



Ontogenetic Dietary Shifts and Microscopic Tooth Wear in Western Chimpanzees

Julia Stuhlträger^{1*}, Ellen Schulz-Kornas¹, Roman M. Wittig^{2,3} and Kornelius Kupczik¹

¹ Max Planck Weizmann Center for Integrative Archaeology and Anthropology, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig, Germany, ² Department of Primatology, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig, Germany, ³ Tai Chimpanzee Project, Centre Suisse de Recherches Scientifiques, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Renoult P. Julien,
UMR5175 Centre d'Ecologie
Fonctionnelle et Evolutive
(CEFE), France

Reviewed by:

Gildas Merceron,
UMR7262 Institut de
Paléoprimatologie, Paléontologie
Humaine Évolution et
Paléoenvironnements (IPHEP), France
Alejandro Romero,
University of Alicante, Spain

*Correspondence:

Julia Stuhlträger
julia_stuhltraeger@eva.mpg.de

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Behavioral and Evolutionary Ecology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution

Received: 22 March 2019

Accepted: 24 July 2019

Published: 08 August 2019

Citation:

Stuhlträger J, Schulz-Kornas E,
Wittig RM and Kupczik K (2019)
Ontogenetic Dietary Shifts and
Microscopic Tooth Wear in Western
Chimpanzees.
Front. Ecol. Evol. 7:298.
doi: 10.3389/fevo.2019.00298

Microscopic tooth wear studies on primates have largely focused on interspecific dietary comparisons, while few have addressed intraspecific variations, such as those among age groups. Here, we examined to what extent dietary shifts during ontogeny can be revealed from microscopic tooth wear in a western chimpanzee population using 3D surface texture (3DST) analysis. To this end, we analyzed feeding observation data of 14 chimpanzees of the Tai National Park (Ivory Coast) and matched them to 3DST data analyzed on two wear facets (f9, f3) of deciduous fourth premolars and permanent molars of 41 specimens (infants, juveniles, adolescents, adults) of the same population. We expected to find an age-dependent increase in texture complexity resulting from the more frequent consumption of seeds and insects in older compared to younger individuals. Furthermore, we expected the introduction of phytolith-producing plants to the diet of post-weaned individuals to result in many small and parallel-orientated 3DST features in juveniles, adolescents and adults compared to infants. We found that the 3DST pattern did not mirror the observed increase in dietary breadth from infants to adults. However, we found that age-dependent differences in the consumption of phytolith-producing plants were reflected to some extent in the 3DST pattern: infants and adolescents who spent more time feeding on phytolith-producing plants than older individuals had more parallel orientated 3DSTs with higher peaks, while adults had flatter and more randomly orientated 3DST features. Our results suggest that phytoliths as small abrasive particles may be of greater importance for the 3DST formation than food categories, such as fruits, leaves or seeds. However, compared to the variation in the feeding data, 3DST results show only little variation among age groups. We conclude that 3DST does not explicitly reflect ontogenetic dietary changes in chimpanzees. Rather, other factors, such as individual- or sex-based feeding habits as well as seasonal variation in dust accumulation, may be of greater importance for 3DST formation.

Keywords: surface texture, Tai, ontogeny, phytolith, hard object, wear facet

INTRODUCTION

The diet of chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*) includes mostly fruits, but also leaves, piths and other vegetative plant parts as well as animal resources (e.g., Hiraiwa-Hasegawa, 1990a; Tutin et al., 1991; Boesch and Boesch-Achermann, 2000; Morgan and Sanz, 2006; Pruett, 2006; Fahy et al., 2013). This dietary diversity partly arises from the fact that four subspecies of chimpanzees have been recognized (Wilson and Reeder, 2005; Oates et al., 2008), which occupy a variety of naturally occurring and modified habitats, ranging from tropical rainforests (Boesch and Boesch, 1989) to bamboo forests (Basabose, 2002) and dry open savannas (Conklin-Brittain et al., 2006) in central Africa. While western chimpanzees (*P. t. verus*), which are most distinctive from the other subspecies (Morin et al., 1994; Becquet et al., 2007), consume fruits with a share of around 62% (Pruetz and Bertolani, 2007; Bogart and Pruett, 2011), the amount of fruits in central chimpanzees (*P. t. troglodytes*) varies from 63% (Goulougo Triangle, Democratic Republic of Congo) to 76% (Lope Reserve, Gabon) (Tutin et al., 1991, 1997; Tutin and Fernandez, 1993; Morgan and Sanz, 2006). Nigeria-Cameroon chimpanzees (*P. t. ellioti*) show with around 91% the highest percentage of fruits in their diet (Dutton and Chapman, 2015), while eastern chimpanzees (*P. t. schweinfurthii*) show the lowest, ranging from 57% in Mahale (Tanzania) (Hiraiwa-Hasegawa, 1990a) to only 43% in Kahuzi (Democratic Republic of Congo).

