm$^2$ are under construction, e.g., ELI and Exawatt Center for Extreme Light Studies (XCELS) [5, 6], opening bright prospects for investigation of new regimes of laser-matter interaction.

Extremely intense lasers require new techniques for characterization of laser-pulse parameters: intensity, focal radius, pulse shape, chirp, and carrier-envelope phase (CEP). The CEP is an important parameter in the strong field physics and nonlinear QED. Thus, the CEP has a significant impact on the radiation of an intense multi-cycle laser pulse. We judiciously choose the regime when the backward radiation relative to the electron’s initial motion is enhanced, forming a broad peak splitting into two parts. The asymmetry parameter of these two peaks provides a sensitive measure of CEP. The designated regime is achieved in the relativistic domain, however, with a rather small Lorentz factor $\gamma$ of the electrons, such that the interaction with the laser field is below the so-called, reflection condition. Moreover, the stochasticity effects are required to be rather weak, opposite to the case considered.

Defining more concretely the parameters of the considered regime: for the below reflection condition $\gamma$ should be much smaller than the invariant laser intensity parameter $\xi$: $\gamma \ll \xi$, where $\xi \equiv eE_0/(\gamma m\omega_0)$, $E_0$ and $\omega_0$ are the amplitude and frequency of the laser field, respectively, and $-e$ and $m$ the electron charge and mass, respectively. Planck units $\hbar = c = 1$ are used throughout. For weak stochasticity effects, $\chi \leq 0.1$ is required, where $\chi \equiv |e|\sqrt{(F_{\mu\nu}p^\nu)^2}/m^3$ is the invariant quantum parameter, $F_{\mu\nu}$ the field tensor, and $p^\nu = (\varepsilon, \mathbf{p})$ the incoming electron 4-momentum.

In the common setup of nonlinear Compton scattering, the condition $\gamma \gg \xi$ for the initial laser and electron parameters is employed, when the radiation concentrates mainly in the forward direction relative to the initial motion of electrons. In the regime of interaction close to the reflection
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condition $\gamma \sim \xi/2$, backward emission appears in the angle-resolved radiation spectrum [14, 27, 31, 39]. When the parameters controlling radiation reaction and laser focusing are adapted in such a way that the reflection takes place at the peak of the laser pulse in the focal spot, a broad peak arises in the backward radiation [28]. In this paper, $\gamma \ll \xi$, when the electron entering the counterpropagating laser beam is reflected before reaching the laser focal field and subsequently accelerated along the laser pulse. Before the reflection the forward radiation is weak due to the small $\gamma$ and the low laser field. The electron mainly radiates backwards after acceleration when it experiences the near peak region of the laser pulse. Multiple bursts of radiation arise in the angle-resolved spectra in the backward direction, which correspond to the laser-cycle structure. While in the quantum regime of $\gamma \sim 1$ the multiple bursts coalesce into a single backward peak due to stochasticity effects of the photon emission [28], here we use $\gamma \ll 1$ limit when at least two radiation peaks are well exhibited in the angle-resolved backward spectrum, see Fig. 1. These peaks sensitively probe the structure of the laser pulse. Consequently, the asymmetry of the peaks is significant even in the case of multi-cycle laser pulses. The asymmetry parameter of the peaks monotonously varies with respect to CEP, allowing to measure CEP of multi-cycle laser pulses.}

The electron radiation is simulated using the QED Monte-Carlo approach, applicable in superstrong laser fields $\xi \gg 1$ [39, 42]. The photon emission probability in this limit is determined by the local value of the parameter $\chi$ [43]. Between photon emissions electrons are propagated via classical equations of motion. In our simulations, even though the parameter $\chi$ is small, the discrete and probabilistic character of photon emission is accounted for. We employ a linearly polarized focused laser pulse with a Gaussian temporal profile and the CEP $\psi_{\text{CEP}}$, which propagates along $+z$-direction and is polarized in $x$-direction (for details on the configuration and pulse structure see [42]). The spatial distribution of the electromagnetic fields takes into account up to the $e^6$-order of the nonparaxial solution [42, 44], where the focusing parameter $\epsilon = w_0/z_r$, $w_0$ is the laser focal radius, $z_r = nw_0^2/\lambda_0$ the Rayleigh length, and $\lambda_0$ the laser wavelength. In the laser-electron interaction, the quantum invariant parameter $\chi = \gamma(\omega_0/m)(1 - \beta \cos \theta) \approx 10^{\beta} \xi \lesssim 10^{-1}$, where $\beta$ is the Lorentz $\beta$-parameter, and $\theta$ the angle between the laser wave vector and electron momentum. The required conditions $\xi \gg \gamma \gg 1$ and $\chi \ll 1$ are fulfilled at $\xi \sim 10^3 - 10^4$ $(I \sim 10^{22} - 10^{23} \text{W/cm}^2)$. We consider here the currently realistic laser intensities of $I \sim 10^{22} - 10^{23} \text{W/cm}^2$.

