A reference genome sequence for the European silver fir (Abies alba Mill.): a community-generated genomic resource
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Abstract

Silver fir (*Abies alba* Mill.) is a keystone conifer of European montane forest ecosystems that has experienced large fluctuations in population size during the Quaternary and, more recently, due to land-use change. To forecast the species' future distribution and survival, it is important to investigate the genetic basis of adaptation to environmental change, notably to extreme events. For this purpose, we here provide a first draft genome assembly and annotation of the silver fir genome, established through a community-based initiative. DNA obtained from haploid megagametophyte and diploid needle tissue was used to construct and sequence Illumina paired-end and mate-pair libraries, respectively, to high depth. The assembled *A. alba* genome sequence accounted for over 37 million scaffolds corresponding to 18.16 Gb, with a scaffold N50 of 14,051 bp. Despite the fragmented nature of the assembly, a total of 50,757 full-length genes were functionally annotated in the nuclear genome. The chloroplast genome was also assembled into a single scaffold (120,908 bp) that shows a high collinearity with both the *A. koreana* and *A. sibirica* complete chloroplast genomes. This first genome assembly of silver fir is an important genomic resource that is now publicly available in support of a new generation of research. By genome-enabling this important conifer, this resource will open the gate for new research and more precise genetic monitoring of European silver fir forests.
INTRODUCTION

Conifers represent the dominant trees in some temperate and all boreal ecosystems and have important economic value. They face the effects of climate change, with an increase in temperature and lower precipitation, and increased frequency of extreme events, to which some species may be unable to quickly adapt. Silver fir (Abies alba Mill.) is a keystone conifer of European montane forest ecosystems, which is dominant in cool areas of the temperate zone (Ellenberg 2009). Its distribution ranges from the Pyrenees to the Alps and the Carpathians where it reaches its easternmost range edge (Figure S1). Growing interest in silver fir has emerged because of its potential vulnerability to climate change (Cailleret et al. 2014), which could change conditions for its sustainable use and its economic value. In turn, this species is more drought-resistant than other important species for timber production, such as Norway spruce (Vitali et al. 2017), which could turn out to be beneficial under the expected increase in extended future drought periods.

Several studies investigated the environmental effect on silver fir genetic diversity across the Italian Alps, showing the association between its genetic diversity and seasonal minimum temperature (Mosca et al. 2012) as well as between genetic diversity and both temperature and soil type (Mosca et al. 2014). Recent studies confirmed the environmental effect on the species' local adaptation, which was shaped by winter drought in marginal populations (Roschanski et al. 2016); while in common gardens, selection on height was driven by thermal stability (Csilléry et al. 2018). Another study confirmed the importance of the Apennines as a refugium of genetic diversity (Piotti et al. 2017). All these studies were based on a modest number of genetic markers (several hundred of single-nucleotide polymorphisms,
SNPs, or tens of simple sequence repeats, SSRs, also called microsatellites) due to the lack of genomic resources.

Conifer genomes are very large, ranging from 4 to 35 giga base pairs (Gb) (Bennett and Leitch 2012; Grotkopp et al. 2004; Zonneveld 2012), but their gene content is similar to that of other vascular plants (Leitch et al. 2005). Conifer genomic resources have grown in recent years due to the application of high throughput sequencing technologies (Reuter et al. 2015).

To date, a few conifer genomes have been fully sequenced, including: *Picea abies* (L.) Karst (Nystedt et al. 2013), *Picea glauca* (Moench) Voss (Warren et al. 2015), *Pinus taeda* L. (Neale et al. 2014), *Pinus lambertiana* Dougl. (Stevens et al. 2016), *Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Mirb.) Franco (Neale et al. 2017) and *Larix sibirica* Ledeb (Kuzmin et al. 2019).

The present research is part of the Silver Fir Genome Project, which is a community effort within the Alpine Forest Genomics Network (AForGeN, IUFRO WP 2.04.11, https://www.aforgen.org). This network was established in 2011 with the intent to facilitate information exchange and collaboration among researchers interested in studying adaptation in alpine forest ecosystems to climate change, using landscape genomics approaches (Neale et al., 2013). Within this researcher community arose the idea to launch the genome project of an important subalpine conifer species. The genome sequencing was financed by a bottom-up approach among partners (https://sfgp.faculty.ucdavis.edu/).

The aim of this project was to sequence and assemble the silver fir genome, and to compare this resource with other available conifer genomes. This study provides additional information on the *Abies* chloroplast genome in relation to closely related taxa. A long-term perspective is to identify gene regions involved in drought resistance and late flushing, which are traits found to be important in Mediterranean firs (George et al. 2015).
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reference tree for genome sequencing

Tissue samples for sequencing were obtained from an adult silver fir tree (AA_WSL01) located in a public forest next to the institute of WSL Birmensdorf, Switzerland (47.3624°N, 8.4536°E). Seeds were collected directly from the selected tree in November 2016, dried at ambient temperature and stored at -5°C. Fresh needles were harvested shortly after flushing in May 2017. A multilocus SNP analysis across 19 Swiss populations placed the sampled tree mainly in the genetic cluster of other Swiss plateau populations, with some ancestry similar to populations in the Jura Mountains and in the Northern Alps (Figure S2).