Other than the reported differences in fruit content in the diet among chimpanzee subspecies, several authors have reported age-related feeding preferences within populations as well (e.g., Hiraiwa-Hasegawa, 1990a,b; Matsumoto, 2017). Such feeding preferences change throughout a chimpanzee's life, but they seem to be strongest early in life. Thus, infant chimpanzees introduce solid food to their diet at an age of around 4–5 months (Hiraiwa-Hasegawa, 1990a), which almost exclusively consists of ripe fruit (Smith et al., 2013). At an age of around 1.7 years the weaning process starts and encompasses a period up to 4 years (Fahy et al., 2014). This process is accompanied by dietary transitions, such as the introduction of new food items or changes in dietary proclivities. For example, infant eastern chimpanzees (up to 5 years of age) of the Mahale mountains (Tanzania) consume more young leaves and fewer mature leaves compared to their mothers (Hiraiwa-Hasegawa, 1990a), but in general they increase their feeding time on leaves between 2 and 3 years and again after 4 years of age (Matsumoto, 2017). Infant eastern chimpanzees of the Kanyawara community in Kibale National Park (Uganda) have a narrower dietary breadth compared to adolescent and adult chimpanzees. However, even after weaning is completed, at around 5 years of age (Fahy et al., 2014), authors reported on dietary changes. In western chimpanzees (*P. t. verus*) of the Tã National Park (Ivory Coast) post-weaned individuals commonly feed on phytolith-producing plants, while it was suggested that individuals up to 3 years complement their nutritional needs with a phytolith-free diet (Power et al., 2015). Furthermore, juvenile chimpanzees (5–10 years of age) of the Kanyawara community were observed to feed more often on ripe fruits than their mothers (Bray et al., 2018). In addition, a continuous increase in the feeding bouts on seed-rich *Ficus* fruits until an age of

10–13 years was documented (Bray et al., 2018). In Mahale, adolescent male chimpanzees feed less often on piths than adult males (Uehara, 1990). Additionally, certain feeding behaviors (e.g., nut cracking and ant dipping) require specific physical and cognitive abilities. It has been shown that the efficiency with which these foods can be accessed increases with age. For example, western chimpanzees begin to crack nuts efficiently at an age of 4 years (Boesch and Boesch-Achermann, 2000). Moreover, hunting and meat consumption is mainly observed in adult male chimpanzees; they only reluctantly share meat with adult females and young individuals (Boesch, 1994; Boesch and Boesch-Achermann, 2000).

Gathering data about feeding behavior and dietary proclivities during ontogeny can provide knowledge about somatic development, cognitive abilities and life history events. However, it is not always possible to obtain direct evidence, such as from focal observations or the analysis of food remains and fecal samples. An alternative approach to inferring the diet of an individual is dental microwear. This method is related to the examination of microscopic signatures on tooth wear facet surfaces that form and modify during an individual's life as the result of tooth use. Microwear signatures have traditionally been described two-dimensionally (2D) as pits and scratches (Gordon, 1984; Teaford and Robinson, 1989). Gordon (1982) analyzed 2D wear patterns in western chimpanzees and found that juveniles had a higher density of pits and scratches compared to adults. She proposed that diet might be not the factor explaining these differences since the dietary variation within one species is supposed to be small. Rather, she speculated that a varying enamel hardness between younger and older individuals could have led to distinct pit and scratch densities among age groups (Gordon, 1982). She proposed that the higher density of microwear features in juveniles might be linked to decreasing enamel hardness in older individuals, since longer exposure to wear might lead to softer inner enamel layers and microwear features are more easily erased or reworked. In a more recent study on buccal microwear, Pérez et al. (2011) found larger scratch densities in infant (2–5 years) Neanderthals than in older ones (6–13 years). The authors argued that these higher scratch densities in infants could be indicative of an abrasive diet, which is accompanied by early weaning. As an alternative explanation they proposed that the enamel of deciduous teeth is less resistant to abrasion, leading to higher scratch densities (Pérez et al., 2011). However, in the same study modern humans showed an increase in feature densities from infants to adolescents, which the authors related to an increase in harder food items after weaning (Pérez et al., 2011).

