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From the very beginning of the miRNA era, *Drosophila* has served as an excellent model for explanation of miRNA biogenesis. Now *Drosophila* continues to be used in numerous studies aiming to decipher biological roles of individual miRNAs in a living organism. MiRNAs have emerged as an important regulatory class that adjusts gene expression in response to stress; therefore, it is particularly important to elucidate miRNA-based regulatory networks that appear in response to fluctuations in intrinsic and extrinsic environments. This review explores the major advances in understanding condition-dependent roles of miRNAs in adult stem cell biology using the *Drosophila* ovarian germline stem cell niche community as a model system.
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Introduction

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are endogenous small RNAs that negatively regulate gene expression at the posttranscriptional level in a variety of eukaryotic organisms. Generation of the mature functional miRNA is a stepwise process that depends on several key RNA-binding enzymes [1–4] (Figure 1). Importantly, the efficiency of miRNA production is highly stress-dependent [5]. Since miRNA discovery less than three decades ago, it has been demonstrated that miRNAs play a role in essentially all biological processes ranging from the regulation of stemness, cell division, and differentiation to stress-dependent adjustment of cellular metabolism and organismal homeostasis [5–9,10**]. The *Drosophila* germline was used to show for the first time that the miRNA pathway is involved in the regulation of stem cell division [11]. Later it was also found that the miRNA pathway controls stem cell maintenance [12–14] and indicates stage-specific conditions for stem cell self-renewal and differentiation [15], revealing that miRNAs are crucial components of the temporally and spatially coordinated gene regulation network. These pioneering studies were based on the analysis of phenotypes caused by defects in critical enzymes required for miRNA biogenesis, such as Dicer and Drosha, absence of which would have a global effect on miRNA production (Figure 1). However, hundreds of different miRNA genes have been identified in all metazoans, of which many are phylogenetically conserved [16]. Like with any other classes of regulatory genes, in order to have a global perspective about biological roles of miRNAs, first it is important to study individual cases. Unfortunately, currently only a few miRNA–target interactions have been experimentally confirmed, and there are several reasons why studies aiming to dissect the function of a single miRNA and validate its relevant target appear to be complex.

Firstly, it remains a key difficulty to unravel specific *in vivo* functions for individual miRNAs since in well-controlled lab conditions, the majority of studied miRNA mutants are viable, fertile, and seemingly normal [17]. Secondly, while there are various databases and algorithms using physical and chemical characteristics or conserved sequences to predict miRNA–mRNA interactions, they still give large numbers of false positives [18,19]. Thirdly, bioinformatics predicts that one miRNA can target up to 200 transcripts and one mRNA can be targeted by multiple miRNAs, giving an enormous amount of combinatorial possibilities. Therefore, associating causal targets to miRNA phenotypes continues to be extremely challenging. Fourthly, the specific miRNA function is highly dependent on the presence and levels of its multiple targets which can compete for miRNA binding [20]. Therefore, the possibility of miRNA-based targeting depends not only on the expression levels of the miRNA and its target, but also on the presence of other endogenous RNAs. Finally, while the expression profile of miRNA is very specific for tissue types and developmental stages, it is also extremely sensitive to variations in organismal physiology and stress [21,22,23*,24]. Therefore, the efficiency of miRNA-based regulation even in the same cell type appears to be highly stage-dependent and condition-dependent.
Despite all these challenges, the great efforts of multiple research groups have provided a vast amount of data allowing us to gain a greater understanding of the mechanisms of miRNA-based regulation and demonstrated the importance of miRNAs in control of virtually all developmental processes. There is evidence that miRNAs act as managers of cellular homeostasis as they respond to fluctuations in environmental conditions and stresses to readjust factors ensuring cellular homeostasis. In addition, miRNA-based regulatory networks are managed via feedback-forward signaling. This allows the reduction of transcriptional noise and global fine-tuning of the gene expression profile to guarantee the cell fate robustness [25–27]. This is particularly important for stem cell biology since one of the key characteristics of stem cells is their capability to maintain the continuous balance between self-renewal and differentiation. Therefore, understanding the biological roles of miRNAs, particularly in stem cells, has a great potential for regenerative medicine.

Drosophila germline stem cell niche community as a model for adult stem cells

Using asymmetric cell division, stem cells acquired a remarkable potential to reproduce themselves and generate differentiating daughter cells that must convert from multi-potency to unipotency, while ceasing their self-renewal capacity. These decisions must be robustly controlled since any imbalance could lead to developmental abnormalities, defective tissue homeostasis, or cancer. In order to persist, adult stem cells must reside in a specialized location, the stem cell niche, which itself is as essential for stem cell well-being as the intrinsic stem cell functions. The niche incorporates all cellular and non-cellular constituents necessary for the adult stem cell maintenance; moreover, the stem cell niche milieu is capable to reprogram and to convert differentiated cells into stem cells [23*]. Therefore, the best way to understand stem cells is to study them in their ‘home’ environment. Currently, only a few stem cell niche models have emerged, among which the Drosophila germline stem cell niche community undoubtedly is the best studied and understood. Therefore, this review is focused on recent findings that explain the role of individual miRNAs in ovarian germline stem cells and their niches.

