

Appendix A

Table A. Verbs with highest total lemma frequency: Top60s, listed in decreasing order of total verb frequency per corpus. (The list only includes verbs with at least one finite occurrence.) Auxiliary and modal verbs printed in bold.

English	Dutch	German
be	zijn	sein
have	hebben	haben
do	gaan	koennen
know	kunnen	werden
get	moeten	gehen
think	zeggen	müssen
go	doen	machen
shall/should/will/would	zullen	sagen
can/could	worden	fahren
mean	weten	sollen
see	denken	wollen
say	komen	passen
guess	vinden	denken
like	zitten	nehmen
take	willen	geben
want	zien	wissen
make	staan	sehen
work	kijken	treffen
come	maken	aussehen
use	krijgen	fliegen
try	mogen	vorschlagen
talk	geven	mögen
look	laten	buchen
start	horen	brauchen
live	liggen	finden
need	werken	kommen
seem	blijven	glauben
put	beginnen	lassen
feel	bedoelen	schauen
pay	vragen	ausmachen
hear	houden	liegen
may/might	lezen	grüßen
find	lopen	kosten
read	kennen	meinen

buy	gebeuren	tun
let	spelen	heißen
tell	zetteln	kümmern
keep	nemen	dauern
watch	lijken	bleiben
call	proberen	reservieren
give	vertellen	halten
enjoy	praten	festhalten
happen	halen	losfahren
play	kopen	freuen
spend	eten	vereinbaren
sound	geloven	anhören
remember	spreken	freihaben
stay	bellen	ankommen
believe	heten	ausschauen
love	schrijven	reichen
change	noemen	kennen
move	hoeven	anbieten
run	vallen	erkundigen
agree	gebruiken	klingen
suppose	brengen	planen
help	voelen	unternehmen
understand	rijden	besprechen
sit	wonen	sprechen
leave	leren	anrufen
drive	betalen	überlegen

Appendix B

Table B. Finite verbs with highest weighted Main-Fnt and weighted Sub-Fnt scores, listed in order of decreasing scores. For instance, the verb *guess* has the highest proportion in the set of all weighted Main-Fnt proportions in the English corpus; and the verb *ought* has the highest proportion in the set of all weighted Sub-Fnt English verbforms. Auxiliary and modal verbs printed in bold.

Main-Fnt			Sub-Fnt		
English	Dutch	German	English	Dutch	German
guess	menen	grüßen	ought	betreffen	laufen
mean	snappen	bedanken	can	thuiskomen	erinnern
know	geloven	danken	need	danken	losfliegen
hope	lijken	anhören	shall/will	terugkomen	mögen
sound	klinken	glauben	may	binnenkomen	wollen
bet	hoeven	heissen	dance	behoren	hinkommen
must	schelen	stimmen	deserve	overblijven	kriegen
wish	uitmaken	klingen	exist	aankunnen	losgehen
suspect	gelden	annehmen	want	vergissen	dürfen
love	afhangen	denken	mention	dreigen	liegen
seem	denken	freuen	affect	weggaan	zurückkommen
think	betekenen	halten	happen	meehebben	bestehen
hate	uitzien	aussehen	be	aanhebben	kennen
agree	vinden	meinen	end	bezighouden	kommen
wonder	vrezen	vorhaben	win	lesgeven	losfahren
appreciate	hopen	betragen	act	toekomen	abfahren
figure	meevallen	verbleiben	go out	beseffen	können
forget	aankijken	dabeihaben	start out	voldoen	wohnen
scare	afvragen	müssen	commit	bestaan	bekommen
tend	doorhebben	freihaben	graduate	overhebben	interessieren
do	weten	ausschauen	require	aangaan	auskennen
be	schijnen	werden	have	afkomen	ankommen
may	heten	hoffen	come	tegenkomen	brauchen
shall/will	ophebben	wünschen	tend	dienen	sollen
end up	kruipen	sollen	own	zullen	haben
prefer	mogen	schätzen	retire	teruggaan	geben
quit	zijn	sein	end up	uitkomen	freihaben
believe	opschieten	haben	produce	kloppen	dabeihaben
laugh	vermoeden	wissen	purchase	aantrekken	abholen
depend	bedoelen	auskennen	die	terechtkomen	zahlen
assume	zullen	können	fall	afgaan	arbeiten