With the development of new optical three-dimensional (3D) techniques, known as 3D dental microwear texture analysis (SSFA) (Scott et al., 2005) and 3D surface texture analysis (3DST) (Schulz et al., 2010) wear signatures can now be measured in much higher detail and precision. For example, the latter allows for the quantification of various geometric aspects, such as surface height, feature density, and surface complexity. By using SSFA to study inter-individual dietary habits in mandrills Percher et al. (2018) found an indication for a positive correlation between the fill volume of texture features and age, which these

authors assumed to be correlated with a slight increase in hard objects and monocotyledons consumed.

Other than microwear signatures caused by internal dietary abrasives, external dust or grit ingested together with the diet may contribute to wear (e.g., Covert and Kay, 1981; Nystrom et al., 2004), by increasing scratch formation frequencies (Romero et al., 2012). Recently, it was shown that the 3DST of chimpanzees of the Taï National Park is influenced by a yearly dust accumulation during dry periods, leading to surface textures with more fine furrows and dales (Schulz-Kornas et al., 2019). While wind-borne dust particles seem to affect the amount and size of surface texture features, at least in those chimpanzees of the Taï National Park, we assume that internal dietary abrasives affect the surface texture in a more versatile way depending on the dietary composition.

Here we investigate whether changes in dietary habits during ontogeny are reflected in the 3D microscopic tooth wear patterns in infant, juvenile, adolescent and adult individuals of a western chimpanzee population from the Taï National Park (Ivory Coast). To that end, we compare data from feeding observations to 3DST analysis data measured on deciduous premolars (dp4) and permanent molars (M1 and M2). While microwear studies frequently have concentrated on M1s and M2s it is unclear whether both permanent and deciduous teeth carry similar microwear signals. Pérez et al. (2011) suggested that differences in enamel hardness between deciduous and permanent teeth may lead to higher scratch densities in infants, which would then reveal structural differences in tooth enamel rather than dietary differences. However, combining teeth would not only contribute to an elevated sample size but also enable to include younger individuals to discover possible dietary transitions during early ontogeny. Furthermore, it has been shown that differences in microwear signals between facet types (phase I vs. phase II) vary among species (Krueger et al., 2008). While in hard-object feeders the dietary signal is more pronounced on phase II facets, in primates with a versatile diet both phase II and phase I facets reflect the diet (Krueger et al., 2008). We therefore test whether teeth- (dp4 and M1/M2) and facet-types (phase II facet 9 and phase I facet 3) show tooth- and facet-type-specific wear patterns or whether they can be combined in future studies.

To test for the relationship of diet and 3DST data we use two sets of predictions. First, we predict that if the dietary composition, especially of more challenging food parts (hard objects) like seeds and insect cuticles (Strait, 1993; Scott et al., 2009), changes throughout a chimpanzee's life, this change will be reflected in the microscopic tooth wear pattern. For example, fig fruits as a common part of a chimpanzee's diet are an assembly of drupes with a high amount of rather small seeds (1–2 mm) per fruit, while other seeds, such as seeds of *Calpocalyx* sp. or *Gilbertiodendron* sp., consumed by chimpanzees are up to 2–4 cm in size (Poorter, 2004) and in small number per fruit. Consequently a mixture of smaller and larger seeds, considered as hard objects, produce complex facet surfaces with pits/dales and scratches/valleys varying in size and density (Teaford and Robinson, 1989; Lucas, 2004; Scott et al., 2006). An increase in the amount of such hard objects in the diet has been shown to correlate with an increase in surface texture complexity