DNA preparation

Haploid megagametophyte DNA isolation for paired-end (PE) sequencing

Seeds of the reference tree were incubated in tap water for 24 h at room temperature. Seeds were dissected in a sterile 0.9% sodium-chloride solution under a stereo lens in an environment cleaned with bleach, using micro scissors and forceps. The diploid nucellar and integument tissues were carefully removed. The retained megagametophyte tissue was rinsed with fresh sterile 0.9% sodium-chloride solution, immediately transferred to a 2 mL Eppendorf tube and stored at -80°C. Megagametophyte tissue was lyophilized for 16 h prior to extraction and homogenized for 30 s using a mixer mill (Retsch MM 300, Haan, Germany). DNA extraction was performed with a customized sbeadex kit (LGC Genomics, Berlin, Germany), which included chemicals and reagents as described below. 500 µL LP-PVP, 5 µL Protease, 1 µL RNAse and 20 µL debris capture beads were added as lysis buffer to the ground tissue and the mix was incubated at 50°C and 350 rounds per minute (rpm) in a
heating block for 30 min. After brief centrifugation, 400 µL cleared lysate was added to 400 µL binding buffer SB and 10 µL sbeadex beads. After 15 min binding at room temperature with shaking at 850 rpm, magnetic beads were collected on a magnetic stand for 2 min, and the supernatant was discarded completely. Beads were successively washed with the following buffers: 400 µL BN1, 400 µL TN1, 400 µL TN2, and 400 µL PN2. Washing time was 7 min for all four steps, with shaking at 850 rpm, followed by a short spin, 2 min of bead collection on a magnetic stand, and careful discarding of wash buffer. DNA was finally eluted in 100 µL elution buffer AMP at 60°C and 850 rpm on a heating block for 10 min. After a short spin and 3 min of magnetic bead collection on a magnetic stand, DNA was transferred into a new tube, centrifuged at 21,000 x g for 2 min, and transferred without pellet into a new tube.

DNA concentration was measured using the QuantiFluor dsDNA System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). 260/280 and 260/230 ratios were measured using a Nanodrop 1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA; Table S1 Supplemental Information), and DNA integrity was visualized by running 5 µL of DNA on a 1% agarose gel. Nuclear microsatellites were used to test for the contamination of the haploid maternal DNA with diploid DNA deriving from the surrounding tissue and to confirm the presence of only one maternal haplotype (Table S2A). Because different megagametophytes from the same tree represent different haplotypes, only one DNA sample from a single megagametophyte with high DNA quality (260/280 ratio: 1.83, 260/230 ratio: 1.75) and quantity (3.7 µg at 41 ng/µL; Table S1) was used by CNAG-CRG for PE library preparation and sequencing.

**Diploid needle DNA isolation for mate-pair (MP) sequencing**
Young, bright green needles of the reference tree were collected, frozen at -80 °C and lyophilized for 24 h. For DNA extraction, 25 mg of tissue were ground in a 2 mL Eppendorf tube with two steel balls (d = 3.1 mm) for 1.5 min, using a mixer mill MM300 (Retsch). DNA was extracted with the Dneasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), starting with 600 µL AP1, 1 µL RNAse and 1 µL DX reagent. Then, DNA extraction was carried out according to the manufacturer’s protocol, with an additional washing step with washing buffer AW2. DNA was eluted in 2x 100 µL nuclease-free water. DNA concentration was measured using QuantiFluor dsDNA System (Promega), 260/280 and 260/230 ratios were measured using a Nanodrop 1000 (ThermoFisher), and DNA integrity was visualized by running 0.6 µL of DNA on a 1 % agarose gel. DNA samples were checked for contamination again using nuclear microsatellite markers (Table S2A), and one sample (24.5µg at 136 ng/µL; Table S1) was used for MP sequencing.

**Sequencing**

**Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) library preparation and sequencing**

Haploid DNA from the single megagametophyte was used to construct three 300 bp-insert paired-end libraries at the CNAG-CRG Sequencing Unit. The short-insert PE libraries for the whole-genome sequencing were prepared with KAPA HyperPrep kit (Roche-Kapa Biosystems) with some modifications. In short, 1.0 µg of genomic DNA was sheared on a Covaris™ LE220 (Covaris Woburn, Massachusetts, USA) in order to reach fragment sizes of ~500 bp. The fragmented DNA was further size-selected for fragment sizes of 220-550 bp with AMPure XP beads (Agencourt, Beckman Coulter). The size-selected genomic DNA fragments were end-repaired, adenylated and ligated to Illumina sequencing compatible indexed paired-end adaptors (NEXTflex® DNA Barcodes). The adaptor-modified end library
was size-selected and purified with AMPure XP beads to eliminate any non-ligated adapters. The ligation product was split into three samples and in three separate reactions enriched with 12 PCR cycles and then validated on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer with the DNA 7500 assay (Agilent) for size and quantity. The resulting libraries had estimated fragment sizes of 304 bp, 307 bp and 311 bp. These are referred to as PE300-1, PE300-2, and PE300-3 in Table 1.

All three libraries were sequenced in equal proportions on HiSeq 4000 (Illumina, Inc, San Diego, California, USA) in paired-end mode with a read length of 2 × 151 bp using a HiSeq 4000 PE Cluster kit sequencing flow cell, following the manufacturer’s protocol. Image analysis, base calling and quality scoring of the run were processed using the manufacturer’s software Real Time Analysis (RTA 2.7.6) and followed by generation of FASTQ sequence files by CASAVA.

**Mate-pair library preparation and sequencing**

DNA extracted from diploid needles was used to build three mate-pair (MP) libraries of increasing insert size of 1,500 bp, 3,000 bp and 8,000 bp (MP1500, MP3000, MP8000). Libraries were prepared using the Nextera Mate Pair Library Prep Kit (Illumina) using the gel-plus protocol selecting for three different distribution sizes according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After fragmentation, bands of 1.5, 3 and 8 Kb were selected for circularization. The following amounts of size-selected DNA were used for the circularization reaction: 270 ng (1.5 Kb), 285 ng (3 Kb), and 97.4 ng (8 Kb).

All three MP libraries were sequenced on HiSeq2000 (Illumina) in paired-end mode with a read length of 2 × 101 bp using TruSeq SBS Kit v4. Image analysis, base calling and quality scoring of the run were processed using the manufacturer’s software Real Time Analysis (RTA 1.18.66.3) and followed by generation of FASTQ sequence files by CASAVA.
Assembly

Genome assembly

Given the nearly equivalent estimated fragment sizes, the reads from the three PE libraries (PE300-1, PE300-2, and PE300-3) were joined into one library for assembly and collectively referred to as PE300. Before assembling the genome, its size and its complexity were evaluated using k-mer analyses. Jellyfish v2.2.0 (Marçais and Kingsford 2011) was run on the sequence reads of this PE library to obtain the distribution of 17 k-mers. SGA preqc (Simpson and Durbin 2011; Simpson 2014) was then used to estimate the mean fragment size and standard deviation of the PE300 library.

