Introduction.- Recent years have seen remarkable progress in identifying candidate materials that can realize QSL phases [1–3]. In particular, a significant experimental and theoretical effort has been devoted to the study of magnetic properties of 4d and 5d systems, such as iridates and ruthenates, in which the interplay of strong spin-orbit coupling and electronic correlations gives rise to highly anisotropic and spatially dependent Ising-like interactions between effective moments $J_{\text{eff}} = 1/2$ [4]. In structures with edge-sharing octahedra, these so-called Kitaev interactions [5] often dominate over other exchange interactions [4, 6, 7] which raises the exciting possibility that the corresponding materials realizing the Kitaev QSL with well defined fractionalized excitations [8, 9, 13].

However, at sufficiently low temperatures almost all known Kitaev materials order magnetically rather than exhibiting spin-liquidity [14]. A recent exception, the hydrogen intercalated iridate $\text{H}_3\text{LiIr}_2\text{O}_6$ [K. Kitagawa et al., Nature 554, 341-345 (2018)] exhibits an apparent quantum spin liquid (QSL) state. In this intercalated spin-orbital compound, a remarkable pile up of low-energy states was experimentally observed in specific heat and nuclear magnetic (NMR) spin relaxation. We show that a bond disordered Kitaev model can naturally account for this phenomenon, suggesting that disorder plays an essential role in its theoretical description. In the exactly soluble Kitaev model, we obtain, via spin fractionalization, a random bipartite hopping problem of Majorana fermions in a random flux background. This has a divergent low-energy density of states (DOS) of the required power-law form $N(E) \propto E^{-\nu}$ with a drifting exponent which takes on the value $\nu \approx 1/2$ for relatively strong bond disorder. Breaking time-reversal symmetry removes the divergence of the density of states, as does applying a magnetic field in experiment. We discuss the implication of our scenario, both for future experiments and from a broader perspective.

FIG. 1. (Color online) The bond-anisotropic Ising interactions of the Kitaev model are shown in three different colours along the three inequivalent bonds. Disorder is taken into account via a subset of randomly selected bonds (dashed lines).

Crucially, the following peculiar features observed in $\text{H}_3\text{LiIr}_2\text{O}_6$ [15] call for a coherent explanation: i) the specific heat displays a low-temperature divergence of $C/T \propto T^{-1/2}$; ii) only a small fraction of the total magnetic entropy is released at these low-temperature scales; and iii) there is a non-vanishing contribution down to lowest temperature in the NMR rate $1/T_1$ and an almost flat Knight shift. All of these signal the presence of abundant low-energy DOS related to magnetic excitations. However, despite the presence of dominating Kitaev exchange this phenomenology is at odds with the thermodynamics of the Kitaev QSL [17, 18], which has a vanishing specific heat and a significant release of half of its total entropy at low $T$.

So far only few attempts at explaining the new data of $\text{H}_3\text{LiIr}_2\text{O}_6$ have been put forward. A proposal by Sla-
gle et al. \cite{19} is based on a special stacking of a four layer honeycomb structure requiring a considerable degree of fine tuning. Alternatively, a more general proposal by Kimchi et al. \cite{20} not based on Kitaev physics connects the power-law temperature dependence of the specific heat in various spin liquids to the formation of disorder-induced networks of local moments in a random singlet phase \cite{21 22}.

Here, we adapt a different viewpoint to account for the new observations in H$_3$LiIr$_2$O$_6$, to reconcile its phenomenology with the presence of disorder and dominating Kitaev exchange. We show that a pinned random flux background removes the low-temperature entropic contribution and that a particular type of bond disorder overall accounts for the salient experimental signatures i)-iii). Our scenario is based on the observation that spins in the Kitaev spin liquid fractionalize into itinerant Majorana fermions and localized Z$_2$ gauge fluxes – equivalent to Majorana fermions hopping on the honeycomb lattice. Crucially, randomness in exchange couplings translates into random hopping strengths.

Our work, complementary to previous studies of isolated impurities in the Kitaev model \cite{23 24}, links directly to the well studied random hopping problems in the context of the quantum Hall effect \cite{25 26 27}. There, in the presence of particle-hole symmetry a divergent low-energy DOS appears naturally and has a general form $N(E) \propto \frac{1}{E^{2/3}} \ln |E|^{1/3}$ \cite{28}, which however does not give the experimentally observed divergence of the specific heat \cite{15}. Moreover, in the standard bipartite disorder problems, the divergence of the DOS is manifest only at asymptotically small energy scales making it hard to study it even numerically \cite{29}. Thus, it is likely placed outside experimentally accessible regimes at least for magnetic materials. Nevertheless, strong disorder in the Kitaev model may affect not only the hopping of Majorana fermions but also the flux sector \cite{30}. We show that our experimentally motivated extension of the random hopping problem to a particular type of binary disorder gives rise to the desired divergence of the DOS and therefore specific heat.