(Ramdarshan et al., 2016), surface texture height and volume, and a decrease in feature area (Calandra et al., 2012; Schulz-Kornas et al., 2019). Kaiser et al. (2015) proposed that soft diets, i.e., those with low matrix resistance, allow for a closer contact of the occluding teeth during mastication and therefore result in smooth and less complex wear facets with shallow pits/dales and scratches/valleys. Consequently, if juveniles fed mainly on soft ripe fruits and young leaves, we expect to observe less complex, voluminous and isotropic wear facet surfaces combined with shallower dales or valleys. Furthermore, if the proportion of fig fruits (including their small seeds) and other large hard particles (e.g., large seeds and insects) increased with age, then we expect to find complex surfaces with larger reliefs and hills, valleys and dales varying in size in adolescent and adult individuals.

In addition to the above described millimeter-sized hard objects, there are micrometer-sized intrinsic abrasive particles in several monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plant families, known as phytoliths (Mercader et al., 2000; Piperno, 2006; Power et al., 2015). Previous studies on grass leaf eating mammals have suggested that phytoliths cause a microscopic wear pattern of mainly fine scratches/valleys with an anisotropic orientation (Walker et al., 1978; Solounias and Semperebon, 2002; Ungar et al., 2003; Scott et al., 2006; Schulz et al., 2013b). Therefore, we predict that if infants have a reduced ingestion of phytoliths, their surface texture should clearly differ from juveniles, adolescents and adults. Thus, we expect the surface textures of infants to have less dales and valleys as well as more plateau-like features due to the reduced amount of small abrasive particles in the diet, while we expect to find the opposite in adolescents and adults.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cranial Material

We analyzed a total of 79 molars [upper and lower deciduous fourth premolars (dp4), permanent first (M1), and second molars (M2)] from 41 chimpanzees (*P. t. verus*) in a free-ranging population from the Taï National Park (Ivory Coast) (**Supplemental Data Table S1**). The Taï chimpanzee skeletal material is part of the Taï osteological collection of the Taï Chimpanzee Project (Wittig, 2018) based at the Departments of Human Evolution and Primatology of the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology (MPI-EVA Leipzig, Germany). Forty individuals are of known sex (females $N = 20$, males $N = 20$) and 36 individuals of known age (2–40 years). Based on a scheme implemented by the Taï Chimpanzee Project (Wittig pers. comm.) we used four age groups classified by major life history characteristics: (1) infants (between birth and weaning, 0–5 years); (2) juveniles (weaned, but still mother dependent, 5–10 years); (3) adolescents (onset of female cycle, 10–13 years; males begin to dominate females and travel with other males, 10–15 years); (4) adults (social maturity and reproductive phase, females > 13 years, males > 15 years).

Long-Term Feeding Observation

Feeding duration and dietary composition of 14 Taï chimpanzees (infants $N = 2$, adolescents $N = 2$, adults $N = 10$) were extracted from a long-term observation database on chimpanzee behavior,

which is part of the Tai Chimpanzee Project at the Tai National Park (Wittig, 2018). Feeding sequences were available for a period of up to 12 days prior to death of these individuals and fall within the range of the suggested duration of microwear formation for primates (Teaford and Oyen, 1989). Raw data of adult chimpanzee feeding observations were taken from Schulz-Kornas et al. (2019) (see **Supplemental Data Table S1**), but the food categories therein were adjusted for our data set. The feeding duration was calculated as the mean feeding time (in %) per day for each individual. This duration was then used to calculate the mean feeding time per age group (in % per day). For 10 individuals both dietary data and 3DST data were available (specimen with “ID,” see **Supplemental Data Table S1**). Additionally, we added feeding data of 4 living individuals from the Tai population (specimen without “ID,” see **Supplemental Data Table S1**), which were similar in age, sex and community to four specimens from the osteological collection for which no feeding data were available.

We used two sets of food categorization to describe the feeding behavior. First, we defined food categories ($N_{\text{category}} = 12$) based on different plant parts (e.g., seed, leaf, pith) and animal resources consumed. We subdivided the traditionally used but broad food categories, such as “fruits” and “seeds” into more precise categories, resulting in a more detailed description of the feeding habits. We distinguished between food categories with potential hard objects (e.g., seeds, fruit pulp with seeds) and softer food categories (e.g., fruit pulp, nut endosperm). Second, we classified the plant parts consumed based on presence or absence of phytoliths (**Supplemental Data Table S2**). We used published data on phytolith content in plant species from the Tai National Park, which are known to be eaten by the 14 chimpanzees analyzed here (see Supplementary Table 6 in Power et al., 2015). For plants not included in the study by Power et al. (2015) we complemented this information with phytolith-producing taxon information from Piperno (2006). Here, we only added plants to the phytolith-producing plant group if they were classified as a high-producing plant (see Table 1.1 in Piperno, 2006).

