Magnetic Study of a Layered Lanthanide Hydroxide family: Ln$_8$(OH)$_{20}$Cl$_4$$\cdot$nH$_2$O (Ln = Tb, Ho, Er)
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Three layered lanthanide hydroxides (LLHs), with the general formula Ln$_8$(OH)$_{20}$Cl$_4$$\cdot$nH$_2$O (Ln = Tb (1), Ho (2), Er (3)), were prepared and magnetically characterized both as pure compounds and diluted within a yttrium diamagnetic matrix, LYH:$x$Ln, LYH:0.044Tb ($x'$), LYH:0.045Ho ($x''$), and LYH:0.065Er ($x'''$). This study was complemented with theoretical calculations in order to understand the electronic configuration and the contributions to the slow relaxation behavior. In the pure compounds dominant 3D ferromagnetic interactions are observed, with a small magnetization hysteresis at 1.8 K for 1, while the magnetically diluted solid solutions display slow relaxation of the magnetization at low temperatures.

Introduction

The chemical design of multifunctional layered compounds, such as layered double hydroxides (LDHs), is nowadays one of the most attractive topics in Materials Science. This type of materials covers a broad range of possibilities,$^{1,2}$ from environmental and biomedical$^{3,4}$ to technological applications,$^5$ due to their compositional flexibility, since the identity of both cation and anion can be controlled to target a specific functionality.$^3,6$

A particular class of these lamellar compounds are the so-called layered lanthanide hydroxides (LLHs) with general formula Ln$_8$(OH)$_{20}$Cl$_4$$\cdot$nH$_2$O (A refers to the intercalated anion and 1.0 ≤ m ≤ 2.0), only containing lanthanide cations (Ln$^{3+}$) in the host layers. The first family of LLHs was reported by Gándara et al. in 2006, comprising rigid organic anions intercalated between inorganic layers$^7$ and since then dozens of LLH compounds have been reported.$^8$ These materials combine the layered structure with the high potential of lanthanide ions for different technological applications such as luminescent devices, catalysts, high-performance magnets and other functionalities.$^{8,9,10}$

Although research on LLHs has been mainly focused on optical properties,$^{11,12,13}$ the magnetic properties of these lanthanide materials are also potentially interesting. Indeed the field of lanthanide-based mononuclear single-molecule magnets (SMMs), also known as single-ion magnets (SIMs), is nowadays one of the hottest research areas in Molecular Nanomagnetism.$^{14,15}$ Lanthanide based SMMs, which exhibit slow relaxation of the magnetization and magnetic hysteresis below a certain blocking temperature ($T_{\text{B}}$), have recently achieved record values of $T_{\text{B}}$ = 60 K, with an effective barrier ($U_{\text{eff}}$) above 1800 K, in a dysprosocenium complex.$^{16,17}$ Nevertheless, the study of the magnetic properties of LLHs still remains largely unexplored, with the exceptions of our recent investigations on the SMM behavior in Dy layered compounds$^{18,19}$ belonging to the Ln$_8$(OH)$_{20}$Cl$_4$$\cdot$nH$_2$O series which synthesis was previously reported by Geng et al in 2008.$^{20,21}$ and the study of magnetocaloric properties of Gd-LLH.$^{22}$ In the former case, the evolution of the magnetic interactions with growing dimensionality was investigated by comparison with the correspondent intercalated layered material and the yttrium diluted analogue. This permitted to distinguish between the single-ion effects and those that result from 3D, 2D and Dy–Dy interactions.$^{18,19}$ Consequently, two distinct slow relaxation
processes of the magnetization below $T_S$ present in this compound could be ascribed to the two main types of Dy sites observed in the crystal structure (Fig. 1).

Aiming at understanding the underlying parameters that rule this behavior, the study was extended to other lanthanide ions with potential magnetic properties within the $\text{Ln}_2(\text{OH})_8\text{Cl}_6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ family ($\text{Ln} = \text{Tb}$ (1), Ho (2), Er (3)) and their corresponding magnetically diluted solid solutions (LYH:Ln; 1'-3'). Thus, herein we report a detailed magnetostructural characterization of these compounds, exploring the effects of different magnetic moments.

**Experimental**

**General information**

The starting materials $\text{LnCl}_3\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Aldrich) ($\text{Ln} = \text{Y, Tb, Ho, Er}$), NaCl (Panreac), hexamethylenetetramine (HMT) (Aldrich) and 2,6-naphthalenedicarboxylic acid (Aldrich) were obtained from commercial sources and used as received.

