



# Rare dental trait provides morphological evidence of archaic introgression in Asian fossil record

Shara E. Bailey<sup>a,b,1</sup>, Jean-Jacques Hublin<sup>b,c</sup>, and Susan C. Antón<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Center for the Study of Human Origins, Department of Anthropology, New York University, New York, NY 10003; <sup>b</sup>Department of Human Evolution, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 04103 Leipzig, Germany; and <sup>c</sup>Collège de France, 75005 Paris, France

Edited by James F. O'Connell, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, and approved June 12, 2019 (received for review May 3, 2019)

**The recently described Denisovan hemimandible from Xiahe, China [F. Chen et al., (2019) *Nature* 569, 409–412], possesses an unusual dental feature: a 3-rooted lower second molar. A survey of the clinical and bioarchaeological literature demonstrates that the 3-rooted lower molar is rare (less than 3.5% occurrence) in non-Asian *Homo sapiens*. In contrast, its presence in Asian-derived populations can exceed 40% in China and the New World. It has long been thought that the prevalence of 3-rooted lower molars in Asia is a relatively late acquisition occurring well after the origin and dispersal of *H. sapiens*. However, the presence of a 3-rooted lower second molar in this 160,000-y-old fossil hominin suggests greater antiquity for the trait. Importantly, it also provides morphological evidence of a strong link between archaic and recent Asian *H. sapiens* populations. This link provides compelling evidence that modern Asian lineages acquired the 3-rooted lower molar via introgression from Denisovans.**

Denisovan | introgression | dental anthropology | root morphology | Pleistocene *Homo*

The new Denisovan hemimandible from Xiahe, China (1), exhibits a 3-rooted lower molar, providing a direct morphological link between archaic and recent Asian *Homo sapiens* populations. Although mandibular molars are most commonly 2-rooted in the genus *Homo*, root number varies from 1 to 3 (2) or more (3). Three-rooted lower molars maintain both mesial and distal roots, with a third accessory root on either the distolingual aspect or lingually between the mesial and distal roots (Fig. 1). The third root is not a simple bifurcation of either the mesial or distal root tips. While the accessory root can be quite small, it is usually about one-third the size of the normal roots (2). In recent humans, the third root usually occurs on the mandibular first molar (referred to as a 3RM1) but may also occur on the lower second and third molars; we refer to these collectively as 3RM (4–6). The 3RM may appear either unilaterally or bilaterally; the single twin study of which we are aware shows bilateral development in both twins, suggesting a genetic underpinning (7). The 3RM entered the clinical literature in 1844 (8), being called radix entomolaris by Bolk (9); it was codified into the Arizona State University Dental Anthropology System in 1991 (2).

Extensive clinical and bioarchaeological studies confirm the rarity of 3RM outside of Asia and the New World (Dataset S1). In Asian-derived populations, the frequency of the 3RM can exceed 40% (Aleut, Neolithic China), whereas, in non-Asian-derived populations, the frequency ranges from 0 to 3.4%. The rarity of the 3RM in non-Asian *H. sapiens* is low enough to be explained by mutation alone (2). The high frequencies of 3RM in northeast Asians and Native Americans is a key feature linking Native American origins to Asia (10).

Despite its high frequency in recent Asian-derived populations, the 3RM has not been reported in the earliest *H. sapiens* from Asia (11), nor have we observed the trait in early *H. sapiens* from Africa or *Homo erectus* in Asia.\* We note, however, that the lack of radiography of many specimens and the absence of the original Zhoukoudian remains make this conclusion preliminary. Before the recent discoveries, the earliest example of a 3RM

came from an *H. sapiens* mandible from the Philippines (15), perhaps from the site of Tabon, which has fossil-bearing strata dating to 9 ka, 16.5 ka, and as much as 47 ka, and more recent Jar burials (16, 17). The mandible, originally described by Macintosh et al. (18), shows a bilateral 3RM with an accessory root situated lingually between the mesial and distal roots (15). Given a lack of early evidence for 3RM, one explanation for its high frequency in Asia has been a relatively recent acquisition postdating the origin of *H. sapiens* and occurring well after their dispersal into Eurasia.