Surface Texture Analysis

We exclusively selected teeth which exhibited occlusal surfaces with slight to moderate wear, i.e., dentine exposure was limited to the cusp tip or to areas of no interest. Moreover, selected wear facets were clearly delimited from neighboring wear facets. We analyzed 3DSTs on facet 9 which is the standard phase II facet for primate dietary reconstructions. This facet is located on the buccal and slightly posterior facing slope of the protocone of upper molars, and on the lingual facing slope of the hypoconulid of lower molars (Kay and Hiiemae, 1974; Kay, 1977; Maier and Schneck, 1981). Additionally, we analyzed the buccal phase I facet 3, which is located on the lingual slope of the paracone, and the buccal and slightly mesial facing slope of the hypoconulid (Kay and Hiiemae, 1974; Maier and Schneck, 1981). Following the procedure of Schulz et al. (2010, 2013a) and Calandra et al. (2012), we took tooth molds, using a high resolution silicone imprint (Provil[®] novo Light C.D.2 regular set; Type 3; Heraeus Kulzer, Dormagen, Germany), of permanent upper and lower first and second molars on either the right or left side.

For specimens with deciduous dentition we exclusively molded and analyzed the dp4 (also known as the second deciduous molar), because of their similar morphology and facet location to permanent molars (Swindler, 2002). The measurements of the 3DSTs were conducted using the high resolution confocal disc-scanning surface measuring system μsurf mobile (NanoFocus AG, Oberhausen, Germany) at the MPI-EVA. The measurement routine was performed according to Schulz et al. (2010). Three to four non-overlapping scans (each $160 \times 160 \mu\text{m}$) of each facet were taken using a $100\times$ long distance objective with a numerical aperture of 0.8. The resolution in x and y were $0.16 \mu\text{m}$, noise threshold in z $0.002 \mu\text{m}$, and step size in z $0.06 \mu\text{m}$. Only measurements with at least 95% surface points and no observable residues were retained for further analyses. The surface texture analysis was performed in the μsoft analysis premium software version 7.4.8076 (NanoFocus AG, Oberhausen, Germany; a derivative of Mountains[®] Analysis software by Digital Surf, Besançon, France). Twenty-five 3DST parameters, divided into four analysis types, of which two are defined by ISO 25178 (International Organization for Standardization, 2012) and ISO 12781 (International Organization for Standardization, 2011), as well as motif and furrow analyses were selected to describe the wear facets (**Supplemental Data Table S3**). These parameters relate to aspects, such as height (Sq , Sp , $S5p$, $S5v$, $FLTv$, $FLTp$, meh , $madf$, $metf$), area (Sha , Sda , mea), density (Spd , Sal , $medf$), volume (Vv , Vvc , Vmp , mev), plateau size (Smr , Smc), anisotropy (Str), texture direction (Std), complexity (Sdr), and slope (Sdq).

Statistics

The statistical analyses were performed using the open-source software R 3.4.3 (R Development Core Team, 2017). The test-statistics were conducted following the suggestions of Calandra et al. (2012), using the robust Welch–Yuen heteroscedastic omnibus test (WYT) coupled with a heteroscedastic pairwise comparison test (analog to Dunnett’s T3 test) and a heteroscedastic rank based test (according to Cliff’s method) to detect significant differences between trimmed (15%) medians. According to Calandra et al. (2012) tests can be applied specifically to small data sets (with at least 3 specimens per group) (Calandra et al., 2012). The WYT tests whether at least one of the groups (infants, juveniles, adolescents or adults) has a different mean than the other groups. Whenever a significant difference was found, a heteroscedastic pair-wise test was subsequently employed to reveal the source of difference. However, the p -values in this test are not controlled for the so-called family-wise error. Therefore, the heteroscedastic rank based test was performed to control for the family-wise error via Hochberg’s (1988) method. This test computes, for all pairs of groups, the probability that an observation from one group is smaller than from another group. The R packages xlsx version 0.4.2 (Dragulescu, 2012), doBy version 4.5.3 (Højsgaard et al., 2012), and R.utils version 1.12.1 (Bengtsson, 2015) were used. Group-wise comparison was conducted to test for variation between tooth type (deciduous vs. permanent) and among age groups. The tooth type comparison was only conducted on five juveniles, where both the dp4 and the M1 were fully erupted and present in the same specimen (see **Supplemental Data Table S1**).