Thanks to systematic genetic studies in Drosophila, extensive transcription factor networks that coordinate germline stem cell maintenance and stem cell niche formation are well described [28,29]. In addition, it is known that in the germline, the shift between stem cell self-renewal and differentiation is controlled via interlocked feedback loops, which predominantly depend on reciprocal translational repression safeguarding this fundamental cell fate decision [30]. Moreover, it has been shown that gonads (testes and ovaries) are unusually rich in miRNAs, many of which are unique, sexually biased, and dynamically expressed [22], suggesting that miRNAs help to maintain regulatory circuits that balance the efficiencies of stem cell self-renewal and differentiation, especially in response to organismal need and environmental conditions.

The Drosophila ovary is a paired organ consisting of individual ovarioles, which are strings of gradually developed egg chambers. At the anterior of each ovariole resides a specialized structure called the gerarium, at the apex of which the germline stem cells are located. Importantly, the gerarium contains two types of ovarian stem cell niches: (i) the germline stem cell niche that maintains stem cells during the entire life of the organism and (ii) the differentiation niche that regulates the productiveness of germline differentiation. In the ovary, cells of very different origins, the germline and the soma, co-exist and actively communicate. Several screens have been performed, which identified miRNAs that are expressed in the ovarian germline, soma, or both...
miRNAs cell-autonomously regulate GSC maintenance and division

One example of such a miRNA is *bantam*, without which germ line stem cells are as rapidly lost as in the absence of *Dicer-1* [15,31,32]. *bantam* is an unusual miRNA because, unlike most miRNA mutants, *bantam* loss-of-function mutants are lethal, suggesting that this miRNA regulates essential genes. In the imaginal discs, *bantam* supports cell proliferation and confines apoptosis [33] and in a Myc-dependent manner enhances tissue growth [34]. Moreover, the entire animal body size depends on regulation of *bantam* since it coordinates the crosstalk between steroid and insulin signaling [34]. In particular, regulation of steroid production by insulin signaling relies on the repression of *bantam* activity. Importantly, *bantam* miRNA has similar functions in the germ line stem cells; it inhibits FOXO-mediated transcription of the pro-apoptotic Smac/DIA-BLO ortholog, Hid (Figure 2a). Interestingly, this regulation is used by germ line stem cells (but not their daughters) to become resistant to radiation/chemical-induced apoptosis [32]. These data support previous findings which demonstrated that the miRNA pathway is required for germ line stem cell maintenance [12,15] and show that there is at least one miRNA expressed in the germ line stem cells to intrinsically regulate their fitness and resilience.

In *Drosophila*, the kinetics of adult germ line stem cell division is slow and can be influenced by the environment. The miRNA pathway deficiency makes stem cells unresponsive to environmental signals that usually halt the cell cycle at the G1/S transition [11]. Germ line stem cells lacking *Dicer-1* are delayed in the G1/S transition associated with the increased levels of CDK-inhibitor p21/p27/Dacapo [11]. Actually, several miRNAs (miR-278, miR-7 and miR-309, Figure 2a) that repress CDK-inhibitor p21/p27/Dacapo have been identified [35]. Apparently, the mechanisms regulating continuous self-renewal and cell cycle progression may be stem cell exclusive [36]; therefore, it is tempting to speculate that the stem cell division mode is differentially fine-tuned by miRNA-based targeting on cell cycle gate-keepers. It would be important to identify other miRNAs that influence the speed of stem cell division via adjustment of mechanisms that control stem cell sensitivity to environmental signals. Since miRNAs are also involved in tumorigenesis [37], an interesting hypothesis is that in cancer cells that also have atypical cell cycle regulation, miRNAs could play similar roles.

miRNAs cell-autonomously regulate GSC progeny differentiation

By their most general definition, stem cells are cells capable to self-renew and produce differentiating cells. Not unexpectedly, apart from being able to control stem cell self-renewal processes (maintenance and cell division), miRNAs have also been shown to be involved in control of stem cell progeny differentiation. Currently, two miRNAs that target factors required for proper ovarian germ line differentiation have been identified. *miR-184* has been shown to control germ line stem cell differentiation by tuning Saxophone (Sax) [38]. Sax is one of the receptors of the bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-like receptor signaling pathway or Decapentaplegic (Dpp) signaling in *Drosophila*. The Dpp pathway chiefly governs stem cell maintenance and differentiation in the female germ line [39,40]. Dpp ligands are sent from the somatic stem cell niche cells to induce Dpp signaling in the adjacent germ line stem cells, which results in phosphorylation of the transcription factor Mad (Mothers against Decapentaplegic). pMad translocates to the nucleus and represses Bam, expression of which is essential for the germ line differentiation (Figure 2b). In the absence of *miR-184*, Sax levels are increased, which mimics overactivation of Dpp signaling and leads to Bam repression in the germ line stem cell progeny, delaying their differentiation [38].