can	hebben	kosten	move	opvallen	müssen
startout	moeten	brauchen	open	wollen	wünschen
enjoy	kloppen	bestehen	belong	aanspreken	treffen
have	blijken	kennen	come out	regenen	zurückfahren
manage	toegeven	geben	turn	omgaan	beginnen
like	schatten	dürfen	cost	optreden	wissen
turn out	voelen	stehen	bother	overkomen	dauern
feel	kennen	gehen	assume	bedoelen	hingehen
wind up	kunnen	lassen	do	herkennen	werden
mix	opgaan	warten	put out	opheben	stehen
decide	willen	festhalten	must	plaatsvinden	finden
get up	kosten	aufschreiben	start	wonen	stattfinden
pour	duren	festmachen	earn	passeren	sein
jump	inhouden	eintragen	live	kijken	klappen
miss	afgaan	mögen	say	voorkomen	bringen
grow up	zitten	melden	charge	rondlopen	vorhaben
belong	pleiten	tun	take off	aansluiten	anschauen
keep	aanhebben	wollen	place	geraken	anrufen
use	wegen	sehen	contribute	ervaren	sparen
put in	beweren	nehmen	report	worden	bevorzugen
rent	schrikken	dauern	choose	opgaan	wegfahren
help out	ruiken	schauen	ask	kunnen	probieren
live	bestaan	gönnen	figure	eindigen	sehen
say	staan	vorbeikommen	turnout	hebben	zurückfliegen
come on	gaan	liegen	waste	leiden	ausschauen
find	tegenkommen	finden	wind up	liggen	hinfahren
subscribe	begrijpen	bleiben	feel	uitgaan	fahren
take up	herhalen	anfangen	come up	heten	übernachten
understand	overhebben	übernehmen	dump	moeten	ansehen

Appendix C: Summaries of the Betareg models

This Appendix contains essential properties of the output produced by Betareg. (For information on Betareg within R, see <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=betareg>).

Explanations of terms not reserved by Betareg:

- *Language* codes (for treatment coding): en (English), de (German), nl (Dutch). English was the reference language.
- *NormTotFreq*: the “raw” frequency count of a lemma divided by the total number of verbforms per corpus (see rightmost column in Table 4).
- *WMain*, *WSub*: weighted main proportions, weighted sub proportions (dependent variables in first and second models below).
- *DiffWMainWSub*: WMain proportion minus WSub proportion (dependent variable in the third model).

For all three dependent variables (DVs: WMain, WSub, and DiffWMainWSub) we tested the following models:

```
mDV      ← betareg(WMain ~ 0 + NormTotFreq * Language, data = dat)
mDV.hetero ← betareg(WMain ~ 0 + NormTotFreq * Language | Language, data=dat)
mDV.loglog ← betareg(WMain ~ 0 + NormTotFreq * Language, data = dat, link = "loglog")
```

The input formulae include a zero term, which forces every level of the categorical independent variable (here: every language) to receive its own intercept. Therefore, the main effects are the per-language intercepts, and the slope for the frequency effect of each target language is not included in the interactions. The interaction terms reflect the differences in the slope for each language, i.e. the offset from the reference language (English).

The results of the Betareg analyses are presented on the following three pages.

