A versatile and efficient variational approach is developed to solve in- and out-of-equilibrium problems of generic quantum spin-impurity systems. Employing the discrete symmetry hidden in spin-impurity models, we present a new canonical transformation that completely decouples the impurity and bath degrees of freedom. Combining it with Gaussian states, we present a family of many-body states to efficiently encode nontrivial impurity-bath correlations. We demonstrate its successful application to the anisotropic and two-lead Kondo models by studying their spatiotemporal dynamics and universal behavior in the correlations, relaxation times and the differential conductance. We compare them to previous analytical and numerical results. In particular, we apply our method to study new types of nonequilibrium phenomena that have not been studied by other methods, such as long-time crossover in the ferromagnetic easy-plane Kondo model. The present approach will be applicable to a variety of unsolved problems in solid-state and ultracold-atomic systems.
long-time spatiotemporal dynamics is difficult (if not impossible) to obtain in other approaches. Our versatile variational approach will pave the way towards solving interesting novel problems in both solid-state and ultracold-atomic systems.

**Canonical transformation.**— We first formulate our approach in the most general way as it is applicable to a wide class of SIM. The difficulty in SIM stems from the need to treat the strong entanglement between the impurity and bath. Here we introduce a new canonical transformation that completely decouples the impurity spin and bath degrees of freedom. We consider the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{\text{bath}} + \hat{H}_{\text{int}} + \hat{H}_{\text{imp}},$$

where $\hat{H}_{\text{bath}} = \sum_{l,m,\alpha} \hat{\Psi}^\dagger_{l,m} \hat{h}_m \hat{\Psi}_{l,m}$ describes an arbitrary single-particle Hamiltonian, with fermionic or bosonic creation (annihilation) operator $\hat{\Psi}^\dagger_{l,m} (\hat{\Psi}_{l,m})$ for the $l$-th bath mode with spin $\alpha$. For simplicity, we consider a noninteracting spin-1 bath with $\alpha = \uparrow , \downarrow$ [79]. The Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text{int}} = \hat{s}_{\text{imp}} \cdot \Sigma$ represents a generic interaction between the impurity and the bath with $\hat{s}_{\text{imp}} = \sigma_{\text{imp}}/2$ being the impurity spin-1/2 operator. We define the bath-spin operator including couplings as $\Sigma^\gamma = \sum_l g_l^\gamma \hat{\sigma}_l^\gamma / 2$ with $\hat{\sigma}_l^\gamma = \sum_{\alpha \beta} \hat{\Psi}^\dagger_{l,\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha \beta} \hat{\Psi}_{l,\beta}$. The interaction strengths $g_l^\gamma$ are arbitrary and can be anisotropic long-range. We also include the impurity Hamiltonian as $\hat{H}_{\text{imp}} = -h_z \hat{s}_{\text{imp}}^z$. Paradigmatic examples having the interaction form $\hat{H}_{\text{int}}$ include the Kondo-type Hamiltonians [14] where the coupling $g_l^\gamma$ is local, and the central spin model [80] where an interaction is long-range while $\hat{H}_{\text{bath}}$ is frozen.

To construct the canonical transformation, we observe that the Hamiltonian has a parity symmetry, $[\hat{H}, \hat{P}] = 0$, with $\hat{P} = \hat{\sigma}_{x,\text{bath}}^z \hat{P}_{\text{bath}}$. Here, $\hat{P}_{\text{bath}} = e^{i(\pi/2)\sum_l \hat{\sigma}_l^z + \hat{N}}$ is the parity operator acting on the bath, where $\hat{N}$ is the total particle number. The symmetry follows from the fact that $\hat{H}$ is invariant under the transformation $\hat{P}^{-1} \hat{O} \hat{P}$, which rotates the entire system around $z$ axis by $\pi$, i.e., transforms both impurity and bath spins as $\hat{\sigma}_{x,y} \rightarrow -\hat{\sigma}_{x,y}$. Our aim is to employ a parity conservation to find the disentangling transformation $\hat{U}$ satisfying $\hat{U}^\dagger \hat{P} \hat{U} = \hat{\sigma}_{\text{imp}}^z$ such that the impurity spin turns out to be a conserved quantity in the transformed frame. We can construct such a unitary transformation as

$$\hat{U} = \exp \left[ i \frac{\pi}{4} \sigma_{y,\text{imp}} \hat{P}_{\text{bath}} \right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( 1 + i \sigma_{y,\text{imp}} \hat{P}_{\text{bath}} \right),$$