**Bond disordered Kitaev model.** We focus our discussion on a minimal exactly soluble model

$$H = \sum_{(ij)_\alpha} (J^{\alpha} + \delta J^{\alpha}_{ij}) \sigma^\alpha_i \sigma^\alpha_j + h \sum_{\langle \langle ik \rangle \rangle} \sigma^\alpha_i \sigma^\beta_j \sigma^\gamma_k + H_{\text{Flux}},$$

where $\sigma^\alpha_i$ being the Pauli matrices with spin components $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} = x, y, z$ which also label the inequivalent bond directions $\langle (ij)_\alpha \rangle$ on the honeycomb lattice, see Fig. 1.

The first term is the usual Kitaev term with three competing Ising exchanges along the inequivalent bond types, which has been shown to be the leading term of an effective spin 1/2 description of the LiIr$_2$O$_6$ honeycomb plane \cite{14 31}. Throughout we focus on an isotropic system, $J^{x,y,z} = J > 0$, and measure energies in units of $J$. The special ingredient is the bond disorder contribution $\delta J^{\alpha}_{ij}$ on a random subset of nearest neighbors bonds, see Fig. 1. Along these bonds, spins have an additional random binary interaction, $\delta J^{\alpha}_{ij} = \pm \delta J$. More explicitly, we set $\delta J = 0.8$ and assume that a density $\rho = 25\%$ of all bonds have a random binary total strength 0.2$J$ or 1.8$J$ (small variations of $\delta J$ do not alter the results). Note, for $\rho = 100\%$ we recover a fully disordered model with random binary bonds. The second term in Eq. 1 is a three-spin interaction on the three adjacent sites of strength $h$, which breaks time-reversal symmetry (TRS). It mimics the effect of a magnetic field but preserves exact solubility of the model \cite{5}. The last term $H_{\text{Flux}}$ bins a random flux background as further discussed below.

Decomposing spin operators into four Majorana fermions \cite{5}, $\sigma_i^\alpha = i c_i^\alpha b_i^\dagger$, the Hamiltonian becomes

$$H = -\sum_{(ij)_\alpha} (J + \delta J^{\alpha}_{ij}) i u_{ij} c_i c_j - h \sum_{\langle \langle ik \rangle \rangle} i u_{ij} u_{kj} c_i c_k + H_{\text{Flux}},$$

where $\sigma^\alpha_i$ being the Pauli matrices with spin components $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} = x, y, z$ which also label the inequivalent bond directions $\langle (ij)_\alpha \rangle$ on the honeycomb lattice, see Fig. 1.

Spin excitations fractionalize into Majorana matter fermions $c_i$ and the gauge invariant plaquette fluxes $W_p = \prod_{\langle (ij)_\alpha \rangle \in \epsilon} u_{ij}$ with the link operators $u_{ij} = i c_i^\alpha b_j^\dagger$. Both $W_p = \pm 1$ and the gauge dependent link variables $u_{ij} = \pm 1$ of Eq. 2 are constants of motion corresponding to a static background Z$_2$ gauge field. While the ground state of the clean Kitaev honeycomb model is flux free, $W_p = +1$ for all plaquettes, the average gap to flux excitations is reduced for increasing bond disorder \cite{32}. Here, instead of choosing the particular disordered flux configuration with the lowest ground state energy we as-

![FIG. 2. (Color online) The DOS of the random bond, random flux model Eq. (1). For unbroken TRS ($h = 0$), $N(E)$ reveals a low-energy divergence. The inset shows the low-energy data (highlighted in red of the main panel) on a log-log plot. Power-law fit (black dashed) of the zero-field curve ($h = 0$ in red) gives $N(E) \propto E^{-\nu}$ with $\nu = 0.50 \pm 0.005$. Breaking TRS by increasing $h$ gradually removes the low-energy divergence. All data are calculated on a torus with $2 \times 20^2 = 800$ spins, 5000 disorder samples for the average and a Lorentzian approximation for the delta function with broadening $\gamma = 0.001$.](image-url)
can be easily achieved by adding a chemical potential for nonzero temperatures. The inset shows the low-T $C/T$ (highlighted in red in the main panel) on a log-log plot. Power-law fit (black dashed) of the zero-field curve ($h=0$) gives $C/T \propto T^{-\nu}$ with $\nu=0.50$, as expected from the DOS. Breaking TRS by increasing $h$ gradually removes the divergence as observed in experiments, see Fig.4(a) in Ref. 13.

