Search for compact dark matter objects in the solar system with LIGO data
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A B S T R A C T
Dark matter could be composed of compact dark objects (CDOs). A close binary of CDOs orbiting in the interior of solar system bodies can be a loud source of gravitational waves (GWs) for the LIGO and VIRGO detectors. We perform the first search ever for this type of signal and rule out close binaries, with separations of order 300 m, orbiting near the center of the Sun with GW frequencies (twice the orbital frequency) between 50 and 550 Hz and CDO masses above ≈10−9M⊙. This mass limit is eight orders of magnitude lower than the mass probed in a LIGO search at extra galactic distances.
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Dark matter, or one component of it, could be composed of compact dark objects (CDOs). These objects are assumed to have small non-gravitational interactions with normal matter and could be primordial black holes, see for example [1]. Microlensing observations rule out most of dark matter being made of CDOs with masses between 10−7 and 15M⊙ [2–5]. In this paper, we focus on CDOs with masses below 10−7M⊙, that are not black holes in order to avoid destroying solar system bodies.

In a previous paper we explored CDOs in the Galaxy [6], while the LIGO-Virgo Collaboration has searched for gravitational waves (GWs) from binaries with masses in the range 0.2 − 1 M⊙ [7]. It may be difficult to detect GWs from very low mass CDOs at typical Galactic distances. Therefore, in this letter we search for GWs from CDOs in the solar system. Note that there has been considerable discussion of particle dark matter in the Sun, see for example [8–10]. Close binaries of CDOs, that orbit in the interior of solar system objects, can be loud sources of GWs for the LIGO/VIRGO detectors. At these much shorter distances, far weaker GW signals may be detectable. Furthermore, these weaker signals only require much smaller radiated powers and therefore the systems may have much longer lifetimes. This could further increase their detection probability. Previous searches for persistent gravitational waves [11–14] would have missed these signals because of their unique waveform, as received at the detectors’ location.

Dark matter in the solar system, and in particular inside Saturn’s orbit, is constrained by accurate tracking of spacecraft [15]. We avoid these limits by focusing on CDOs inside solar system bodies such as the Sun, Jupiter, or Earth. In this case the mass of the CDOs would have already been included as a (tiny) part of the observed mass of the body. In addition, it may be difficult to rule out a number of CDOs at large distances from the Sun where constraints on dark matter in the outer solar system are likely weaker.

Perhaps occasionally a distant CDO of mass mD would move into the inner solar system and enter a solar system body. When this occurs, it is possible that dissipation from unconstrained non-gravitational interactions could trap the CDO in the body and perhaps over a longer time scale bring it towards the center of the body. Here it may form a close binary with a second trapped CDO. Furthermore, the lifetime of this binary against GW radiation of a given frequency scales as mD−5/3. This lifetime can be very long for low mass objects, and ranges from millions of years for mD = 10−8M⊙ to longer than the Hubble time for mD = 10−11M⊙. We emphasize that a GW source in the solar system, because it is very near, can be detectable even if it has low radiated power. As a result, the source can live for a very long time, although this also depends on unknown non-gravitational interactions.

We start by estimating the rate of CDO-solar system object collisions assuming dark matter is composed of low mass CDOs. If the mass density of dark matter near the solar system is ρ = 6 × 10−22 kg/m3 [16], the number density of CDOs is nD = ρ/mD ≈ 3 × 10−42 m−3 × (10−10M⊙/mD). Note that nD increases as mD decreases. The rate of CDO collisions with a given body is σi vD nD
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where $v_2$ is the velocity of the CDO. For simplicity we consider a single value $v_2 \approx 220$ km/s that is adequate for a first rough estimate. The collision cross section is $\sigma_r = \pi R^2 [1 + (v_1/v_0)^2]$ for a body of radius $R_1$ and escape velocity $v_0$. The total number of collisions during of the solar system’s lifetime $T_{SS} = 5 \times 10^9$ is $N_i \approx T_{SS} \pi r^2 v_0 R_0$. For the Sun the number of collisions is $N_S \approx 1.4 \times (10^{-10} M_\odot/m_P)$. If $m_D = 10^{-10} M_\odot$ or less, there is a good chance that the Sun has suffered one or more collisions with a CDO during its main sequence lifetime. For the planets the number of collisions is in general smaller because of their smaller size. The number of collisions could be higher if the solar system passed through a region of higher dark matter density in the past. Alternatively, if the Sun somehow has an “Oort cloud” of CDOs at large distances, this cloud could be a source of additional collisions. Finally, CDOs could act as seeds to start the formation of condensed objects and eventually the planets from the solar nebula. This would naturally explain the presence of CDOs in the planets or other solar system objects.