where we use $\hat{P}_{\text{bath}}^2 = 1$. This leaves $\hat{H}_{\text{bath}}$ invariant, while it maps the interaction onto $\hat{H}_{\text{int}} = \hat{U}^\dagger \hat{H}_{\text{int}} \hat{U}$:

$$\hat{H}_{\text{int}} = \hat{s}_{\text{imp}} \hat{\Sigma}^z + \hat{P}_{\text{bath}} \left( -i \hat{\Sigma}^y / 2 + \hat{s}_{\text{imp}} \hat{\Sigma}^z \right),$$

and $\hat{H}_{\text{imp}}$ onto $\hat{H}_{\text{imp}} = -h_z \hat{s}_{\text{imp}}^z \hat{P}_{\text{bath}}$. Remarkably, the impurity spin now commutes with the transformed Hamiltonian $[\hat{H}, \hat{s}_{\text{imp}}^z] = 0$ and is thus completely decoupled from the bath degrees of freedom. The construction of $\hat{U}$ holds true for arbitrary conserved parity operator and can be readily applied to a variety of SIM, including two-impurity systems [81].

**Variational approach.**— We combine the transformation (2) with fermionic Gaussian states [82, 83] and introduce variational states to efficiently encode nonfactorizable impurity-bath correlations. A Gaussian state for the bath, $|\Psi_{\text{b}}\rangle$, is completely determined by its covariance matrix $\Gamma$ [82]:

$$\langle \Gamma \rangle_{\xi,l,m,\beta} = \frac{i}{2} \langle \Psi_{\text{b}} | [\hat{\psi}_{\xi,l,\alpha}, \hat{\psi}_{\eta,m,\beta}] | \Psi_{\text{b}} \rangle,$$

where we introduce the Majorana operators $\hat{\psi}_{1,l,\alpha} = \hat{\psi}_{l,\alpha}^\dagger + \hat{\psi}_{l,\alpha}$ and $\hat{\psi}_{2,l,\alpha} = i (\hat{\psi}_{l,\alpha}^\dagger - \hat{\psi}_{l,\alpha})$. For the total system, we construct states of the form $|\Psi_{\text{tot}}\rangle = \hat{U} |\psi_{\text{imp}}\rangle |\Psi_{\text{b}}\rangle$ with $\Gamma$ as variational parameters. Employing the time-dependent variational principle [84, 85], we obtain the imaginary- and real-time evolution equations for $\Gamma$:

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{d\tau} = -\mathcal{H} - \Gamma \mathcal{H}_\Gamma,$$

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dt} = \mathcal{H}_\Gamma - \mathcal{H}_\Gamma,$$

where $\mathcal{H} = 4 \delta E/\delta \Gamma$ is the functional derivative of the mean energy $E = \langle \Psi_{\text{tot}} | \hat{H} | \Psi_{\text{tot}} \rangle$ [86]. The variational ground state can be obtained in the limit $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ in the imaginary-time evolution (5). In contrast, Eq. (6) allows us to calculate the real-time dynamics of SIM.

**Equilibrium properties.**— As a paradigmatic example, we first apply our approach to the anisotropic Kondo model:

$$\hat{H} = -t_h \sum_{l=-L}^L \left( \hat{c}_{l,\alpha}^\dagger \hat{c}_{l+1,\alpha} + h.c. \right) + \frac{J_1}{4} \sum_{\gamma=x,y} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} - \hat{s}_{\text{imp}}^\gamma \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha \beta} \hat{c}_{0,\alpha} \hat{c}_{0,\beta} + \frac{J_1}{4} \hat{\sigma}_{\text{imp}} \hat{c}_{0,\alpha} \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha \beta} \hat{c}_{0,\beta},$$

where $\hat{c}_{l,\alpha}^\dagger (\hat{c}_{l,\alpha})$ creates (annihilates) a fermion with position $l$ and spin $\alpha$, the summations over $\alpha, \beta$ are contracted. We denote the dimensionless Kondo couplings as $J_{\parallel,\perp} = J_{\parallel,\perp} / t_h$ with $t_h = 1 / (2 \pi t_h)$ being the density of states at the Fermi energy. We choose the unit $t_h = 1$ hereafter.

The anisotropic Kondo model exhibits a quantum phase transition [87] between FM and AFM phases as shown in the RG phase diagram [26] in the inset of Fig. 1a. In the main panel, we show the ground-state impurity-bath spin correlation $\chi^\gamma_l = \langle \hat{\sigma}_{\text{imp}}^\gamma \hat{\sigma}_{\text{b}}^\gamma \rangle / 4$ in three different regimes. The FM results at I (blue square) and AFM results at II (red triangle) indicate the formation of the triplet and singlet pairs of the impurity and bath spins, respectively. Importantly, our method also correctly reveals the AFM nature at III (brown circle) that is close to the phase boundary.