**Synthesis**

The preparation of the undiluted LLHs compounds (1-3) followed the original procedure described by Geng et al., which consists in the homogeneous precipitation of the desired $\text{LnCl}_3\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ with hexamethylenetetramine.

**LTBH (1) $\text{Tb}_8(\text{OH})_{20}\text{Cl}_6\cdot5\text{H}_2\text{O}$**. A mixture of $\text{TbCl}_3\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (1.859 g; 5 mmol), NaCl (3.799 g; 65 mmol), and HMT (0.701 g; 5 mmol) was dissolved in 1000 cm$^3$ of deionized Milli-Q water, and the solution was heated at refluxing temperature overnight under continuous magnetic stirring and nitrogen gas protection. The obtained final product was recovered by filtration, washed with deionized and deionized water and dried at 80 °C. Anal. Calcd. for $\text{Tb}_8(\text{OH})_{20}\text{Cl}_6\cdot5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (%) H: 1.73; Tb: 68.37. Found (%) H: 1.78; Tb: 69.09.

**LH0H (2) $\text{Ho}_8(\text{OH})_{20}\text{Cl}_6\cdot5\text{H}_2\text{O}$** was prepared as above but using $\text{HoCl}_3\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (1.889 g; 5 mmol) for the reacting mixture. Anal. Calcd. for $\text{Ho}_8(\text{OH})_{20}\text{Cl}_6\cdot5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (%) H: 1.69; Ho: 69.17. Found (%) H: 1.78; Ho: 69.09.

**LH0R (3) $\text{Er}_8(\text{OH})_{20}\text{Cl}_6\cdot5\text{H}_2\text{O}$** was prepared as above but using $\text{ErCl}_3\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (1.894 g; 5 mmol) for the reacting mixture. Anal. Calcd. for $\text{Er}_8(\text{OH})_{20}\text{Cl}_6\cdot5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (%) H: 1.68; Er: 69.30. Found (%) H: 1.93; Er: 69.25.

The solid solutions LYH:Ln (1'-3') were prepared under identical conditions but with YCl$_3$•xH$_2$O and LnCl$_3$•xH$_2$O in the desired proportions for the reacting mixture, and the final product was dried under reduced pressure at room temperature. All these compounds were obtained in good yields.

**LYH:0.044Tb (1')** Anal. Calcd for $\text{Y}_{7.65}\text{TB}_{0.35}(\text{OH})_{20}\text{Cl}_6\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (%) H: 2.44; Tb: 4.20; Y: 51.38. Found (%): H: 2.65; Tb: 4.18; Y: 51.43.

**LYH:0.045Ho (2')** Anal. Calcd for $\text{Y}_{7.64}\text{Ho}_{0.36}(\text{OH})_{20}\text{Cl}_6\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (%) H: 2.48; Ho: 4.45; Y: 50.92. Found (%): H: 2.57; Ho: 4.51; Y: 51.05.

**LYH:0.065Er (3')** Anal. Calcd for $\text{Y}_{7.48}\text{Er}_{0.52}(\text{OH})_{20}\text{Cl}_6\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (%) H: 2.41; Er: 6.44; Y: 49.65. Found (%): H: 2.60; Er: 6.52; Y: 49.60.

**Characterization Procedures**

Microanalyses for C and H were performed on a CE Instruments EA1110 automatic analyzer. To guarantee complete combustion of the samples, $\text{V}_2\text{O}_5$ was added during the analysis. The metal content was determined by ICP-AES at Laboratório de Análises, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa. TGA curves were obtained using a thermal analyser TA Q500-2207, with a scanning rate of 5 Kmin$^{-1}$, with samples weighing around 8 mg in Alumínium crucibles. Conventional XRPD data were collected at room temperature on a Panalytical X’Pert Pro diffractometer, with a curved graphite monochromator (Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 1.54060$ Å), and a flat-plate sample holder, in a Bragg-Brentano para-focusing optics configuration (45 kV, 40 mA). Samples were step-scanned in 0.01° 20 steps with a counting time of 2 s per step.

**SIMPRE software**

For the rationalization of the magnetic properties the SIMPRE computational package, introducing the atomic coordinates and the experimental magnetic susceptibility data of the compounds as an input was used. The two fitting parameters (D, and Z) of the Radial Effective Charge (REC) model have been varied. A detailed explanation is provided in the ESI.