First, an initial assembly of the PE300 reads was performed with MaSuRCA v3.2.2 (Zimin et al. 2013) using default parameters, choosing SOAPdenovo for faster contig and light scaffold assembly. A k-mer of 105 was chosen by MaSuRCA for de Bruijn graph construction. The initial assembly was run for 33 days on a single 48-core node (4 Intel® Xeon® CPU E7-4830 v3 at 2.10GHz and 2TB of RAM) and with a maximum memory usage of 1.22 TB.

Second, the PE300 and the three MP libraries (MP1500, MP3000, MP8000) were used to scaffold the initial assembly with BESSTv2.2.5 (Sahlin et al. 2014). It was run with options --separate_repeats, -K=105 –max_contig_overlap=115 and –k=466. Briefly, –K specifies the k-mer size used in the de Bruijn graph for the input assembly to be scaffolded. As 90% of the input “contigs” were longer than 115 bp, this length was selected, instead of the default value of 200 bp, as the maximum identical overlap to search (k). Given the fragmented input assembly, the idea was to avoid using contigs smaller than the original genomic fragment. Therefore, the contig size threshold for scaffolding was set to 466 bp, 10 bp greater than the
mean (294) plus two times the standard deviation (81) of the PE300 fragment size as estimated by mapping. The scaffolded genome assembly is referred to as ABAL 1.0. Moreover, an analysis of the spectra copy number (KAT; Mapleson et al. 2016) with default k=27 was done to compare the PE300 library to the assembly. The KAT program is often used to compare the proportion of k-mers present in the reads that end up in the final assemblies. It shows how much the genome architecture agrees with the final assembly.

Chloroplast genome assembly and annotation

All of the 100 bp reads from the MP1500 library (the library with the tightest size distribution and highest complexity) were mapped to the closest complete reference chloroplast sequence available in NCBI, i.e. from *Abies koreana* (NC_026892.1, Yi et al. 2015), using BWAmem (Li and Durbin 2010) in paired mode and option –M to discard short split mappings. The mapped reads were then extracted from the alignment using BAM2FASTQ v1.1.0 (Alpha GSLaH). Both the linker sequence and the Nextera adapters present in the MP sequences were removed with Cutadapt (Martin 2011). Finally, they were reversed-complemented in order to obtain an artificial PE library with insert size of 1,387±327 bp.

The FAST-PLAST pipeline was run producing SPAdes (Bankevich et al. 2012) assemblies using a range of k-mers (55, 69, 87). Afterwards, Ragout (Kolmogorov et al. 2014) was used to obtain a reference-assisted assembly. In this case, *A. sibirica* (NC_035067.1) was used as chloroplast reference to place and orient all the *A. alba* contigs. Finally, Gapfiller (Boetzer and Pirovano 2012) was used to close gaps in the chloroplast genome. The DNA diff module—from MUMMER 3.22 package (Kurtz et al. 2004)—was run to compare the intermediate Spases assembly with the *A. koreana* (NC_026892.1) and *A.*
sibirica (NC_035067.1) complete chloroplast sequences. Finally, the annotation of the chloroplast was carried out with DOGMA (Wyman et al. 2004).

**Gene completeness**

The final nuclear assembly was evaluated for gene completeness using CEGMA v2.5 (Parra et al. 2007), which searches for 248 ultra-conserved core eukaryotic genes (CEGs), and BUSCO v3.0.2 (Simão et al. 2015), using 956 single-copy orthologues from plants (BUSCO v1 plantae database).

To obtain a more comprehensive estimate of genes present in the genome assembly, the STAR software package (Domin and Gingeras 2015) was used to map the genome assembly with the silver fir RNA-seq produced by Roschanski et al. (2013) (GenBank accession numbers JV134525–JV157085) as well as 12 transcriptomes originating from Mont Ventoux (France) and the Black Forest (District Oberharmersbach, Germany), as reported in Roschanski et al. (2013) and available in the Dryad Digital Repository (Roschanski et al. 2015; 2016). In addition, the transcripts from *P. taeda* (Zimin et al. 2014) were aligned to the genome using GMAP with default options (Wu et al. 2016).

**Annotation**

**Protein-coding gene annotation**

Repeats were identified, annotated and masked in the silver fir genome assembly following three sequential steps. First, RepeatMasker v4.0.6 ([http://www.repeatmasker.org](http://www.repeatmasker.org)) was run using the Pinaceae-specific repeat library included in the RepeatMasker release. Then, repeats annotated in *P. taeda* and *P. menziesii* were used in a second run of RepeatMasker. Finally,
Abies alba-specific repeats were detected with RepeatModeler and masked with RepeatMasker.

An annotation of the genes present in the assembly was further obtained by combining transcript alignments, protein alignments and ab initio gene predictions as follows. The RNAseq reads mentioned above (JV134525–JV157085 in Roschanski et al. 2013; 2015; 2016) were aligned to the genome using STAR v2.5.4a (Dobin et al. 2013) with default options, and then transcript models were generated from Stringtie (Pertea et al. 2015) also with default options. The resulting models were given to PASA v2.2.0 (Haas et al. 2008) together with 2,806 A. alba Expressed Sequence Tags (ESTs) downloaded from NCBI on January 31st, 2018. Next, the TransDecoder program, which is part of the PASA package, was used to detect coding regions in the PASA assemblies. A BLASTp (Altschul et al. 1990) search was performed on the Transdecoder predictions against the Swiss-Prot database (The UniProt Consortium 2017). Sequences with a complete Open Reading Frame (ORF), a BLAST hit against Swiss-Prot (E-value < 1e-9), and not hitting any repeat were considered as potential candidates to train gene predictors. Of this list, the 500 sequences whose length differed the least from the length of their BLAST target were selected as the best candidate genes and used to train the parameters for three gene predictors: GeneID v1.4 (Parra et al. 2000), Augustus v3.2.3 (Stanke et al. 2006) and Glimmer (Majors et al. 2004). These three gene predictors as well as GeneMark v2.3e (Lomsadze et al. 2014), which run in a self-trained mode, were then run on the repeat-masked ABAL 1.0 assembly. Finally, an extra run of each GeneID, Augustus and GeneMark was performed using intron data extracted from the RNAseq mappings.