As a critical test of our approach, we extract the Kondo screening length $\xi_K$ in the variational ground state and test the universal behavior in the SU(2)-symmetric case $\mathbf{j} = j_{\parallel} = j_{\perp} > 0$. We determine $\xi_K$ as the length scale below which most of the Kondo screening cloud is developed [50, 88]. Specifically, we introduce a threshold $f$ for the integrated antiferromagnetic correlations $\Sigma_{\text{AF}} (l) = \sum_{|m| = 0,2,4,\ldots} \chi_m$ (Fig. 2a, inset) with $\chi_m = \langle \hat{\sigma}_{\text{imp}} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_m \rangle / 4$, and extract $\xi_K$
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from the implicit relation: $f = 1 - \Sigma_{AF}(\xi_{K}(f))/\Sigma_{AF}(L)$ [89]. Figure 2a plots the extracted $\xi_{K}(f)$ against the inverse Kondo coupling $1/j$ for different $f$. The results agree with the nonperturbative scaling $\xi_{K} \propto T_{K}^{-1} \propto e^{1/3}$ [22] independent of the choice of $f$. As a further test, we plot $\chi_{i}$ in units of the extracted $\xi_{K}$ (Fig. 2b). Remarkably, all the results for different Kondo couplings $j$ collapse onto the same universal curve and show the crossover from $l^{-1}$ to $l^{-2}$ decay at $l/\xi_{K} \sim 1$ [90–92]. To avoid finite-size and lattice effects, here we set $j$ large enough such that $\xi_{K} \ll L$ while it is kept small enough so that $\xi_{K}$ is still larger than the lattice constant. To meet the former condition, we ensure that the sum rule $\sum_{i} \chi_{i} = -3/4$ [93] is satisfied with an error below 0.5%.

Out-of-equilibrium dynamics.— We now apply our approach to study out-of-equilibrium dynamics. To be concrete, we analyze the quench dynamics starting from the initial state $|\uparrow\downarrow\text{imp},\text{FS}\rangle$, where $|\text{FS}\rangle$ represents the half-filled Fermi sea of the bath. Previously, using the bosonization mapping between the Kondo model and the spin-boson model [87], the relaxation dynamics have been studied by NRG [35] and the bosonic Gaussian states combined with a unitary transformation [85]. While the latter has been specifically designed to the spin-boson model, our approach is applicable to generic SIM. Moreover, in the previous methods one had to use strictly linear dispersion and to introduce an artificial cut-off energy. Hence, one of distinctive features in our approach is that it can be applied without relying on the bosonization and thus allows for a quantitative comparison with an experimental system. This is particularly important in light of recent experimental developments in simulating dynamics of SIM [5–11, 94].

Figures 1b,c show the magnetization dynamics $\langle \hat{\sigma}_{\text{imp}}^{z}(t) \rangle$ and spatiotemporal spreading of spin correlations $\chi_{\text{imp}}^{z}(t)$ after the quench. As shown in the panels I and II in Fig. 1c, spin correlations develop FM and AFM correlations after passing through the “light cone” created by AFM and FM ballistic spin waves, respectively. These AFM (FM) spin waves result from the excess spin in the generation of the triplet (singlet) pair around the impurity. As shown in Fig. 1b, the magnetization eventually relaxes to a value close to zero in the AFM phase, indicating the formation of the Kondo singlet, while the value remains finite in the FM phase. The dynamics associate with the fast oscillations having period characterized by the bandwidth $2\pi/D$ with $D = 4t_{h}$ and $h = 1$ (see e.g., Fig. 1b inset). These fast oscillations originate from high-energy excitations of a particle from the bottom of the band [95] and were absent in the bosonized treatments.

Most interestingly, at the point III in easy-plane FM regime ($|J_{||}| < |J_{\perp}|$), spin correlations exhibit the distinct crossover dynamics from FM to AFM (panel III in Fig. 1c). As shown in the closeup panel IV, the initial development of FM correlations leads to the emission of ballistic AFM spin waves while the subsequent crossover to AFM associates with the repeated emissions of FM spin waves. The origin of such crossover can be understood from the nonmonotonic RG flows in this regime (Fig. 1a, inset), where short (long) time dynamics is governed by the high (low) energy physics characterized by FM (AFM) coupling $J_{||}$ ($J_{\perp}$). Here, the real time effectively plays the role of the inverse RG scale [57]. The predicted spatiotemporal dynamics can be readily tested with site-resolved measurements as allowed by quantum gas microscopy [1–4].