**Magnetic measurements**

Magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed on fixed powder polycrystalline samples of about 35 mg, using a 7 Tesla S700X SQUID magnetometer (Cryogenic Ltd). DC susceptibility data measurements were performed at temperatures ranging from 1.7 to 300 K, under applied magnetic fields of 100 G (except for compound 3', where a DC field of 500 G was applied). The paramagnetic susceptibilities were obtained after correction for the core diamagnetism estimated using the Pascal constants: $\chi_0 = -444.8x10^{-6}$ emu/mol (1, 2), -436.8x10^{-6} emu/mol (3), -316.2x10^{-6} emu/mol (1', 2'), and -391.9x10^{-6} emu/mol (3'). Dynamic AC measurements for all the six compounds were taken using a MagLab 2000 system (Oxford Instruments) with AC fields of 5 Oe. The temperature dependence of the AC magnetic susceptibility was measured at different frequency values within the 10-10000 Hz range under zero and at different applied DC static fields (500 Oe, 1, 2, and 3 kOe). Additional isothermal AC susceptibility measurements, $\chi_{\text{ac}}(\omega)$, were taken in the same frequency range, within 1.7 to 12 K.

**Results and discussion**

**Structural description**

The ICP-AE analysis confirmed that the solid material obtained has Y:Ln ratios close to the composition of the starting solutions. The
powder X-ray diffraction patterns of LLHs (1-3) are consistent with the crystallographic data published for the same compounds,24 the diluted compounds (1'-3') being identical to the Y derivative (Figs. S1-S7 in ESI). The Le Bail structureless whole pattern fitting, using the Powder Cell program, confirmed that the compounds prepared correspond to the same solid phases already reported. A slightly higher degree of crystallinity is observed in the solid solutions (1'-3'), most probably as a result of the different drying procedure. The H content was verified by elemental analyses and confirmed by Thermogravimetry (TGA) measurements were performed for all six compounds. From the plots (Figure S8 in ESI) the expected decomposition behavior was observed by the presence of two regions of mass loss, one until ca. 473 K attributed to the loss of coordinated water molecules (calcd. 4.7-4.8% for 1-3 found 5.0-5.3%; calcld. 8.1-8.2 for 1'-3' found 7.8-8.9) and another between 488 and 623 K due to the dehydroxylation of the hydroxide host layer.25,26

LLHs present, across the Sm-Er and Y series, an isostructural orthorhombic layered structure featuring positively charged layers, [Ln4(OH)8(H2O)12]3+, with interlayer charge-balancing anions (Cl− ions in this work). The in-plane lattice parameters a and b decrease almost linearly with the decrease of the Ln3+ ionic radius. As shown in Fig 1, the unit cell of these materials contains three crystallographic distinct lanthanide sites, with two different Ln coordination environments, labelled as Ln1, Ln2 and Ln3. The layers are composed of edge-shared [Ln(OH)5(H2O)] and [Ln(OH)4(H2O)3] polyhedra with each hydroxyl acting as a μ2-bridge connecting the lanthanide centers and the chloride anions intercalated between the layers for charge balance.

**Magnetic Measurements**

Static magnetic susceptibility measurements for LYH:xLn and LLH materials are reported in Figs. 2 and S9, respectively. The zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) curves show a perfect overlap, with no indication of any ordering down to 2 K, as previously described for pure LDyH.18 The χT values of all derivatives (diluted and undiluted ones) are slightly below those expected for the ground multiplets of Tb3+ (11.82 emu.K.mol−1), Dy3+ (14.17 emu.K.mol−1), Ho3+ (14.07 emu.K.mol−1) and Er3+ (11.48 emu.K.mol−1).27 The diluted compounds (Fig. 2) show upon cooling a continuous slow decrease of χT, due to the progressive thermal depopulation of the excited Ms energy levels of the ground multiplet, as commonly observed in lanthanide compounds.28,29 The slower decrease observed in the pure compounds can be ascribed to the presence of non-negligible Ln-Ln ferromagnetic interactions, as already reported.18 These short-range ferromagnetic interactions appear to be more significant in the case of the Tb compound.

As it can be observed in Fig. 2, the χT curves can be successfully reproduced by using the Radial Effective Charge (REC) model30 in the SIMPRE23,31 software package. The simultaneous fit of the four curves, using the different crystallographic coordination environments around each lanthanide ion lead to Dz = 1.17 Å and Zl = 0.04. Both parameters were subsequently refined by slight variations (see Table S5) in order to improve the phenomenological description. For the Dy and Er derivatives (Table S7 and S10), this approach gives a ground state wave function with a large contribution of a high Ms in Dy2 (89% of |±5/2>) and Dy3 (92% of |±15/2>), and Er1 and Er3 (99% and 100% of |±13/2>) in their respective easy axes. These contributions are in principle compatible with the SMM behavior observed in both compounds. Although families with Dy and Er analogues having simultaneously a ground state determined by a relative large Ms value have been previously reported,32,33 it is important to remark the role of the actual charge distribution around the lanthanide in order to define the magnetic anisotropy of the system. These contributions are in principle compatible with the SMM behavior observed in both compounds. In the case of Tb and Ho (Table S6 and S8), the ground states are mainly diamagnetic, except Tb3 that stabilizes Ms = 6, which can be the responsible of the ferromagnetic interactions observed in 1. The resulting energy levels and wave functions for each center are available as Supporting Information (Tables S6-S9).