What happens to a CDO when it collides with the Sun? It is possible that there are non-gravitational interactions between the CDO and the conventional matter in the Sun that could help trap the object inside the Sun. Note that these non-gravitational interactions are poorly constrained.

Perhaps the weakest point of this scenario is the question of trapping of CDOs inside the Sun or another body. Yet, we believe it is premature to dismiss this possibility. Physical mechanisms that can trap CDOs in the solar system through a combination of non-gravitational interactions and dynamical friction warrant further study. The purpose of the letter is to search for CDOs in the solar system. These objects could have been trapped, or otherwise formed, in a way that is presently incompletely understood. A positive detection would constitute a landmark result with far reaching consequences.

If CDOs can be trapped after they collide, and $m_P$ is low enough, it is likely the Sun would now contain one or more CDO. Two or more CDOs in the Sun could move towards the center (as energy is dissipated) where they may find each other and form a close binary. Two CDOs orbiting each other at angular frequency $\omega$ will be separated by a distance $r = (2m_P/\omega^2)^{1/3}$. They will radiate GWs at frequency $f_{GW} = \omega/2\pi$ that is twice the rotational frequency. LIGO is insensitive to $f_{GW}$ below about 10 Hz. Therefore two CDOs must come within a distance $[2Gm_P/(10\text{ Hz})^2]^{1/3}$. This is 300 m for $m_P = 10^{-10} M_\odot$. To avoid touching, each spherical CDO must have an average density greater than $3\pi f_{GW}^2 G$. This minimum density is $1.4 \times 10^{10} \text{ g/cm}^3$ for $f_{GW} = 10 \text{ Hz}$ or $5.6 \times 10^{12} \text{ g/cm}^3$ at 200 Hz. These densities are large but still less than nuclear density $\approx 3 \times 10^{14} \text{ g/cm}^3$. The density of CDOs is unknown. Therefore, a LIGO detection would imply high dark matter densities in CDOs, presumably because of appropriate non-gravitational interactions of the dark matter.

In summary, to generate GWs in LIGO’s band a solar system object must contain two or more CDO that are very dense and in a very close binary orbit. Note that a space-based GW detector such as LISA, that is sensitive at lower frequencies [17], could detect a single CDO moving in the Sun. This would not require two objects in a close binary orbit and it would not require the CDO to be very dense. We will discuss this further in a later publication.

Two equal mass CDOs in a circular orbit will produce a GW strain $h_{jk}$ of,

$$h_{jk} = \frac{2G}{c^4d} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} T_{jk} .$$

(1)

Here $d$ is the distance to the source and $T_{jk}$ is the transverse traceless quadrupole moment [18]. The intrinsic strain amplitude is $h_0 \propto h_{xx} - h_{yy} = 4Gm_D^2(\omega^3)/(c^6d)$. Using Kepler’s law $r^3 = 2Gm_D/\omega^2$ to eliminate $r$ yields,

$$h_0 = \frac{2^{5/3} \pi^{2/3}}{c^4d} (Gm_D)^{5/3} f_{GW}^{2/3} .$$

(2)

For objects inside the Sun with $d = 1.5 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}$, we have,

$$h_0 = 1.4 \times 10^{-9} \left( \frac{m_D}{M_\odot} \right)^{5/3} \left( \frac{f_{GW}}{200 \text{ Hz}} \right)^{2/3} .$$

(3)

The strain amplitude $h_0$ in Eq. (3) is dramatically larger than many galactic GW sources because the distance $d$ is very small, only $10^{-8} \text{ kpc}$. Note that the impact on the GW signal of possible non-gravitational interactions (of the CDOs with conventional matter) remains to be explored.

Since the signal amplitude is potentially so large we perform a simple search in the frequency band 50-550 Hz on the most sensitive publicly available gravitational wave data, at the end of 2018: that from the first advanced LIGO observing run (O1) [19, 20]. We assume that the center of mass of the binary is nearly at rest with respect to the Sun. If the center of mass of the binary were also at rest with respect to the gravitational wave detectors, the gravitational wave signal at the detectors would be a pure tone at the frequency $f_{GW}$. However, because of the relative motion between the CDOs and the detectors due to the rotation of the Earth, the signal at each detector is Doppler-modulated in a way that varies in time depending on the relative velocity between the CDOs center of mass and the detector. The modulation has a periodicity of a day and the maximum Doppler shift for a signal at a frequency $f_{GW}$ is $10^{-6} f_{GW}$.