As a critical test, we study the nonperturbative scalings of the relaxation time scales $\tau_{\text{corr}}$ for the integrated correlations $\Sigma_{AF}(L,t)$ and $\tau_{\text{mag}}$ for the impurity magnetization $\langle \hat{\sigma}_{\text{imp}}^{z}(t) \rangle$ in the SU(2)-symmetric case. After the quench, each observable eventually relaxes to its steady-state value and we extract the relaxation times by fitting the same dynamics with an exponential function (Fig. 3a,b inset). The main panels show that within numerical errors the relaxation times for both observables show the nonperturbative dependence $\tau_{\text{corr}} \propto e^{1/j}$ and $\tau_{\text{mag}} \propto e^{2/j}$. The observed different scalings agree with the TEBD results [50]: $\tau_{\text{corr}} \propto e^{(1.5\pm0.2)/j}$ and $\tau_{\text{mag}} \propto e^{(1.9\pm0.2)/j}$ (a rather large deviation in $\tau_{\text{corr}}$ has been attributed to the difficulty of taking the adiabatic limit in the Anderson model).

FIG. 2. Ground-state properties of the Kondo model. (a) Screening length $\xi_{K}$ plotted for different Kondo coupling $j = pe J$ and thresholds $f$. The dashed lines indicate the scaling $\xi_{K} \propto e^{1/3}$. (inset) The Kondo length $\xi_{K}$ is extracted as a length scale in which a fraction $1 - f$ of total antiferromagnetic correlations is contained. (b) Spin correlations plotted in the dimensionless unit of $\xi_{K}$ for $f = 0.05$, collapsing onto the universal curve. The dashed lines indicate the scaling $l^{-1}$ ($l^{-2}$) in short (long) distance. System size is $L = 400$.

FIG. 3. Relaxation time scales $\tau$ in (a) correlation and (b) magnetization plotted for different Kondo coupling $j = pe J$. (Insets) The relaxation times are extracted by fitting $\Sigma_{AF}(L,t)$ and $\langle \hat{\sigma}_{\text{imp}}^{z}(t) \rangle$ in long-time regime with the function $\alpha + \beta e^{-t/\tau}$. The dashed lines in the main panels indicate the fitted lines, showing nonperturbative scaling $\ln \tau \propto 1/j$. System size is $L = 400$. 
System size is $L$ for mesoscopic systems. We consider the Hamiltonian $c$ correct coefficient $G$ for each lead and we use $(a)$ $j = 0.35$ and $V = 0$, (b) $h_x = 0$ and $V = 0.8t_{\text{ik}}$, and (c) $h_x = 0$ and $j = 0.4$.

**Transport dynamics.**— We finally apply our approach to the two-lead Kondo model [88] that is relevant to experiments in mesoscopic systems. We consider the Hamiltonian

$$
\hat{H}_{\text{two}} = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \left[ -t_{\text{h}} \left( \hat{c}_{l,\alpha\eta}^\dagger \hat{c}_{l+1,\alpha\eta} + \text{h.c.} \right) + eV_{l} \hat{c}_{l,\alpha\eta}^\dagger \hat{c}_{l,\alpha\eta} \right] + \frac{J}{4} \sum_{\eta\eta'} \sigma_{\text{imp}} \cdot \hat{c}_{0,\alpha\eta}^\dagger \hat{c}_{0,\beta\eta'},
$$

where $\eta = \text{L, R}$ denotes the left (L) or right (R) lead. We set the bias potential $V_{\text{L,R}}$ of each lead to be $V_{\text{L,R}} = \pm V/2$. The initial condition is $|\uparrow\rangle_{\text{imp}} |\text{FS}_{\text{L}}\rangle |\text{FS}_{\text{R}}\rangle$ with $|\text{FS}_{\text{L}}\rangle$ being the half-filled Fermi sea of each lead. We then quench the Hamiltonian (8) and study the dynamics of the current $I(t)$ between the two leads:

$$
I(t) = \frac{ie}{4\hbar} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \left[ \langle \sigma_{\text{imp}} \cdot \hat{c}_{0,\alpha\beta}^\dagger \hat{c}_{0,\beta\eta} \rangle - \text{h.c.} \right].
$$