The complete Pinaceae protein sets present in PLAZA (https://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/plaza/versions/gymno-plaza/) in January 2018, were
aligned to the repeat-masked genome using exonerate v2.4.7 (Slater and Birney 2005).

Moreover, all the data described above were provided as input to Evidence Modeler v1.1.1 (Haas et al. 2008) and combined into consensus coding sequence (CDS) models. These models were then updated with UTRs and alternative splice isoforms with two rounds of PASA updates.

To remove the potential presence of some bacterial genes in the genome annotation, a protein-based bacterial decontamination procedure was performed on the assembly and annotation. This process utilizes a BLASTp search of the annotated proteins against the bacterial non-redundant protein database from NCBI to detect genes likely to belong to bacteria. All the scaffolds containing more than 50% of bacterial genes and without conifer-specific repeats and RNAseq mappings were removed from the assembly, resulting in the final assembly ABAL 1.1.

Finally, to check for the presence of the chloroplast genome in the nuclear genome assembly, the chloroplast assembly was mapped to ABAL 1.1 using Minimap2 (Li 2018) with the parameter --asm10. Sixty-six unique mappings longer than 1 Kb were found in the assembly (the longest being 18.49 Kb) but they did not meet the threshold of at least 70% matches. Therefore, these regions were considered as nuclear sequence homologous to chloroplast and were kept in the ABAL 1.1 assembly.

The proteins resulting from the structural annotation process described above were functionally annotated using the Blast2GO v4.1 pipeline (Conesa et al. 2005) with default parameters. The annotated proteins were first scanned for InterProScan patterns and profiles. Next, a BLASTp search against the NCBI RefSeq database (Uniprot and Swissprot databases) was performed, inheriting the functional annotations of the top-20 BLAST hits with an e-value < 1e-06. Finally, Blast2GO produced a consensus annotation.
In addition, the software CateGOrize (Zhi-Liang et al. 2008) was run to assign all genes to the main Gene Ontology (GO) categories. The software provides the count and percentage of the GO term assigned in each category. Two classification lists (slim2 and myclass2) were used in the analysis. The slim2 list is a subset of gene ontology terms (http://www.geneontology.org/GO.slims.shtml). Myclass2 classification list is based on slim2 with 50 additional GO term categories (Table S3). The percentages across the two classification lists were visualised using the geom_col function of the “ggplot” package in R CRAN.

**Comparison with other conifers**

The summary statistics on the annotated genes were computed using a custom Python script (Supplementary Material 2). The same script was applied to calculate the length of exons, introns and genes in other conifer assemblies, such as *P. abies* v1.0, *P. glauca* v3.0, *P. lambertiana* v1.5, *P. taeda* v2.0 and *P. menziesii* v1.5. The distributions of the exon, intron, gene and transcript lengths across the genome were visualized using the violinBy function of the “psych” package in R CRAN (R version 3.3.3; 2017-03-06).

**Data availability**

The silver fir genome assembly ABAL 1.1 is available in the TreeGenes Database under https://treegenesdb.org/FTP/Genomes/Abal/. The following data are listed in the supplementary tables: the estimation of DNA concentration (Table S1), the multi-locus microsatellite genotypes of the megagametophyte and needle tissue used for sequencing (Table S2A), the genotype of AA_WSL_01 for the SNP loci (Table S2B), the Gene ontology (GO) term categories used to count the GO terms in *A. alba* (Table S3), the *A. alba* genome
annotation statistics (Table S4), the intron and exon statistics for *A. alba* and *Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Table S5), and the count and percentage of the GO terms (Table S6). The following supplementary figures are included in the supplementary file: *Abies alba* distribution map (Figure S1), the location of the sampled tree AA_WSL01 along with the location of the other 19 Swiss *A. abies* populations (Figure S2), plot for the comparison between *Abies* chloroplast (Figure S3), boxplots of the gene distribution lengths in *A. alba* (Figure S4) and in other conifers (Figure S5), distribution of the most abundant GO terms. The Python script for the genome summary statistics is presented in Supplementary Material 2.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Genome sequencing and genome size estimation**

The sequencing strategy used in this project combined Illumina PE and MP libraries following a protocol similar to that used to sequence other conifer genomes (Neale *et al.* 2017). PE and MP sequencing produced a total of 1,880,827 and 765,104 Mb, respectively (Table 1). The mean fragment size of the PE300 library estimated using *SGA preqc* was 294 bp with a standard deviation of 81 bp.

The estimate of the silver fir genome size, using the distribution of 17-mers (Figure 1) is 17.36 Gb, slightly higher than previous empirical estimates of the haploid C-value of 16.19 Gb (Roth *et al.* 1997). The plot of all 17-mers present in the PE300 aggregated library that were counted and the number of distinct 17-mers (*k*-mer species) for each depth from 1 to 600 shows the existence of a considerable amount of two-, three- and four-copy repeats (17-mers) in this large genome (Figure 1). The main peak at depth 91X corresponds to unique haploid
sequences, while the right-most peaks at depths 182, 273, and 364 correspond to considerable fractions of multi-copy repeat sequences (Figure 1).

**Genome assembly quality**

The silver fir genome sequence presented here accounts for 18.17 Gb, with 37 million scaffolds characterized by an N50 of 14.05 Kb (Table 2). The scaffold size ranges between 106 bp and 297,427 bp with a mean size of 489.5 bp. The gaps constitute a total of 236.7 Mb and are relatively small on average (29.3 ± 46.8 bp). The assembly size is again slightly higher than the C-value of 16.19 Gb (Roth et al. 1997) or the k-mer-based estimate of 17.36 Gb (Figure 1). A comparison of frequency of spectra of 27-mers from the PE300 reads to the final assembly using KAT (Figure 2) suggests a high level of completeness: most of 27-mers in the reads belong to the haploid or main peak of the genome. Figure 2 also shows that the fractions of the genome corresponding to real 2-copy (violet) and 3-copy (green) repeats were successfully included in the assembly.