The frequency spacing of a Fourier transform of data covering a period of time $T_{FFT}$, is $\delta f = 1/T_{FFT}$. Any signal whose instantaneous frequency does not vary by more than $\delta f$ during $T_{FFT}$ will appear like a monochromatic signal, i.e. with the signal power concentrated at the frequency bin(s) corresponding to the signal frequency [21]. We will refer to this as the “signal peak”.

The longer $T_{FFT}$ is, the higher is the signal peak. As the $T_{FFT}$ increases and the $\delta f$ decreases, because the signal frequency varies, there will come a point when the signal’s instantaneous frequency during $T_{FFT}$ is not confined to one or two bins, but moves over more bins. As a result the signal power is not concentrated in a single bin but spread over more and hence decreases in amplitude in all of them compared to what it would be if the frequency did not vary. For frequency variations due to the Doppler shift of the Earth’s spin, the maximum $T_{FFT}$ such that the instantaneous frequency does not shift by more than half a frequency bin is [22, 23]

$$T_{max} = \frac{4.7 \times 10^4}{\sqrt{f_{GW}}} \text{ s} .$$

(4)

For $f_{GW} = 550 \text{ Hz}$ this yields $T_{max} = 2.0 \times 10^3 \text{ s}$; hence we take Fourier transforms of the LIGO O1 data over time intervals that are 1800 s long. This will give maximum sensitivity to a signal during each half hour, without having to perform any demodulation.

The instantaneous frequency of the signal does not move by more than half a frequency bin during each half hour, but it does in general move over the course of the observation time. With a frequency resolution of $\delta f = 1/1800$ Hz, the signal frequency $f_0$ such that $f_0 \times 10^{-16} = \delta f$ is about 555 Hz. Signals with frequencies higher than this, would appear in different bins depending on the observation time. An optimal detection procedure would require tracking of such peaks and would be more involved than what we do here. Therefore 550 Hz is the highest frequency we consider.

The FFTs are prepared following the standard procedure used for the Einstein@Home searches (see for instance [11]), including
a procedure that eliminates very loud time-domain disturbances from the data [24]. For this reason we use the standard name for these FFTs computed over short time intervals, i.e. SFTs (Short time-baseline Fourier Transforms) [25].

In total we produce 3507 SFTs from the Hanford detector data (LHO) and 2889 from the Livingston detector (LLO). We indicate the SFT data with $S^I_{\alpha,k}$ where $\alpha$ is the SFT order number, $k$ is the frequency index and $I = H$ or $L$ indicates the detector. The conventions for the SFT data are given in [25].

For each detector $I$ and each SFT $\alpha$ we compute the power spectral density as a function of frequency $k$

$$p^I_{\alpha,k} = \frac{2}{T_{SFT}} |S^I_{\alpha,k}|^2,$$

with $T_{SFT} = 1800$ s, and its average over SFTs:

$$p^I_k = \frac{1}{N^I} \sum_{\alpha} p^I_{\alpha,k},$$

with $N^I$ being 3507 and 2889 for the Hanford and Livingston detector respectively. Fig. 1 shows $p^I_k$ for the two LIGO detectors.

A loud CDO signal will appear as a peak in the power spectral density of both detectors at the same frequency. Since the noise level in the detectors varies with frequency, we do not set a fixed threshold but rather we identify signal peak candidates as outlier values of the normalized average power spectral density. We normalize the average power spectral density in each detector with the average of the running median power spectral densities, with a window of 21 bins. This detection statistic has an expected value of 1.0 and a standard deviation of $\approx 0.024$ for Gaussian stationary noise data. Since the detector noise is not Gaussian, we do not expect that the normalized average power spectral density values (shown in Fig. 2) generally follow the predicted Gaussian-noise behavior. This makes it hard to assess what an outlier is.