The second described example of cell-autonomous regulation by a miRNA of an essential germ line stem cell differentiation factor is the case of stress-dependent targeting of Rbfox1 by *miR-980* [41**] (Figure 2b). Rbfox1 is a multifunctional protein; in the nucleus it acts as an alternative splicing factor, while in the cytoplasm, it binds 3′UTRs of several germ line miRNAs and competes with miRNAs for their binding sites [42,43]. In particular, Rbfox1 directly interacts with the 3′UTR of *pamilio* (*pum*) miRNA and blocks its translation [42*]. *Pum* is an RNA-binding protein that controls germ line stem cell self-renewal by translational repression of multiple germ-line-specific miRNAs, and its deficiency causes germ line stem cell loss by differentiation [30] (Figure 2b). Thus, one of the functions of Rbfox1 is to regulate ovarian germ line stem cell progeny differentiation via repression of *pum* mRNA. In addition to its RNA-binding domain, Rbfox1 contains multiple low complexity sequence domains (LCDs). Depending on its concentration, Rbfox1 promiscuously associates and induces the assembly of different types of RNA granules, such as stress granules and P-bodies in the cytoplasm or Cajal bodies and nucleoli in the nucleus [41**]. These RNA granules are produced as a result of liquid-to-liquid phase separation of LCD-containing proteins; therefore, they are also called ‘liquid organelles’ [44–47]. Currently, the posttranscriptional regulation of RNA metabolism in these organelles is considered to be a major stress response mechanism [5,48,49]. Upon stress, *miR-980* is downregulated
Examples of cell-autonomous and non-autonomous regulation of germline stem cell behavior by miRNAs. (a) Schematic of an adult germarium which contains two types of somatic GSC niches: the stem cell niche per se, which includes Terminal Filament Cells (TFCs, green,
and is not available for Rbfox1 mRNA targeting, increasing Rbfox1 protein levels. This induces the widespread formation of various Rbfox1-positive liquid organelles, which modulate nuclear and cytoplasmic RNA biogenesis. This subsequently promotes cell survival upon stress [41]. Remarkably, Rbfox1 per se is regulated by a miRNA and at the same time, it influences the ability of other miRNAs to interact with their targets. In addition, Rbfox1 can facilitate the assembly of various subcellular sites where RNA processing and turnover, including mRNA–miRNA interaction, is occurring.

Recently, a novel, intriguing hypothesis of gene expression regulation called ‘the Rosetta stone of a hidden RNA language’ was proposed in which competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs), such as messenger RNAs, transcribed pseudogenes, and long noncoding RNAs use miRNA-binding sites as letters to ‘talk’ to each other [50]. Depending on their expression levels, they compete for miRNAs, which creates a complex network that considers the pool of various cellular RNAs as an active community that harmonizes gene expression. This communication appears to be particularly important in the control of cell fate acquisition by stem cell progeny and in cancer development [51–53]. The example of Rbfox1 and –miR-980 interaction adds an additional layer of complexity to the ceRNA model, where Rbfox1 acts as an interferent in the hidden RNA language. Rbfox1 is targeted by miRNAs, while simultaneously competing with miRNAs for binding sites in the 3’UTRs of different mRNAs (Figure 2b). Moreover, via Rbfox1-dependent formation of various RNP granules, it manages large scale RNA metabolism. Interestingly, the stress-dependent miR-980 is positioned at the top of this intricate signaling cascade, which regulates gene expression under stress in the germline cells.