Genome completeness was estimated with three methods based on the presence of conserved genes. CEGMA estimated 81.5% completeness using 248 conserved eukaryotic genes. Using larger gene sets, BUSCO estimated a completeness of 49%, whereas mapping to the *P. taeda* transcriptome resulted in a completeness estimate of 69%. The contiguity of the silver fir assembly was also compared to those of other available conifer genome assemblies (Tree Gene Database; https://treegenesdb.org/). The scaffold N50 (scfN50) of the silver fir assembly was 14.05 Kb, almost double that of the 5.21 Kb scfN50 of the latest *P. abies* assembly (Paab1.0b) and the 6.44 Kb of the *L. sibirica* assembly (Table 3). However, it is still far below those of *P. lambertiana* (2,509.9 Kb), *P. glauca* (110.56 Kb), *P. taeda* (2,108.3 Kb) and *P. menziesii* (372.39 Kb; Table 3).
The assembly completeness is estimated to be moderately high with 81.5% of the Core Eukaryotic Genes as estimated by CEGMA, 65% of 956 plant orthologs as estimated by BUSCO and at least 69% *P. taeda* transcripts mapping to the assembly. As each of these methods are also affected by assembly fragmentation, the most likely explanation for less than ideal “completeness” is that the assembly is too fragmented for a good fraction of genes to be detected properly by the programs rather than the genes being truly missing from the assembly. While this first draft of the silver fir genome is highly fragmented, as were earlier conifer genome assemblies, likely due to the presumed density of repetitive sequences typical for plant genomes (Bennetzen 2014), it represents a very valuable reference resource to the community and can be used immediately to facilitate a broad spectrum of genetic and genomic studies in a demographic, evolutionary, and ecological context. Given the size and complexity of the silver fir genome, the low contiguity of the assembly obtained with this sequencing approach was not surprising. However, a comparison of the $k$-mer spectra of the reads used to assemble contigs (from haploid material) with their copy number in the final assembly shows that we have obtained a fairly complete assembly. In fact, the majority of the $k$-mers belonging to the main haploid peak are contained in the assembly once and only once, while the peaks of double and triple $k$-mer depth are almost purely 2-copy and 3-copy repeats. Only minor collapsing of repeats is observed. Given the haploid nature of the sample (megagametophyte), we consider these repeat tails to be a real part of the genome that will mainly contain repeats and, sometimes, partial genes, and might contain repeated genes. Therefore, these regions were included in the assembly for higher reference completeness.
Chloroplast assembly

De novo chloroplast assembly, using SPADes and the A. koreana complete chloroplast sequence as a reference for mapping, gave an assembly totaling 123,546 bp and contig N50 of 9,211 bp. The second reference-assisted assembly with Ragout using A. sibirica and Gapfiller produced a single scaffold of 120,908 bp, comprised of eleven contigs (Table 2). The estimated contig N50 was 15.8 Kb. Each chloroplast has its own genome (cpDNA) that for most plants is formed by four parts: two large inverted repeats, one large single-copy and one small single-copy region. Pinaceae chloroplast genomes lack the inverted repeats. Moreover, the chloroplast genomes in Pinaceae are characterized by the presence of many small repeats and are known to vary in organization (Hipkins et al. 1994). The cpDNA organization in Pinaceae was investigated using the Cedrus cpDNA as reference, showing the presence of at least three organization types: one similar to Cedrus and also found in Picea, another similar to Pseudotsuga, and another similar to Larix (Wu et al. 2011). In addition to Cedrus/Picea, Pseudotsuga and Larix organizations, another form of organization was recognized in Abies (Tsumura et al. 2000). Using the DNAdiff module for genome alignment, a high collinearity was observed with the A. koreana and A. sibirica complete chloroplast sequences except for a region of ~45 Kb that aligns in the opposite direction to A. koreana due to the presence of inverted repeats (Figure S3).

The size of the chloroplast assembly of silver fir was not only close to those of A. sibirica and A. koreana (Semerikova and Semerikov 2007), as expected, but also to the 124 Kb estimated in P. abies (Nystedt et al. 2013), the 124.1 Kb in Picea sitchensis (Coombe et al., 2017), the 121.3 Kb in Abies nephrolepis (Yi et al. 2015) and 122.6 Kb in L. sibirica (Bondar et al. 2019). By using Dogma 85 protein coding genes, four rRNA genes and 39 tRNA genes have been annotated. With respect to the A. koreana and A. sibirica chloroplast genomes, the A.
alba chloroplast assembly has four duplicated tRNAs (trnA-UGC, trnI-GAU, trnL-UAA and trnV-UAC) and trnS-UGA has been replaced by trnS-CGA.

**Annotation**

*Protein-coding gene annotation*

According to the repeat annotation performed, 78% (14.25 Gb) of the genome assembly corresponds to repeats. In the non-repetitive fraction, 94,205 genes were annotated whose 98,227 transcripts encode 97,750 proteins (Table 4). However, the number of distinct genes is inflated as many partial genes have been annotated due to the large fragmentation of the assembly. Supporting this assessment, the median gene length was 558 bp, half of the genes were mono-exonic and approximately half of the annotated proteins (44,646) contained only partial ORFs (missing a start or stop codon). Of the 97,750 protein sequences, 39,420 (35.8%) were assigned functional labels, while the rest (58,327 proteins) were analyzed with BLAST, but failed to return significant hits against the RefSeq database. In total, 21,612 of the proteins with complete ORFs were functionally annotated successfully.

Two types of gene models were used to calculate the genome annotation statistics: the protein-coding genes and the full-length genes, respectively. The coding GC content was 46.4% in the protein coding genes and 45.2% in the full-length genes. While the number of exons for the protein-coding genes was 187,740 with a mean length of 327 bp, the number of introns was 89,618 (mean length: 320 bp). The number of full-length genes was 50,757 with a median gene length of 804 bp. The number of exons was 118,168 with mean length of 352 bp, the number of introns was 64,728 (mean length: 330 bp; Table 4, Table S5).