Two recently described mandibles suggest a more ancient Asian origin for 3RM, and one that precedes *H. sapiens* in the region. The newly discovered individual from Xiahe, China—identified as Denisovan through paleoproteomics (1)—possesses a 3-rooted lower second molar (3RM2) (Fig. 1).<sup>†</sup> This individual is dated to 160 ka. The recently described Penghu 1 mandible from Taiwan (190 to 10 ka) also exhibits a 3RM2 (19). Although the authors suggest the 3RM2 differs from that described by Turner et al. (2), it is clear that the morphology of Penghu 1 falls within the variation described by previous studies (2, 10): The third root appears lingually as an accessory root between the mesial and distal roots. The Penghu mandible retains “archaic” features, including a receding symphysis that lacks a chin, a thick mandibular corpus, and large molar crowns similar in size to Denisovans (20). Like Xiahe, these exceptionally large molars are coupled with agenesis of the third molar (19). For these reasons, Chen et al. (1) suggest that Penghu 1 may also be closely related to Denisovans. Both mandibles show that the 3RM anomaly existed in archaic Asian hominins before *H. sapiens* in the region.

These 2 recently reported fossils suggest that the 3RM 1) very likely originated in Asia and 2) evolved in a pre-*sapiens* population. Moreover, until a 3RM is found in more archaic hominins, it should be understood as a morphological trait that was transferred to *H. sapiens* through gene flow with Denisovans. Gene flow between *H. sapiens* and Denisovans has been documented, including a mutation (at EPAS1) related to high-altitude adaptation shared by a Siberian Denisovan and modern Tibetans

Author contributions: S.E.B. designed research; S.E.B. and S.C.A. performed research; J.-J.H. contributed data; S.E.B. analyzed data; and S.E.B., J.-J.H., and S.C.A. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This open access article is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND).

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: sbailey@nyu.edu.

This article contains supporting information online at [www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1907557116/-DCSupplemental](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1907557116/-DCSupplemental).

Published online July 8, 2019.

\*Wu and Xianglong (12) report the presence of a 3RM1 in the 1959 Zhoukoudian mandible (PA 86) based on observations of its left M1 root socket. Published photographs (13, 14), however, show a small septum of the mesial root socket (that may represent a bifurcated mesial root) but no evidence of a lingual accessory root. The 3RM anomaly requires that the accessory root occurs between the mesial and distal root or as a lingual accessory of the distal root.

<sup>†</sup>The first molar in this individual has 2 roots.



**Fig. 1.** The 3-rooted lower molar anomaly. Three-rooted lower first molar alveolar sockets showing distolingual position of accessory root and the 3-rooted lower first molar (lingual view); *Inset*) 3-rooted lower second molar of Xiahe Denisovan individual (lingual view). *Left* and *Middle* images courtesy of Christine Lee (California State University, Los Angeles, CA). M, mesial; L, lingual; D, distal; B, buccal.

(21). Importantly, Nepal also shows one of the highest occurrences (25%) of 3RM in East Asia ([Dataset S1](#)). Like the high-altitude related mutation, which is retained due to positive selection (21), the retention of 3RM at high frequency in Asia may be related to selection for molar retention in populations with heavy masticatory loading (22). Such selection also explains the lower frequency of 3RM in recent populations with higher Denisovan introgression (e.g., Australia/New Guinea) but demonstrably less masticatory robusticity (23). Alternatively, 3RM frequencies may reflect an indirect influence resulting from selection on another trait under high selection, as has been suggested to be the case for incisor crown morphology and EDAR in North and East Asians and in the New World (24). Whatever the cause, we argue that the 3RM anomaly is an example of a

morphological character in recent humans that can be clearly traced to this archaic admixture.

The 3RM is an Asian-derived character that we can definitively trace to Denisovans. Thus, we now have very clear evidence that gene flow between archaic groups and *H. sapiens* resulted in the transfer of identifiable morphological features. There have been a number of Asian *H. sapiens* fossils described recently that point to admixture with archaic humans as an explanation for the presence of primitive traits [e.g., Dushan (11) and Tianyuan (25)]. If the 3RM was transferred from archaic humans to *H. sapiens*, other traits may have been as well. Indeed, the presence of “archaic features” in recent Asians that were once used to suggest continuity from Pleistocene Asian *H. erectus* (26–28) may also have been obtained by introgression from Denisovans.