Isothermal magnetization measurements were performed at low temperatures using field sweeping rates of 20 and 90 Oe.s−1. The curve M vs. H for compound 1 is shown in Fig. 3a, where the existence of a magnetic hysteresis at low magnetic fields can be observed with a...
coercive field of about 200 Oe at 1.8 K. This curve shows a two-step shape, reaching first a magnetization of approximately 0.25 μ₀ at 500 G, followed by a second step, where M rapidly increases to 3.8 μ₀ at 20 kG and finally reaches 4.13 μ₀ at 50 kG. This two-step behavior was also observed in the Dy analogue and is most likely a reminiscence of metamagnetism associated with a spin-canting process, as often also found in layered materials. The diluted compound (Fig. 3b), as well as both Ho (Fig. S10) and Er compounds (Fig. S11), did not show magnetic hysteresis nor any sign of a two-step process, indicating that these are originated by Tb-Tb interactions. The presence of significant magnetic anisotropy and/or low-lying excited states was confirmed by the non-superimposition of the M vs. B/T curves on a single master curve (Fig. S12).

Dynamic magnetic measurements (AC) were taken at zero DC field and under static fields. At 1.7 K the optimal value for DC field, corresponding to the slowest relaxation time, was found to be 1000 Oe for all these compounds. Therefore both temperature and frequency dependence measurements were collected under zero and H DC = 1000 Oe.

For compound 1 the temperature dependence of both real and imaginary components of AC susceptibility, χ′ and χ″ (Fig. S13) taken under zero static field showed very sharp peak below 4 K. At different frequency values, these peaks slightly shift to higher temperatures and decrease their intensity, following a behavior characteristic of slow magnetic relaxation. However, when a DC field of 1000 Oe is applied the signal of both χ′ and χ″ drastically changes with their maxima less pointed and smaller in magnitude (Fig. S14). As previously indicated by the static magnetic measurements (Fig. 3) and the theoretical calculations this behavior also suggests the presence of some ferromagnetic character due to the existing 3D Tb-Tb interactions. Measurements of the frequency dependence of the AC susceptibility were also performed for 1 but unfortunately the poor statistics of the measurements did not allow to obtain reasonable fits for the Cole-Cole plots. For compounds 2 and 3 at zero DC field no frequency dependence was observed and the data obtained under a static field of 1000 Oe was almost no-frequency dependence (Fig. S15). In contrast, the diluted compounds 1', 2', and 3' present, although weak, a frequency-dependent response in zero DC field (Figs. S16-S18 in ESI). This behavior is clearly enhanced with the application of a static magnetic field (Figs. S19-S21), although the appearance of resolved maxima is only observed for compound with Er, 3'.

In order to further characterize the magnetization relaxation rate, χ' and χ″ were isothermally measured while the frequency, ω, of the AC field was varied from 10 Hz to 10 kHz under a DC field of 1000 Oe. As seen in figure 4, these data allowed the representation of Argand plots, χ″ vs. χ′, at several fixed temperatures that show a good agreement when fitted to a modified Debye model (Eq. 1 and Tables S1-S3):

\[ \chi_{ac}(\omega) = \chi_S + (\chi_T - \chi_S)[1/1 + (i\omega\tau)^{1-\alpha}] \]  

where χ_S and χ_T are the adiabatic and isothermal susceptibilities respectively, τ is the average magnetization relaxation time and α is a parameter ranging from 0 to 1 related to the width of the distribution (α = 0 corresponds to the ideal Debye model, with a single relaxation time).