The distributions of the transcript, intron and exon lengths across the silver fir genome were similar in the protein coding genes and full-length genes (Figures 3A and S4). The violin
plot showed a different length distribution in the low part of the violin between the two gene models, due to the lower number of short genes in the full-length gene model than in all genes.

Comparison with other conifers

The comparison of silver fir genome metrics with other conifer species showed a genome size similar to *P. menziesii* and *P. abies*. Moreover, the gene numbers (94,205) without filtering for quality and completeness were similar to what was found in *P. abies* (70,968), *P. lambertiana* (71,117), and *P. glauca* (102,915), but higher than in *P. menziesii* (54,830), *P. taeda* (47,602), and *L. sibirica* (49,521). When applying a quality filter, more full-length genes (50,757) were found in silver fir than high-confidence genes in *P. lambertiana* (13,936), *P. glauca* (16,386), *P. abies* (28,354), and *P. menziesii* (20,616). The mean and maximum intron lengths were lower than in the other conifers, while mean exon size was similar to that in *P. taeda, P. glauca, P. abies* and *L. sibirica* (Table 3).

While the distributions of gene length across the genome were similar between silver fir and *P. glauca* (Figure 3B), the mean length in *P. menziesii, P. taeda* and *P. lambertiana* was higher than in the other conifers (Table 3). In *P. abies*, the mean gene length was close to that in silver fir, whereas its distribution range was wider (Figure S5A). The density plot using violin visualization confirmed these differences among species. In particular, the shape of this plot showed the distribution of the genes according to their lengths and highlighted the higher number of short genes in *P. abies, P. glauca* and silver fir than in the other conifers (Figure 3B). This comparison among the distribution of gene lengths estimated in silver fir with the values found in the assemblies of other conifers showed some interesting results. First, the genes of silver fir were on average shorter than in the other conifer species, except for *P.
*P. glauca* (1,190 bp vs 1,330 bp; Warren et al. 2015) and *L. sibirica* (982 bp). However, this might be an effect of the sequencing strategy used and the presence of many short scaffolds in the silver fir assembly, and it will require confirmation with future improvements to the genome sequence.

Moreover, the distribution of exon and intron lengths across the silver fir genome was also compared with those found in the other fully sequenced conifers. The exon distribution was similar across species (Figure S5B), with *P. menziesii* and *P. glauca* showing a slightly lower mean value (Table 3). This was due to the short exons in *P. menziesii*, as it is visualized in the density plot (Figure 3C). The comparison of the silver fir exons in the current study with those in the other conifers showed similar values for the number, mean length and maximum length of exons, as well as the total amount of exonic sequence (63.7 Mb versus the mean of 50.8 Mb for all compared annotations). This result confirmed that the number and the length of exons are well conserved across species (Sena et al. 2014). The average number of exons per gene was less conserved and the smallest in silver fir (1.92) compared to all other conifers (2.26-8.80). The mean number of exons per gene averaged for all seven species was 4.08, which is very close to the value of 3.66 predicted for species such as conifers (Table 2 in Koralewski and Krutovsky 2011). Given that the average amount of exonic sequence in the conifer genomes analyzed here is only 50.8 Mb, the differences in genome size among conifers are presumably due in large part to the large fraction of repetitive sequences they contain (Morse et al. 2009; Wegrzyn et al. 2013, 2014). Moreover, one of the major components of plant genomes are the transposable elements, which may also affect the evolution of the intron size (Kumar and Bennetzen 1999).

Silver fir intron and exon statistics were compared to *P. menziesii*, which was sequenced, assembled and annotated using a similar approach (Table S5). For *P. menziesii*,
the genes were classified into two categories that were based on gene quality and completeness (high-quality and high-quality full-length) and the counts were calculated for both categories. While the numbers of exons and their means were similar in the two species (187,740 for the protein-coding gene model in silver fir and 181,475 for the high-quality gene model in *P. menziesii*), a lower number of introns with a lower mean size was found in silver fir than in *P. menziesii* (89,618 and 145,595, respectively).

The distribution of intron lengths was similar across all species (Figure 3D), with silver fir showing a narrower distribution range than the other conifer species (Figure S5C). Although intron size has been positively correlated with genome size across eukaryotes (Vinogradov 1999), this trend is not a rule for seed plants (Wan et al. 2018). Previous studies have reported larger intron sizes in conifers than in angiosperms (Nystedt et al. 2013; Neale et al. 2014; Guan et al. 2016; Sena et al. 2014). This difference is probably related to the high percentage of repetitive sequences, which are the major component of all gymnosperm genomes sequenced to date. Across gymnosperms, *Ginkgo biloba* has longer introns (Guan et al. 2016) than *P. taeda*, but a smaller genome. When comparing the distribution of intron lengths across genomes in several conifers, we found a similar distribution and average between silver fir and *P. glauca* (311 bp vs 511 bp), with the genome size of the latter being almost double (33 Gb) that of silver fir. Moreover, the smallest both mean and maximum intron lengths were observed in *A. alba* and *L. sibirica* that have also the smallest genome sizes, 16.19 Gb (Roth et al. 1997) and 12.03 Gb (Ohri and Khoshoo, 1986), respectively.

Another aspect related to intron length is the suggestion that the expansion of introns occurred early in conifer evolution (Nystedt et al. 2013). This hypothesis was confirmed by the comparison between orthologous introns of *P. taeda* and *G. biloba* that showed a high content of repeats in long introns in both species (Wan et al. 2018).
that the maximum intron lengths occur in *P. taeda* and *P. lambertiana*, and their mean intron length was higher than in other conifer species. The geological timescale calculated for the Pinaceae showed that *Pinus* is the oldest genus across the Pinaceae, since its presence was confirmed starting from the Early Cretaceous (Wang *et al.* 2000). The genus *Abies* should be closer to *Pseudotsuga* than to *Picea* and *Pinus* (Wang *et al.* 2000). Nevertheless, likely due to the high fragmentation of the silver fir genome sequence reported here, the estimated maximum intron length in *A. alba* was only half of that estimated for *P. menziesii*.