The angular distributions of radiation in 6-cycle (FWHM) laser pulses with different CEPs are illustrated in Fig. 1. The laser and electron beam parameters are typical for the laser-plasma acceleration setup [40]. The electron beam radius is $w_e = L_e = 6.5$, and the total electron number is $N_e = 1.2 \times 10^5$ (electron density $n_e \approx 6.37 \times 10^{15} \text{cm}^{-3}$). The initial mean kinetic energy of the electron is $E_0 = 10 \text{ MeV}$ ($\gamma_0 \approx 19.6$, the maximum value of $\chi$ during interaction $\chi_{\max} \approx 0.037$), and the energy and angular spread are $\Delta E/E_0 = \Delta \theta = 0.02$. In the considered linearly polarized laser pulse, the azimuthal angle $\phi = 0^\circ$ and $180^\circ$ correspond to the positive and negative directions of the polarization, respectively. The radiation around $0^\circ$ and $180^\circ$ are not symmetric due to asymmetry of the laser pulse.

The relativistic electrons penetrate into the laser field, however, the forward radiation is rather weak since the initial $\chi \sim 10^{-2}$ is very small. As the electrons are reflected and

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) and (b): angle-resolved radiation energy $E_R$ in units of the electron rest energy $m$ vs the emission polar angle $\theta$ and the azimuthal angle $\phi$ in a 6-cycle focused laser pulse; color coded is $\log_{10}[dE_R/d\Omega]$ rad$^{-2}$ with the emission solid angle $\Omega$; the CEP $\psi_{\text{CEP}} = 0^\circ$ and $180^\circ$, respectively. (c) and (d): the variation of $dE_R/d\Omega$ with respect to $\theta$ taking $\psi_{\text{CEP}} = 0^\circ$ and $180^\circ$, respectively; $P_1$ and $P_2$ are corresponding to the two main peaks from left to right. All other parameters are given in the text.

FIG. 2. (a) The asymmetry parameter $A$ of the backward radiation peaks $P_1$ and $P_2$ vs CEP. The polar angles (b) $\theta_1$ and (c) $\theta_2$ vs CEP. The red-solid and blue-dotted curves represent the results of the laser intensities of $\xi = 600$ and 100, respectively. The electron kinetic energies are 10 MeV and 3 MeV, respectively. The periodic variation of $A$ for $\xi = 600$ is shown in (a), and are omitted for other cases. Other parameters are the same as in Fig. 1.
accelerated by the intense laser field, the radiation which is in the backward direction relative to the electron initial motion, is enhanced. This is because the parameters $\gamma$, $\xi$, and instantaneous emitted photon energy $\epsilon_0 \sim \gamma \eta$ are increased. During the reflection, the emission polar angle $\theta$ varies from $180^\circ$ to close to $0^\circ$.

The angle-resolved spectra of the radiation significantly depend on the CEP. To quantify the CEP effect, we focus on the strongest radiation domain along the polarization plane in the region of $-15^\circ \leq \phi \leq +15^\circ$, analyzing the radiation energy $\frac{d\tilde{E}}{d\tilde{E}}(\phi) = \int_{-15^\circ}^{15^\circ} d\phi \frac{d\tilde{E}}{d\phi}$, as shown in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d). The two main peaks of the radiation are marked as $P_1$ and $P_2$. The relative height of the peaks and the corresponding polar angles are different at $\psi_{\text{CEP}} = 0^\circ$ and $\psi_{\text{CEP}} = 180^\circ$.

We define the asymmetry parameter of the peaks

$$A = \frac{M_{P_1} - M_{P_2}}{M_{P_1} + M_{P_2}},$$

with the height of the peaks $M_{P_{1,2}} = \frac{d\tilde{E}}{d\tilde{E}}(\phi) |_{\phi = \theta_{P_{1,2}}}$, and the corresponding polar angles $\theta_{P_1}$ and $\theta_{P_2}$, respectively.

We proceed the analysis of dependencies of $A$, $\theta_{P_1}$, and $\theta_{P_2}$ on CEP with a CEP interval of $10^\circ$, as shown in Fig. 2. And, the results of two laser intensities of $I = 10^{22}$ W/cm$^2$ ($\xi = 100$, blue-dash curves) and $I = 10^{23}$ W/cm$^2$ ($\xi = 600$, red-solid curves) are compared. $A$, $\theta_{P_1}$, and $\theta_{P_2}$ all monotonously increase with $\psi_{\text{CEP}}$, which can be used to characterize CEP of the laser pulse. In particular, as shown in Fig. 2(a), the asymmetry parameter $A$ varies in a large range from approximately $-0.5$ to $0.5$ for both intensities. The emission angles of the peaks also can be used as a CEP indicator. As $\theta_{P_1}$ varies with CEP in a larger range than $\theta_{P_2}$, see Fig. 2(b) and (c) $\theta_{P_1}$ grows approximately by $9.07^\circ$, from $12.81^\circ$ to $21.88^\circ$, for $\xi = 600$, and by $10.1^\circ$, from $19.69^\circ$ to $29.79^\circ$, for $\xi = 100$; $\theta_{P_2}$ grows by $20.4^\circ$, from $22.07^\circ$ to $42.47^\circ$, for $\xi = 600$, and by $27.22^\circ$, from $31.4^\circ$ to $58.62^\circ$, for $\xi = 100$, the determination of CEP via $\theta_{P_1}$ is preferable.