R reports for the three Betareg analyses

First analysis

```
> mWMain <- betareg(WMain ~ 0 + NormTotFreq * Language, data = dat)
> mWMain
```

Call:

```
betareg(formula = WMain ~ 0 + NormTotFreq * Language, data = dat)
```

Phi coefficients (precision model with identity link):

(phi)

213.9

```
> summary(mWMain)
```

Call:

```
betareg(formula = WMain ~ 0 + NormTotFreq * Language, data = dat)
```

Standardized weighted residuals 2:

Min	1Q	Median	3Q	Max
-10.2344	-0.1074	0.1421	0.4016	3.2397

Coefficients (mean model with logit link):

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
NormTotFreq	20.37866	0.78062	26.106	< 2e-16 ***
Languageen	-6.86133	0.07942	-86.395	< 2e-16 ***
Languagenl	-6.90968	0.07486	-92.306	< 2e-16 ***
Languagede	-6.31671	0.08631	-73.187	< 2e-16 ***
NormTotFreq:Language[T.nl]	4.67678	1.09409	4.275	1.92e-05 ***
NormTotFreq:Language[T.de]	3.42252	1.12684	3.037	0.00239 **

Phi coefficients (precision model with identity link):

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)
(phi)	213.88	15.71	13.62	<2e-16 ***

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Type of estimator: ML (maximum likelihood)

Log-likelihood: 6681 on 7 Df

Pseudo R-squared: 0.06807

Number of iterations: 82 (BFGS) + 6 (Fisher scoring)

Second analysis

```

> mWSub <- betareg(WSub ~ 0 + NormTotFreq * Language, data = dat)
> mWSub

Call:
betareg(formula = WSub ~ 0 + NormTotFreq * Language, data = dat)

Phi coefficients (precision model with identity link):
(phi)
792.5

> summary(mWSub)

Call:
betareg(formula = WSub ~ 0 + NormTotFreq * Language, data = dat)

Standardized weighted residuals 2:
      Min     1Q   Median     3Q    Max 
-7.4068 -0.0223  0.2256  0.4871  3.4204 

Coefficients (mean model with logit link):
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)    
NormTotFreq          21.82391  0.56390  38.702 <2e-16 ***
Languageen        -7.88847  0.07520 -104.896 <2e-16 ***
Languagenl         -8.11729  0.07344 -110.528 <2e-16 ***
Languagede         -8.29445  0.09644  -86.003 <2e-16 ***
NormTotFreq:Language[T.nl]  0.88753  0.97254    0.913   0.361  
NormTotFreq:Language[T.de] -0.68686  1.28687   -0.534   0.594  

Phi coefficients (precision model with identity link):
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)    
(phi)      792.53     58.01   13.66 <2e-16 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Type of estimator: ML (maximum likelihood)
Log-likelihood:  7761 on 7 Df
Pseudo R-squared: 0.03978
Number of iterations: 86 (BFGS) + 10 (Fisher scoring)

```

Third analysis

```

> mDiffWMainWSub <- betareg(DiffWMainWSub ~ 0 + NormTotFreq * Language, data = dat)
> mDiffWMainWSub

Call:
betareg(formula = DiffWMainWSub ~ 0 + NormTotFreq * Language, data = dat)

Phi coefficients (precision model with identity link):
(phi)
28431

> summary(mDiffWMainWSub)

Call:
betareg(formula = DiffWMainWSub ~ 0 + NormTotFreq * Language, data = dat)

Standardized weighted residuals 2:
      Min     1Q   Median     3Q    Max 
-11.1289 -0.0787 -0.0245  0.0338 20.6661 

Coefficients (mean model with logit link):
                               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)    
NormTotFreq                  2.3014736  0.0545653  42.18   <2e-16 *** 
Languageen                   -2.1966648  0.0011182 -1964.48  <2e-16 *** 
Languagenl                   -2.1983881  0.0009566 -2298.01  <2e-16 *** 
Languagede                   -2.1979136  0.0015543 -1414.05  <2e-16 *** 
NormTotFreq:Language[T.nl]   2.3755478  0.0784999   30.26  <2e-16 *** 
NormTotFreq:Language[T.de]   3.6286611  0.0780530   46.49  <2e-16 *** 

Phi coefficients (precision model with identity link):
                               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)    
(phi)                      28431       1319    21.55   <2e-16 *** 
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Type of estimator: ML (maximum likelihood)
Log-likelihood: 4559 on 7 Df
Pseudo R-squared: 0.9384
Number of iterations: 609 (BFGS) + 5 (Fisher scoring)

```