The input file accounted for 462,216 GO terms that were mapped to the slim2 classification list categories. The total count (Table S6A) was 27,723 terms corresponding to 32,272 genes, of which 12,221 unique terms belonged to at least one of the 110 slim2 classes.

The 462,216 GO terms were mapped to the myclass2 classification list categories. The total count (Table S6B) was 31,839 terms corresponding to 32,275 genes, of which 12,361 unique terms belonged to at least one of the 162 myclass2 classes.

In both classification lists, the main categories were metabolism (11.1% and 9.7% for slim2 and myclass2, respectively), catalytic activity (7.7%, 6.7%), cell (4.7%, 4.1%) and cell organization (4.3%, 3.7%; Table S5, Figure S6A and Figure S6B).

**Conclusions and Perspectives**

Here, we present a draft version of the silver fir genome, which represents a first step towards the full deciphering of this giga-genome in its entire complexity. This research was accomplished by the Alpine Forest Genomics Network (AForGeN). The approach applied in this project could serve as a model for sequencing additional plant and animal genomes. The genome sequencing was financed by a bottom-up approach among partners, which could
possibly be a profitable strategy for many (plant) genome-sequencing initiatives in the future (Twyford 2018).

Future research projects could utilize the draft silver fir genome as a reference to re-sequence a diverse panel of trees from contrasting environments and to develop a genotyping array with thousands of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP). Such SNP resources will be useful in many types of demographic studies and, along with the gene annotation presented here, will enable genomic studies and experiments aimed at discovering those genes that are relevant for particular traits (e.g. related to growth) and adaptive responses (e.g. drought tolerance).
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Figure captions

FIGURE 1. Distribution of 17-mers in the whole-genome sequence of *Abies alba* using raw paired-end (PE) 2 × 151 bp reads generated from the PE300 library with 300 bp long fragment inserts and estimated with Jellyfish 2.2.0 (Marçais and Kingsford 2011). The high peak at very low depths is caused by sequencing errors.

FIGURE 2. Spectra copy number in the *Abies alba* genome ABAL 1.1. Comparison between the k-mer (k=27) spectra of paired-end (PE) 300 2 × 151 bp reads generated from the PE300 library with 300 bp long fragment inserts and the ABAL 1.1 assembly. This stacked histogram was produced with KAT (Mapleson et al. 2016) that shows the spectra copy number classes along the assembly.

FIGURE 3. Violin plot of the distribution length of the genes, transcripts, exons and introns across the *Abies alba* (Abies_al) high-quality genes and full-length genes (indicated as “full”; A). The length was log10 transformed. Violin plot of the distribution lengths of genes (B), exons (C) and introns (D) across the *Abies alba* (A_alba) high-quality genes and full-length genes, *Pseudotsuga menziesii* (Ps_menz), *Picea abies* (P_abies), *Picea glauca* (P_glauc), *Pinus taeda* (P_taeda), *Pinus lambertiana* (P_lamb).
**List of supplementary material**

**TABLE S1.** Estimation of DNA concentration, 260/280, and 260/230 ratios in the two sample types (megagametophyte and needle) used for DNA extraction in *A. alba*.

**TABLE S2 A:** Multi-locus genotypes of the megagametophyte and needle tissue used for sequencing. Samples were genotyped with 11 nuclear microsatellite markers (Hansen *et al.*, 2005; Cremer *et al.*, 2006). The genotyping confirms the expected haploid nature of the DNA isolated from the megagametophyte. NA – not available due to PCR failure; but the homozygote genotype in the diploid tissue anyway precludes the option for detecting potential contamination by maternal tissue based on this locus. **B:** The genotype of AA_WSL_01 for the SNP loci that were used in the STRUCTURE analysis in Appendix 2.

**TABLE S3.** Gene ontology (GO) term categories used to count the GO terms of *A. alba*. GO_slim2 is an option in CateGOrize software and myclass2 accounts for 50 additional categories.

**TABLE S4.** *A. alba* genome annotation statistics considering two types of gene models (protein coding genes and full-length genes).

**TABLE S5.** Intron (**A**) and exon (**B**) statistics for silver fir (*A. alba*) and Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) gene models.
TABLE S6. Count and percentage (fraction) of the GO terms assigned in each category using the two classification lists (A: slim2 and B: myclass2) to be complemented.

FIGURE S1. Distribution map of A. alba natural stands, compiled by the EUFORGEN Network members (EUFORGEN 2009).

FIGURE S2. (A) Location of the 19 sampled Swiss populations and tree AA_WSL01. Modified after Csilléry et al. (2018). (B) The log-likelihood from Structure runs with K = 2 to K=10. (C) Ancestry proportions of AA_WSL01 and the 19 genotyped Swiss populations for K=3 and K=4.

FIGURE S3. Plot produced with DNAdiff for the comparison between A. alba and A. sibirica chloroplasts (A) and A. alba and A. koreana chloroplasts (B).

FIGURE S4. Boxplots of the distribution lengths of the genes, transcripts, exons and introns across the A. alba high-quality genes and full-length genes (indicated as “full”). The distribution is log10 transformed.