After the quench, the current eventually reaches its quasistatic value. We determine the differential conductance $G = dI/dV$ from the steady current $I(V)$ obtained by taking time average [39, 43, 44, 47]. Applying a magnetic field $h_z$, we confirm the quadratic behavior $G_0(1 - c_B(h_z/T_K)^2)$ with the correct coefficient $c_B = \pi^2/16$ at low field and the logarithmic behavior $\pi^2G_0/(16\ln^2(h_z/T_K))$ at high field, where $G_0$ is the conductance at the zero field [21, 96–104] (Fig. 4a). In contrast, if we change the Kondo coupling $j$, we expect the nonmonotonic behavior because $G$ is trivially zero at $j = 0$, while it should degrade in $j \to \infty$ due to the formation of the bound state tightly localized at the impurity site, which prevents other electrons from approaching the junction. Figure 4b confirms this nonmonotonic dependence of $G$ against the Kondo coupling $j$. Different from two-channel systems [105–107], the nonmonotonicity originates from intrinsically finite bandwidth in the lattice model and is absent in the conventional infinite-bandwidth treatment [96, 108].

Figure 4c shows the nonlinear conductance behavior at finite bias $V$. Two remarks are in order. Firstly, the numerical error due to current fluctuation in time obscures miniscule changes of $G$ in $V \ll T_K$, making it difficult to precisely test the quadratic behavior [39, 43, 44, 47] in the perturbative regime. This can be worked out if we implement our approach in a different way based on the linear response theory. Secondly, in $V \gg T_K$ the bias eventually becomes comparable to the finite bandwidth (and to the Fermi energy) and calculations of current and conductance are no longer faithful. This is a common limitation in real-space calculations [39, 47] and can be avoided if one uses the momentum basis of bath modes and specify the linear dispersion with a large bandwidth. Yet, we emphasize that the present implementation is already reliable (at least) in the intermediate regime $V \sim T_K$.

**Discussions.**— A simple entanglement-based argument can give insights into the success of our approach. On the one hand, our variational approach considers the following family of states:

$$
|\Psi_{\text{tot}}\rangle = \hat{U} |x\rangle_{\text{imp}} |\Psi_{\text{b}}\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle_{\text{imp}} \hat{\mathcal{P}}_+ |\Psi_{\text{b}}\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle_{\text{imp}} \hat{\mathcal{P}}_- |\Psi_{\text{b}}\rangle,
$$

where $|\Psi_{\text{b}}\rangle$ is a Gaussian state and $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\pm = (1 \pm \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{bath}})/2$. On the other hand, a recent study [109] has shown that most of the entanglement in the Kondo singlet takes place with just one specific single-particle state, leading to the approximative expression originally suggested by Yosida [110]:

$$
|\Psi_{\text{Kondo}}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( |\uparrow\rangle_{\text{imp}} \hat{d}_+ |\text{FS}\rangle - |\downarrow\rangle_{\text{imp}} \hat{d}_- |\text{FS}\rangle \right),
$$

where $\hat{d}_\sigma = \sum_l \hat{c}_{l\sigma}^\dagger$ is the dominant single-particle state. In fact, Eq. (11) belongs to our family of variational states (10) as shown by the choice $|\Psi_{\text{b}}\rangle = (\hat{d}_+ - \hat{d}_-)/\sqrt{2}$. This observation indicates the ability of our variational state to efficiently encode the most significant part of the impurity-bath entanglement. Yet, we stress that our variational states go beyond the simple ansatz (11) since they take into account general Gaussian states instead of the trivial Fermi sea. Such a flexibility is crucial to obtain quantitatively accurate results [86].

In summary, we presented a versatile and efficient variational approach to study in- and out-of-equilibrium physics of SIM. Despite its simplicity, we demonstrated in the anisotropic and two-lead Kondo models that the variational states successfully capture the correct correlations and conductance behavior. In particular, it has already found applications to revealing previously unexplored physics such as the long-time crossover dynamics. Further details can be found in the accompanying paper [86], where the full expression of the functional derivative $\mathcal{H}$ and the benchmark results with the matrix-product-state calculations are presented.

The present approach should be applicable to a variety of interesting unsolved problems in both solid-state and ultracold-atomic systems. For instance, our approach can be readily generalized to bosonic systems [111–114], the Anderson model and multiple impurities [81], which will be published elsewhere. Another promising direction is an extension...
of our approach to multi-channel systems [9, 20, 105–107] and the central spin model [80]. A generalization to finite temperatures is possible by using Gaussian density matrices. Including the phase factor, it is also possible to calculate the spectral function [46, 59]. It is particularly interesting to test the maximally fast information scrambling [115] in the non-Fermi liquid phase of the multi-channel Kondo models [51]. On another front, the proposed variational approach could be applied as a basis for a new type of impurity solver for DMFT [25].
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