For a given configuration of random link variables $\{u_{ij}\}$ the Hamiltonian is bilinear in Majorana fermions

$$H^u = \sum_n \epsilon_n^u \left( f_n^\dagger f_n - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Here $f_n$ are complex matter fermions (superposition of two Majorana operators) which label the eigenmodes with the fermion energies $\epsilon_n^u$ in a given flux sector.

**DOS and experimental observables.** This minimal model, Eq.(2), displays the salient experimental phenomenology i)-iii). The DOS is calculated as

$$N(E) = \left\langle \left\langle \sum_n \delta (E - \epsilon_n^u) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\delta J}.$$

the two brackets refer to the independent random bond and random flux averages. With this in hand we obtain the specific heat from

$$C(T) = \int dE N(E) E \frac{\partial}{\partial T} n_F(E/T)$$

with the Fermi function $n_F$ for the thermal occupation of the matter fermions. A divergent DOS of the form $N(E) \propto E^{-\nu}$ translates into a divergent specific heat $C/T \propto T^{-\nu}$.

The temperature dependence of the NMR spin relaxation rate can be obtained from

$$\frac{1}{T_1} \propto \left\langle \left\langle \sum_\alpha S^{\alpha \alpha}_{ii} (\omega \rightarrow 0) + S^{\alpha \alpha}_{ij} (\omega \rightarrow 0) \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\delta J}.$$

with the dynamical on-site $(i,i)$ and nearest neighbors $(\langle i,j \rangle)$ spin correlations

$$S^{\alpha \alpha}_{ij} (\omega) = \int dt e^{i\omega t} \langle \sigma_i^\alpha(t) \sigma_j^\alpha(0) \rangle.$$  

The brackets correspond to a thermal average at a given temperature $T$. Since in the Kitaev model only onsite and nearest neighbors correlations are nonzero, the sum in Eq. (6) corresponds to the $q=0$ component of the spin structure factor. Using the adiabatic approximation of Refs.33,35, we calculate $S^{\alpha \alpha}_{q=0}(\omega)$ via (definition of the flux gap $\Delta$ and transformation matrices $X,Y$ as in 34)

Finally, the NMR Knight shift is given by the static susceptibility $\chi$, which we calculate using the Kramers-Kronig relation ($\beta=1/T$)

$$\chi \propto \int d\omega \sum_\alpha S^{\alpha \alpha}_{q=0}(\omega) \frac{1 - e^{i\omega}}{\omega}.$$  

**Results.** In Fig. 2 we show the DOS which for our
choice of parameters, $\rho = 25\%$ and $\delta J = 0.8$, has a low energy divergence of the required form $N(E) \propto E^{-\nu}$. The resulting divergence of the specific heat is shown in Fig. 3. The exponent $\nu = 1/2$ does not change for different disorder strength $\delta J$ but is sensitive to the disorder concentration, e.g. it drifts with $\rho$. Breaking TRS via a nonzero three spin term gradually removes the divergence of the DOS corresponding to the experiments in increasing magnetic fields \cite{15}. Beyond the low-energy regime, which dictates the thermodynamics at low temperature, the DOS shows the expected spikes of binary disordered systems which originate from special configurations, e.g. small clusters of strong bonds separated by weak bonds from the rest.

Next, we show the NMR observables in Fig. 4. Both the relaxation rate $1/T_1$ (black, main panel) and the nearest neighbors spin correlations (red, inset (a)) are remarkably flat as a function of temperature showing the presence of low-lying spin excitations down to very low temperature. Only at a temperature scale above the magnetic exchange do they start to decrease towards the paramagnetic regime. Similarly, the Knight shift only slowly decreases for increasing temperature, and its distribution over disorder configurations (inset (b)) shows a small relative shift of a well defined line. Note, it turns out that because of our choice of symmetric disorder, $\langle \delta J \rangle = 0$, the high temperature Curie-Weiss behaviour is insensitive to disorder strength and concentration.