For the age group comparison we analyzed specimens that had died during wet and dry periods. We are aware that seasonality in the Tai National Park can affect 3DSTs (Schulz-Kornas et al., 2019), but due to a limited sample size for specimens died during dry periods (see **Supplemental Data Table S1**) we could not include seasonality as an extra factor in our age group analysis. However, additionally to the age group comparison using the whole data set (data set 1 = specimens from wet and dry period) we conducted the same tests using a reduced data set (data set 2 = specimens from wet periods only) to see if the removal of specimens whose 3DSTs may be affected by external abrasives (e.g., dust during the dry period) change the results from the age group comparison. Additionally, we selected 17 3DST parameters that fit our predictions but were not affected by seasonality in a previous study on 3DSTs in the same population (Schulz-Kornas et al., 2019).

Finally, we performed a Factor Analysis (FA) to compare the effect of facet type (f9 vs. f3). We selected 13 of the initial 25 3DST parameters (*Sq*, *Sp*, *Vmp*, *Vv*, *Sdr*, *Str*, *Sal*, *Sdq*, *Smc*, *Smr*, *meh*, *madf*, *metf*) for the final FA using varimax rotation. We selected the 13 3DST parameters due to following criteria: no missing values and approximately normal distribution. The FA was justified as shown by the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy (value > 0.5) using the function “paf” of the R package *rela* (Chajewski, 2009). The FA was calculated using the function “factanal” (for details see **Supplementary Data S1**).

For all analyses, upper and lower teeth were analyzed separately. Of the permanent molars, the first molar was the preferred tooth. If the first molar was not available, then the second permanent molar was used to increase the number of specimens.

RESULTS

Feeding Observations

We quantified the mean feeding duration that infant, adolescent, and adult Tai chimpanzees spent feeding on selected food sources as a percentage for 24 h. The two major dietary classifications to describe the feeding behavior in each group are shown in **Figure 1A** for food categories and **Figure 1B** for phytolith production by different plants.

Food Categories

Infant feeding time was almost exclusively limited to dicotyledonous fruits (50% fruit pulp with seeds, 43% fruit pulp) (**Figure 1A**). Likewise, adolescent individuals spent most of their feeding time on dicotyledonous fruits, including softer fruit pulp (53%) and fruit pulp with seeds (5%). They spent less time feeding on dicotyledonous seeds (8%), leaves (7%), indeterminate leaves (9%), and fruits (8%). Adult individuals varied more in their feeding time on dicotyledonous fruits, with 42% fruit pulp, 19% fruit pulp with seeds as well as 11% nut endosperm. Their feeding time on dicotyledonous seeds decreased to 6% while the feeding time on dicotyledonous leaves with 7% was very similar to adolescents. In general, monocotyledons and animal resources were relatively rare in all age groups. Adolescents spent 6% of their time feeding on

monocotyledons (3% piths, 2% leaves). This was even lower in adults (3% piths, 1% leaves). Feeding on monocotyledons and animal resources (mammals, insects) was absent in infants. In adolescents, mammal consumption was not observed, but a small amount of insect consumption was present (1%). Adults further increased their feeding time on insects to 5%, and introduced mammal resources (meat and bone) to their diet (1% of the feeding time).

Phytolith Content

Individuals of all age groups spent most of their time feeding on dicotyledonous plants, which vary in the production of phytoliths (**Supplemental Data Table S2**). Of the three analyzed age groups, adolescents spent the largest proportion of feeding time on plants that produce phytoliths (51%), followed by infants (38%), and then adults (17%) (**Figure 1B**). Adults spent much more time feeding on non-phytolith-producing plants (54%), while the feeding time in infants is with 38% the same as for phytolith producing plants. Adolescents spent the least time feeding on plant parts that contain no phytoliths (21%).