Note that the CEP signatures are not affected much from decreasing the value of the $\chi$-parameter (for our calculations in the $\xi = 100$ case the parameter $\chi_{\text{max}} \approx 0.004$ is much smaller than $\chi_{\text{max}} \approx 0.037$ for the $\xi = 600$ case), although the total radiation intensity is decreased.

We analyze the emergence of radiation peaks and their relative heights in Fig. 3. Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show the radiation intensity resolved in laser cycles for $\psi_{\text{CEP}} = 0^\circ$ and $180^\circ$, respectively. In each laser cycle the strongest radiation arises near the peaks of the cycles at a certain emission angle. Between adjacent radiation peaks, there is a gap in the emission angle corresponding to the weak field part of the laser cycle. Integrating the radiation intensities in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) by the emission laser phase $\tilde{\eta}$ generates the peak structures of radiation in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d). As the initial energies of the electrons $\epsilon_0 \ll \xi/2$, the electrons are easily reflected ($\theta \approx 90^\circ$) and accelerated by the laser fields before the laser peak, $\tilde{\eta} \approx 5$ in Fig. 3(c), and $\tilde{\eta} \approx 4.2$ in Fig. 3(d). Short after the reflection, the ponderomotive force due to the transverse profile of the focused laser field pushes the electrons transversely out of the laser pulses (see the trajectories of the sample electrons in Figs. 3(g) and 3(h)). The farther the electron is away from the beam center, the faster it is expelled from the beam, and this at a larger angle. In fact, as illustrated in Figs. 3(e) and 3(f), the first peak $P_1$ at small angles (Fig. 1(e)) is exclusively formed by the radiation of the electrons initially located in the center of the beam (the yellow area of the beam), and the second peak $P_2$ by the electrons located far from the beam center (the black area). The reason is that the ponderomotive force, being proportional to the gradient of the transverse profile of the laser beam, is larger for electrons at the wings of the beam than in the center of the beam. Note, however, that during oscillation electrons from the yellow region radiate in other polar angles as well. Moreover, we find that the longitudinal position of the electron in the beam does not affect significantly the generation of radiation bursts.

We proceed to discuss the impacts of the laser and electron parameters on the CEP signatures. The role of the electron's...
As the electron kinetic energy decreases from 40 MeV to 3 MeV, the gradient of $\mathcal{A}$ increases, although the absolute intensity of the radiation decreases due to the decrease of the $\chi$-parameter. In the considered Gaussian laser pulse, around the laser-envelope peak, the laser intensity is inversely proportional to its gradient (see Figs. 3(c) and 3(d)). A slower electron can be reflected by a lower laser intensity ($\propto \xi$). The electron can be reflected by a lower laser intensity ($\propto \xi$). As the laser-pulse duration increases from 2 cycles to 10 cycles, the gradient of the laser-field amplitude along the laser pulse decreases, therefore, the gradient of $\mathcal{A}$ decreases as well, see Fig. 5(a).

Although the gradient of the asymmetry parameter is large in the 2-cycle laser pulse in a certain CEP region, in the regions of $\psi_{\text{CEP}} \approx -200^\circ$ and $\psi_{\text{CEP}} \approx 200^\circ$, $\mathcal{A}$ saturates $\mathcal{A} \approx \pm 1$, when one of peaks is much smaller than another one. One can deduce from Fig. 5 that in ultrashort pulses less than 2-cycles, $\theta_{\text{p}}$ becomes more suitable measure of CEP because it maintains uniformity in the full CEP range. The CEP resolutions for the 4-, 6-, 8- and 10-cycle cases with other parameters as in Fig. 1 are approximately $0.36^\circ$, $0.44^\circ$, $0.68^\circ$ and $0.93^\circ$, respectively. Thus, the resolution is oppositely proportional to the laser-pulse length.

Concluding, we investigate a new method for the determination of the CEP of intense long laser pulses via analyzing angle-resolved radiation spectra via nonlinear Compton scattering. Two main radiation peaks are generated in backward radiation relative to the electron motion in suitable conditions. The asymmetry parameter and the corresponding emission polar angles of the two peaks can characterize the CEP of the laser pulse with a high resolution of about $1^\circ$. The method is robust with the laser and electron beam parameters and are applicable for currently achievable laser sources and those under-construction of relativistic intensities.
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