FIGURE S5. Boxplots of the distribution lengths of the genes (A), exons (B), and introns (C) across the Abies alba (A_alba) high-quality genes and full-length genes (indicated as “full”), Pseudotsuga menziesii (Ps_menz), Picea abies (P_abies), Picea glauca (P_glauc), Pinus taeda (P_taeda), Pinus lambertiana (P_lamb).
FIGURE S6. Distribution of the most abundant Gene Ontology (GO) terms assigned to the *A. alba* genome using slim2 categories (A) and myclass2 categories (B). The percentage (fraction) of the term assigned in each category is represented only for values > 0.2%. All categories are given in Table S3, all count and percentages in Table S6.
TABLE 1 Summary of the raw data for Illumina paired-end (PE) and mate-pair (MP) libraries for whole-genome sequencing of *Abies alba*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Library</th>
<th>Read length (bp)</th>
<th>Insert size (kb)</th>
<th>Mean fragment size (bp)</th>
<th>Read Pairs (million)</th>
<th>Yield (Mb)</th>
<th>Coverage</th>
<th>Avg. Phix Error R1 (%)</th>
<th>Avg. Phix Error R2 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PE300-1</td>
<td>2 x 151</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>3,274</td>
<td>989,029</td>
<td>57.103</td>
<td>0.646</td>
<td>0.908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE300-2</td>
<td>2 x 151</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>1,886</td>
<td>569,617</td>
<td>32.888</td>
<td>0.883</td>
<td>1.126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE300-3</td>
<td>2 x 151</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>1,066</td>
<td>322,181</td>
<td>18.602</td>
<td>0.768</td>
<td>1.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP1500</td>
<td>2 x 101</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,255</td>
<td>253,529</td>
<td>14.638</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP3000</td>
<td>2 x 101</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,277</td>
<td>257,985</td>
<td>14.895</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP8000</td>
<td>2 x 101</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,255</td>
<td>253,590</td>
<td>14.641</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6,226</td>
<td>1,880,827</td>
<td>108.593</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total MP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,787</td>
<td>765,104</td>
<td>44.175</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genome</td>
<td>Feature</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nuclear</strong></td>
<td>Number of contigs</td>
<td>45,280,944</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of scaffolds</td>
<td>37,192,295</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean GC%</td>
<td>39.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total length (Mb)</td>
<td>18,167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum scaffold length (bp)</td>
<td>106</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum scaffold length (bp)</td>
<td>297,427</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean scaffold length (bp)</td>
<td>488.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median scaffold length (bp)</td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contig N50 (bp)</td>
<td>2,477</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scaffold N50 (bp)</td>
<td>14,051</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chloroplast</strong></td>
<td>Total length (bp)</td>
<td>120,908</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of contigs</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of scaffolds</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contig N50 (bp)</td>
<td>15,758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 3
Comparison of genome summary metrics from *Abies alba* and other sequenced conifer genomes (version numbers in parentheses).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genome summary metric</th>
<th>Abies alba (1.0)</th>
<th>Pseudotsuga menziesii (1.5)</th>
<th>Pinus taeda (2.0)</th>
<th>Pinus lambertiana (1.5)</th>
<th>Picea glauca (3.0)</th>
<th>Picea abies (1.0)</th>
<th>Larix sibirica (1.0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total length (Mb)</td>
<td>18,167</td>
<td>15,700</td>
<td>20,613</td>
<td>31,000</td>
<td>32,795</td>
<td>19,600</td>
<td>12,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N50 scaffold (Kb)</td>
<td>14.05</td>
<td>372.39</td>
<td>2,108.3</td>
<td>2,509.9</td>
<td>110.56</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>6.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of genes</td>
<td>94,205</td>
<td>54,830</td>
<td>47,602</td>
<td>71,117</td>
<td>102,915</td>
<td>70,968</td>
<td>49,521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of full-length genes</td>
<td>50,757</td>
<td>20,616</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>13,936</td>
<td>16,386 b</td>
<td>28,354 d</td>
<td>32,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of exons</td>
<td>181,168</td>
<td>181,475</td>
<td>166,465</td>
<td>153,111</td>
<td>232,182</td>
<td>178,049</td>
<td>151,838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N of introns</td>
<td>64,728</td>
<td>145,595</td>
<td>108,809</td>
<td>121,858</td>
<td>124,951</td>
<td>107,313</td>
<td>101,675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean gene length (bp)</td>
<td>1,190</td>
<td>10,510</td>
<td>9,066</td>
<td>40,820</td>
<td>1,330</td>
<td>2,427</td>
<td>982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean exon length (bp)</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean intron length (bp)</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>2,301</td>
<td>3,004</td>
<td>10,164</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>1,017</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum exon length (bp)</td>
<td>6,300</td>
<td>8,037</td>
<td>4,946</td>
<td>8,003</td>
<td>9,568</td>
<td>6,068</td>
<td>10,268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum intron length (bp)</td>
<td>36,015</td>
<td>182,831</td>
<td>408,800</td>
<td>805,500</td>
<td>44,116</td>
<td>68,269</td>
<td>10,154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exons per gene</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>3.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total exonic length</td>
<td>6.4x10^6</td>
<td>4.2x10^6</td>
<td>5.3x10^6</td>
<td>1.8x10^6</td>
<td>7.4x10^6</td>
<td>5.6x10^6</td>
<td>4.9x10^6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the gene annotation and the definition of the “full-length genes” different approaches were used across species. The scaffold N50 (scfN50) was calculated on the unshuffled assemblies and discarding scaffolds shorter than 200 bp.

- **a** Kuzmin *et al.* 2019; K.V. Krutovsky, personal communication
- **b** high confidence set (Warren *et al.* 2015; PG29 v3) and scaffold N50 calculated using sequences ≥ 500 bp; N50 is 71.5 Kb if considering both clones (WS77111)
- **c** low-quality and high-quality gene models from *Pinus lambertiana* v.1 (Stevens *et al.* 2016), the other were calculated on *Pinus lambertiana* v1.5 (Crepeau *et al.* 2017),
- **d** high confidence (Nystedt *et al.* 2013)
**TABLE 4** Genome annotation statistics for *Abies alba* considering two types of gene models (protein coding genes and full-length genes). All statistics are given in Table S3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Protein-coding genes</th>
<th>Full-length genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of genes</td>
<td>94,205</td>
<td>50,757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median gene length (bp)</td>
<td>558</td>
<td>804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of transcripts</td>
<td>98,227</td>
<td>53,487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median transcript length (bp)</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of exons</td>
<td>187,740</td>
<td>181,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coding GC content</td>
<td>46.4%</td>
<td>45.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median exon length (bp)</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of introns</td>
<td>89,618</td>
<td>64,728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median intron length (bp)</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exons/transcript</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcripts/gene</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>