![Figure 3. Field dependence of the magnetization at several temperatures for (a) LtH (1) with a field sweep of 20 Oe.s⁻¹ (Inset corresponds to the enlarged curve at 1.8 K around zero field) and (b) LyH:0.044Tb (1') with a field sweep of 90 Oe.s⁻¹.](image1)

![Figure 4. Argand diagrams (dots) and Debye fittings (lines) of the AC susceptibility for compounds (a) 1' (LyH:0.044Tb), (b) 2' (LyH:0.045Ho), and (c) 3' (LyH:0.065Er) at different temperatures and under a static field of H DC = 1000 Oe, H AC = 5 Oe.](image2)
The small \( \alpha \) values obtained (\( \alpha \leq 0.2 \)) along with the semicircular and symmetrical shape of the Argand diagrams are consistent with only one single magnetization process (Fig. 5 and Tables S1-S3), with a narrow distribution of relaxation times. It is worth mentioning that the relaxation behavior of these compounds is significantly different from the one observed in the dysprosium analogue (LYH:0.04Dy), where a more complex regime with two relaxation processes was obtained under a static field of 1000 Oe.

A first attempt was made to fit these data to an Arrhenius law by assuming only an Orbach relaxation process (see Supporting Information). The values found for the energy barriers were considerably smaller than the one obtained for the analogue diluted Dy compound (\( U_{\text{eff}} = 31 \) K). Also, the fits for all three compounds only cover the higher temperature range showing deviations from linearity at lower temperatures (Figure S22).

Thus, and similarly to what has been observed in other lanthanide compounds, the relaxation mechanism can preferably occur via a virtual state such as the Raman process, which can be mathematically described by eq. 2:

\[
\tau_{\text{Raman}}^{-1} = C_{\text{Raman}} \times T^{n_{\text{Raman}}} \quad (\text{eq. 2})
\]

In Kramers ions an exponent of \( n = 9 \) is expected, although different values can also be found due to limitation of the Debye model describing phonons in molecular solids. The best fits were obtained assuming \( n_{\text{R}} = 7 \) for the non-Kramers ions Tb\(^{3+}\) and Ho\(^{3+}\), and \( n_{\text{R}} = 9 \) for the non-integer spin system (Kramers ion) Er\(^{3+}\). These results can be seen in Figure 5, where a linear dependence of \( 1/\sqrt{T} \) vs. \( T \) is observed for all data points. The values for the Raman parameter \( C_{\text{Raman}} \) are as expected for a second-order Raman process.

Comparing to the Orbach process, it is clear that the Raman process is more adequate to interpret the relaxation magnetic behavior of the three compounds and consequently a pure Raman process can be considered.

Figure 5. Plot of the temperature dependence of the relaxation time, as \( 1/\sqrt{T} \) vs. \( T \), measured under \( H_{\text{AC}} = 5 \) Oe, \( H_{\text{DC}} = 1000 \) Oe for (a) 1, (b) 2, and (c) 3. Lines correspond to the best fits for eq. 2 regarding the Raman process. In all cases, the fits have been plotted with a significant least squares result (\( r^2 > 0.99 \)).

These values were corroborated by the theoretical calculations for the ground multiplet energy levels calculated by the REC model (Tables S5, S7 and S8).

Conclusions

We have shown that the layered lanthanide hydroxides with general formula \( \text{Ln}_8(\text{OH})_{20}\text{Cl}_4\cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O} \) (\( \text{Ln} = \text{Tb} (1), \text{Ho} (2), \text{Er} (3) \)) present Ln—Ln interactions that can hinder the presence of slow relaxation of the magnetization. This is mainly observed for the terbium compound, where the presence of short-range ferromagnetic interactions are responsible for the appearance of a hysteresis in the \( M \) vs. \( H \) curves due to some spin-canting process and also the sharp maxima in the out-of-phase component of the AC susceptibility at zero DC field, which disappear when an external field is applied.

These results without excluding the occurrence of slow magnetic relaxation, highlight the presence of significant interactions between the Ln centers, similarly to the previously reported Dy\(_8(\text{OH})_{20}\text{Cl}_4\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}\). A clear slow relaxation behavior is observed only in the magnetically diluted solid solutions LYH:Ln, LYH:0.044Tb (1'), LYH:0.045Ho (2'), and LYH:0.065Er (3'). This behavior is more evident for the erbium derivative, but in
contrast with Dy$_2$(OH)$_3$Cl$_4$·6H$_2$O and its respective diluted analogue, only one relaxation process is observed. This can be due either to different specific energy levels involved or to the second process being changed to lower temperatures.

*Semi-empirical* calculations performed by using the REC model successfully allowed to access the Ln electronic configurations and the respective contributions to the slow relaxation behavior of these LLHs as previously reported for the diluted and the intercalated Dy compounds$^{19}$ showing a diversity of magnetic behaviors.
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Experimental and *semi-empirical* calculations allowed to correlate Ln electronic configurations and the diversity of different magnetic behaviors of these LLHs.