miRNAs cell non-autonomously regulate GSC progeny differentiation under stress
To preserve the lifelong continuity of self-renewing divisions in an environment where most of the other cells are quiescent, adult stem cells must reside in the stem cell niche. Communication between stem cells and their niches adjusts stem cell division and differentiation to organismal needs; therefore, it is logical that systemic signaling that reflects the general physiological status of the organism should be involved in coordination of stem cell self-renewal. Interestingly, it has been shown that steroid hormones act via dependent miRNAs to regulate the cellular identity of somatic cells that form the germ-line differentiation niche and coordinate the speed of germline differentiation [54]. This is attuned by the steroid-induced let-7 miRNA, which via the feedback loop downregulates the transcription factor and ecdysone signaling repressor Arupt [54,55] (Figure 2c). Depending on the strength of steroid hormone signaling that is dynamically readjusted in accordance with internal and external cues, cellular sexual identity, cell cycle mode, shape, and most importantly, adhesiveness of somatic...
cells in the differentiation niche are modified [22,54,56]. Since somatic and germline cells in the ovary are attached via the cadherin-dependent homophilic cell adhesion, cadherin levels must correlate on the membranes of the adjacent cells. Therefore, alterations in somatic cell adhesiveness have a direct effect on cell adhesion proteins expressed in the germline. Cadherins bind signaling molecules, for example Armadillo (Drosophila β-catenin), which concurrently with its role in the cell adhesion, acts a transcriptional factor of Wingless (Wg or Wnt in vertebrates) signaling. Therefore, steroid signaling from the soma via direct cell contacts adjusts Wg signaling in the germline, the efficacy of which has a positive effect on germline differentiation. In particular, Wg-based regulation occurs via chromatin modifications, such as histone H2B monoubiquitination, which permits the germline stem cell progeny to begin the differentiation program [54]. These data demonstrate a model in which, in response to external cues, the soma influences the tempo of germline differentiation via miRNAs.

Another interesting aspect is that in gonads, the steroid-induced miRNA let-7 maintains the sexual identity of the somatic cells [22]. Although the signaling cascade that determines sexual identity has been comprehensively studied and is well characterized, the idea that specific signals are required to preserve sexual identity during adult life is quite novel. The data from Drosophila establish miRNAs as important managers shaping sexual dimorphism and form the foundation of future work addressing the functions of miRNAs in maintenance of cellular sexual identity.

Also, miRNAs have been shown to adjust cell signaling in order to shape adult oogenesis to fluctuating diet. In particular, the miR-310s cluster targets multiple factors of the diet-dependent Hh pathway to guarantee its quick and robust downregulation upon starvation [10**] (Figure 2c). Hh signaling has multiple means to control ovarian germline stem cell division and differentiation in a cell non-autonomous manner: (i) Hh ligand is produced by the stem cell niche cells, which deliver it to the differentiation niche cells to manage the GSC population; (ii) activated Hh signaling restricts production of BMP ligands in both niches to permit germline stem cell progeny differentiation; (iii) the amount of Hh ligand is sensed by follicle stem cells (FSCs) to coordinate the speed of follicular epithelium cell division with germline differentiation [10**,57,58]. In the somatic stem cell niche cells, the miR-310s expression is highly dynamic and nutrition-sensitive, and it is currently undetermined how miR-310s expression is adjusted in response to nutritional deficit. However, in other tissues, their expression is modulated by stress-sensitive nitric oxide signaling that nitrosylates histone deacetylases, which positively influences gene expression via histone modifications [21,59,60]. Hh signaling is a principal pathway known to regulate dietary stress-response in stem cells [61,62] and interestingly, the miR-310s act upstream of this signaling. These data demonstrate that miRNAs transduce the information about the nutritional status of an organism to an essential signaling pathway, which via stem cell niche signaling controls stem cell behavior. This implies that miRNAs are promising agents capable to control stem cells upon fluctuating dietary conditions.

**miRNAs cell-autonomously regulate GSC niche formation**

Not only do miRNAs play important roles in the adjustment of germline stem cell maintenance and differentiation during adulthood, a miRNA has been identified that influences the process of stem cell niche formation. Induced by the steroid pulse, miR-125 acts as an intermediary between temporal steroid and spatial Notch signaling to aid in the process of the stereotypical germline stem cell niche assembly (Figure 2c). Interestingly, the steroid–miR-125–Notch signaling cascade is used to reprogram Notch signaling status of the posterior terminal filament cell, which becomes Delta-sending and activates Notch signaling in the adjacent GpC precursors [63**]. MiRNA-mediated Notch signaling reprogramming allows TFC to become the inducer of the hexagonal stem cell niche pattern. Since hexagonal tiling is the most stable pattern in nature, this mechanism optimizes the cell stem cell niche architecture [63**]. This is extremely important, since niche cells are not renewable and at the same time, they must assemble into a structure that could function as a lifelong residence for stem cells, and notably, a miRNA is instrumental in this critical process of stem cell niche establishment.

**Conclusions**

In summary, the research about miRNA functions in Drosophila stem cells and their niches provides new knowledge regarding the mechanisms and logic of miRNA-based regulation, which has great potential for regenerative medicine applications. By studying miRNAs in the germline, we have not only identified additional players in signaling cascades regulating stem cell behavior but have also discovered new mechanisms utilized by stem cells and their niches that allow them to be maintained as a properly functioning community that is easily adjustable to organizational needs.
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