As shown in Figs. 1 and 2, the power spectral density of the O1 data displays a number of lines. This is well known and was studied in depth by the LIGO team [26]. As a result a large part of these lines have been identified as due to local disturbances acting on the instruments. We exclude from our analysis any frequency bin that is in the range identified by [26] and references therein to LIGO pages. On this data we further only consider sets of 90 bins whose average of the normalized power spectral density (after removing the highest value) is within 2.5 standard deviations of the Gaussian-noise expectations. These cuts remove between 12% (LLO) and 18% (LHO) of the total number of bins. For the remaining frequencies we set a threshold corresponding to 8 Gaussian standard deviations and cluster together bins that are not more distant than 3 frequency bins. We find 83 such clusters in the LLO data and over 466 in the LHO data, reflecting the different noise conditions of the two detectors. We require that a signal be present in both detectors at similar frequencies and look for clusters in the LIGO and Hanford data that overlap in frequency. We find none and conclude that there is no clear detection of this kind of signal.

We proceed to set upper limits on the intrinsic amplitude of such gravitational wave signals $h_0^\text{UL}$ (Fig. 3a), and then translate these into limits on the CDOs mass (Fig. 3b).

A loud monochromatic signal of amplitude $h_0$ optimally oriented with respect to the detector will produce a peak in the power spectral density of about $p_0 = h_0^2 T_{SFT}$. The frequency of the peak will be at the frequency of the signal. If the detector were not optimally oriented with respect to the signal, as is generally the case, the signal amplitude spectral peak will be lower than $p_0$ by a factor of a few, and this factor will be slightly different in each detector. For a signal coming from the Sun, on average a reduction by a factor of $\approx 7.8$ is expected with respect to optimal orientation.

We translate the observed power spectral density values into intrinsic gravitational wave amplitude upper limits $h_0^\text{UL}$ at different frequencies as follows:

$$h_0^\text{UL}(f_k) = \sqrt{\frac{15.6 \max_i |p^L_i|}{T_{SFT}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{10.7 \max_i |p^L_i|}},$$

where the factor of 15.6 includes an additional reduction in signal power for higher confidence for the least favorable polarizations and inclinations of the source. These upper limits are plotted as a function of the gravitational wave frequency in Fig. 3a. We translate them into upper limits on the mass of CDOs, obtaining the curve shown in Fig. 3b. For many frequencies the limit is less than $10^{-9} M_\odot$, and the most stringent upper limit on the CDO mass is
Fig. 3. Intrinsic gravitational amplitude upper limits (a) and upper limits on the mass of compact dark matter object (CDO) binaries orbiting at the center of the Sun (b), as a function of the gravitational wave signal frequency. Based on this search, we can exclude values above these curves.

$5.8 \times 10^{-10} M_\odot$ at $\approx 525.5$ Hz. These mass limits are eight orders of magnitude lower than a previous LIGO search [7].

We have excluded from the search frequency bins that appear polluted by spurious noise that we could not model. We did this, because we would not have been able to assess the significance of a signal candidate from such frequencies. We are however able to place upper limits on the signal amplitude, even at these frequencies. At frequencies with large spectral lines the upper limits are not as constraining as they are in “quieter” bands, but they are still valid.

This is a very simple search that has reached a modest sensitivity depth [27] of $\sim 10$ Hz$^{-1/2}$. Other searches for continuous signals from known objects typically reach sensitivity depths of [28] a few hundred Hz$^{-1/2}$ and broad parameter space searches reach a depth of several tens Hz$^{-1/2}$. Reaching these sensitivity depths is possible and this paper paves the way for significantly more sophisticated searches that could probe a broad ($\approx 2$ kHz) range of frequencies and CDO masses below $10^{-10} M_\odot$.

In addition to the Sun, we plan to search for GWs from CDOs in the Earth and Jupiter. Jupiter’s gravity influences much of the solar system and many objects, such as Comet Shoemaker Levy 9, have collided with it. For the Earth, the very small distance to the source (that may be less than a GW wavelength) likely will allow sensitivity to the lowest CDO masses.

In conclusion, dark matter could be composed of compact dark objects (CDOs). A close binary of CDOs orbiting inside solar system bodies can be a loud source of gravitational waves (GWs). We have performed an initial search for GWs from the Sun, using data from the first Advanced LIGO observing run (O1), that reached a sensitivity of $h_0 \approx 10^{-23}$. This search rules out close binaries of CDOs orbiting near the center of the Sun with GW frequencies (twice the orbital frequency) between 50 and 550 Hz and CDO masses above $\approx 10^{-3} M_\odot$.
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