**Discussion.**- Our minimal model is able to reproduce the experimental feature i)-iii) observed in $\text{H}_2\text{LiIr}_2\text{O}_6$. We have also considered other forms of disorder, e.g. continuous instead of binary and temperature dependent flux densities, however they were not able to give all the low temperature properties observed in experiment.

A crucial question concerns the microscopic motivation of our particular choice of a binary bond disordered Kitaev model. First, similar to other honeycomb iridates \cite{17}, a dominating Kitaev exchange is expected from the intact iridium honeycomb planes \cite{16,36}. Second, our particular type of disorder can be generated by variations of the local crystal field due to the random location of the $\text{H}^+$ ions above/below the Ir-Ir bonds which are naturally coupled to nearby $\text{O}^2-$ ions and thus can introduce local distortions of the oxygen octahedral cage. The deviation in crystal fields, in turn, can strongly modify the coupling between Ir ions \cite{16,36,37}. Third, the random flux background is motivated from the experimental observation that the low temperature release of entropy from the specific heat divergence in $\text{H}_2\text{LiIr}_2\text{O}_6$ is only a few percent of the total entropy in contrast to the clean Kitaev QSL \cite{15}. While our example of $H_{\text{Flux}}$ indeed stabilizes the random fluxes, its microscopic origin is ultimately dictated by the additional interactions beyond the exactly soluble terms considered here, and by the particular disorder physics in real materials.

An important experimental observation is the scaling of the specific heat divergence as a function of applied magnetic field \cite{15}. Unfortunately within our exactly soluble model we cannot capture this physics. While in the pure Kitaev model $h \propto B^3$ \cite{15}, in the presence of off-diagonal exchange, $\Gamma$, it can be of the form $h \propto \Gamma^2 B$ \cite{38} or has a more complicated dependence in real materials. Nevertheless, we do capture the overall qualitative trend that breaking TRS leads to a suppression of the $C/T$ divergence, see Fig. 3 inset.

All our results were calculated for AFM Kitaev interactions but there still remains a considerable debate concerning the overall sign of the exchange in different Kitaev candidate materials \cite{14}. An unexpected aspect of the Kitaev QSL – and arguably in itself a signature thereof – is the insensitivity of the DOS and specific heat to the overall sign of Kitaev exchange $J$ (even for nonzero $h$ the sign change for the resulting bipartite Majorana hopping model can be removed by a simple gauge transformation). Only the the sign of the nearest neighbour spin correlator $\mathcal{S}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ depends on the sign of the Kitaev interaction, i.e. the equal time component is negative (positive) for AFM (FM) exchange. However, it turns out that since its magnitude is much smaller than that of the on-site component, there are only small quantitative changes and the qualitative features of the NMR response remain unchanged. Note, the slight difference in temperature dependences for $1/T_1$ measured on different sites in $\text{H}_2\text{LiIr}_2\text{O}_6$ \cite{15} potentially points to an AFM interaction similar to the by now classic interpretation in terms of different form factors of NMR lines in copper high-$T_c$ materials \cite{39}.
In order to make quantitative contact to the experimental findings in H$_3$LiIr$_2$O$_6$ [15], a full microscopic derivation of our minimal scenario is needed, which is an important as well as challenging direction for future research. A first step would be an investigation of the phenomenology close to the integrable limit considered here [40]. In the context of random hopping models, it would be interesting to explore the localization properties of the Majorana wave functions and a careful analysis of extra logarithmic corrections to our low energy divergence of the DOS.

Summary and Outlook: We have argued that a minimal model of a bond disordered Kitaev QSL can account for the salient experimental observations in H$_3$LiIr$_2$O$_6$ [15], namely i) a low temperature divergence of the specific heat $C/T \propto T^{-u}$ with $u = 1/2$, which ii) carries only a small fraction of the total entropy; and iii) an NMR response signalling an abundant low energy DOS of magnetic excitations. Our scenario directly leads to a number of qualitative experimental predictions. Firstly, Raman or inelastic neutron scattering experiments should pick up the low energy divergent power-law tail of the DOS. Secondly, a controlled, sufficiently large change of the disorder concentration should be observable as a drift in the exponent of the divergence. More exotically, in a disordered system of Majorana fermions the breaking of TRS can in principle lead to a thermal metal as a function of sample size [41]. More broadly, the experiment in question refocuses attention on the physics of disorder in quantum spin liquids, which has been with the field for a long time [42-43], and in particular, which kind of new phenomena may be encountered as a cooperativemanifestation of disordered topological condensed matter systems [14-47].
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