1. F. Chen *et al.*, A late Middle Pleistocene Denisovan mandible from the Tibetan Plateau. *Nature* **569**, 409–412 (2019).
2. C. G. Turner, II, C. R. Nichol, G. R. Scott, “Scoring procedures for key morphological traits of the permanent dentition: The Arizona State University Dental Anthropology System” in *Advances in Dental Anthropology*, M. Kelley, C. Larsen, Eds. (Wiley-Liss, New York, NY, 1991), pp. 13–31.
3. S. J. Sidow, L. A. West, F. R. Liewehr, R. J. Loushine, Root canal morphology of human maxillary and mandibular third molars. *J. Endod.* **26**, 675–678 (2000).
4. J. A. B. Ferraz, J. D. Pécora, Three-rooted mandibular molars in patients of Mongolian, Caucasian and Negro origin. *Braz. Dent. J.* **3**, 113–117 (1993).
5. O. Carlsen, V. Alexandersen, Radix entomolaris: Identification and morphology. *Scand. J. Dent. Res.* **98**, 363–373 (1990).
6. A. Erkman, F. Kaya, Morphological variations in three-rooted mandibular molars in Ancient Anatolian populations (Dilkaya Mound, Van, Turkey): A literature review on world populations. *Mediterr. Archaeol. Archaeom. Int. J.* **14**, 1–11 (2012).
7. A. C. Gabriel, “Genetic types in teeth” in *Essays in Biology*, A. N. Burkitt, Ed. (Australian Medical Publishing, Sydney, NSW, Australia, 1948), pp 7–61.
8. G. Carabelli, *Systematisches Handbuch der Zahnheilkunde* (Braumüller and Seidel, Vienna, Austria, 1844).
9. L. Bolck, Bemerkungen über Wurzelvariationen am menschlichen unteren Molaren. *Z. Morphol. Anthropol.* **17**, 605–610 (1915).
10. C. G. Turner, II, Three-rooted mandibular first permanent molars and the question of American Indian origins. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **34**, 229–241 (1971).
11. W. Liao *et al.*, Mosaic dental morphology in a terminal Pleistocene hominin from Dushan Cave in southern China. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 2347 (2019).
12. L. Wu, Z. Xianglong, Preliminary impression of current dental anthropology research in China. *Dental Anthropology Newsletter* **9**, 1–5 (1995).
13. X. Wu, F. E. Poirier, *Human Evolution in China. A Metric Description of the Fossils and a Review of the Sites* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1995).
14. J. Wu, T. Chao, New discovery of a *Sinanthropus* mandible in Choukoutien. *Paleo-vertebrata et Paleoanthropologia* **1**, 155–158 (1959).
15. B. Barker, Dental features of the Tabon Mandible: An appendix. *Archaeol. Phys. Anthropol. Ocean.* **13**, 160–166 (1978).
16. E. Dizon, New direct dating of the human fossils from Tabon Cave, Palawan, Philippines. *Proc. Soc. Philipp. Archaeologists* **1**, 63–67 (2003).
17. J. Corny, “Les restes humains de la grotte de Tabon (Palawan, Philippines): Répartition spatiale et étude d’une collection d’ossements inédite,” MS thesis, Muséum national d’Histoire naturelle, Paris, France (2008).
18. N. MacIntosh, B. Barker, S. Larnach, The Tabon Cave mandible. *Archaeol. Phys. Anthropol. Ocean.* **13**, 143–159 (1978).
19. C.-H. Chang *et al.*, The first archaic *Homo* from Taiwan. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 6037 (2015).
20. D. Reich *et al.*, Genetic history of an archaic hominin group from Denisova Cave in Siberia. *Nature* **468**, 1053–1060 (2010).
21. E. Huerta-Sánchez *et al.*, Altitude adaptation in Tibetans caused by introgression of Denisovan-like DNA. *Nature* **512**, 194–197 (2014).
22. C. G. Turner, II, Late Pleistocene and Holocene population history of East Asia based on dental variation. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **73**, 305–321 (1987).
23. S. C. Antón, H. Carter-Menn, V. B. DeLeon, Modern human origins: Continuity, replacement, and masticatory robusticity in Australasia. *J. Hum. Evol.* **60**, 70–82 (2011).
24. L. J. Hlusko *et al.*, Environmental selection during the last ice age on the mother-to-infant transmission of vitamin D and fatty acids through breast milk. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **115**, E4426–E4432 (2018).
25. H. Shang, H. Tong, S. Zhang, F. Chen, E. Trinkaus, An early modern human from Tianyuan Cave, Zhoukoudian, China. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **104**, 6573–6578 (2007).
26. D. Frayer, M. Wolpoff, A. Thorne, F. Smith, G. Pope, Theories of modern human origins: The paleontological test. *Am. Anthropol.* **95**, 14–50 (1993).
27. W. Liu, “The dental continuity of humans in China from Pleistocene to Holocene, and the origins of Mongoloids” in *Proceedings of the 30th Annual Geological Congress* (VSP Publishing, Wakefield, UK, 1997), vol. 21, pp. 24–32.
28. M. Wolpoff, A. Thorne, F. Smith, D. Frayer, G. Pope, “Multiregional evolution: A world-wide source for modern human populations” in *Origins of Anatomically Modern Humans, Interdisciplinary Contributions to Archaeology*, M. Nitecki, D. Nitecki, Eds. (Plenum Press, New York, NY, 1994), pp. 176–199.