Surface Texture


Comparison Between Tooth Types

We analyzed upper dp4 and M1 in individuals with mixed dentition (see **Supplemental Data Table S1**) to test for tooth type specific wear signatures. **Table 1** shows the mean, median and standard deviation as well as the test statistics (Welch-Yuen only) of both tooth types on facet 9. Both, deciduous and permanent teeth did not differ in any of the 25 3DST parameters ($p > 0.05$). The same accounts for the upper facet 3 (**Supplemental Data Table S4**). Due to similarities in the 3DST parameters, we therefore mixed deciduous and permanent teeth in the subsequent age group comparison to increase specimen number.

Comparison Among Age Groups

The age group comparisons reveal similar results using both data sets (data set 1 = specimens died during wet and dry periods, **Table 2**; data set 2 = specimens died during wet periods only, **Supplemental Data Table S5**). Most distinct age group differences are displayed in isotropy (*Str*) and maximum peak height (*Sp*) no matter which of the two data sets was used (see **Table 2**; **Supplemental Data Table S5**). Therefore, the following result description refer to the whole data set (data set 1).

The FA for both upper and lower teeth (**Figure 2**) shows no separation of facet 9 and facet 3, assuming that both facets are similar in their 3DST. The FA reveals two factors with Eigenvalues ≥ 1 , together explaining 80.4% of total variance for upper facets (**Figure 2A**), and three factors with Eigenvalues ≥ 1 , together explaining 82.9% of total variance for lower ones (**Figure 2B**). For upper facets, the factor loadings (**Table 3**) suggest that the parameters *Sq*, *Vv*, *Vmp*, *Sal*, *Smc*, *Smr*, and *meh* contribute most to factor 1, whereas *Sdr*, *Sdq*, and *metf* contribute most to factor 2. For lower facets, the factor loadings (**Table 3**) suggest similar results with the parameters *Sq*, *Sp*, *Vv*, *Vmp*, *Smc*, *Smr*, and *meh* contribute most to factor 1, and parameters *Sdr*



and *Sdq* contribute most to factor 2, whereas all parameters show weak loadings for factor 3.

Of the 25 parameters we tested using the test statistics suggested by Calandra et al. (2012) (for details see section Statistics), only two parameters on facet 9 (*Str*, *FLT_p*) and one parameter on facet 3 (*Sp*) show significant differences among age groups (Table 2). In general, facets 9 and 3 were similar in their surface texture pattern on both upper and lower teeth (Figure 3; Supplemental Data Table S6).

Contrary to our expectation, age groups did not differ in their surface texture complexity (*Sdr*) (Figure 3A), density of peaks (*Spd*), or furrows (*medf*) (Supplemental Data Table S6). However, the orientation of the 3DST features (*Str*) varied among age groups in both upper and lower teeth (Table 2). Infants show a significantly smaller degree of isotropy on the upper facet 9 (smaller *Str*) than adults ($p_{Str} = 0.003$) (Figure 3B), as well as a significantly higher degree of isotropy on the lower facet 9 (higher *Str*) than adolescents ($p_{Str} = 0.003$). In general, adolescents tend to have more anisotropic orientated 3DST signatures on both facets (facet 9 and 3) compared to adults (Figure 3B; Table 2). Furthermore, infants have significantly larger peaks (*Sp*) than adults ($p_{Sp} = 0.003$) on the upper facet 3 (Figure 3C), as well as a trend for deeper furrows (*madf*) than adolescents on the upper facet 9 (Table 2; Supplemental Data Table S6). Juveniles show larger peaks (*FLT_p*) than adolescents on the lower facet 9

($p_{FLT_p} = 0.008$), while infants and adults are intermediate (Supplemental Data Table S6). Those peaks additionally have wider areas, as indicated by the closed-hill area (*Sha*), in both infants and adults compared to juveniles and adolescents (trend only) (Figure 3D).

DISCUSSION

It has been demonstrated that dental microwear analyses can discriminate between specific food items (e.g., fruits, leaves, seeds) (e.g., Scott et al., 2005) and can detect the ingestion of foods containing small abrasive particles, such as phytoliths (e.g., Walker et al., 1978). While such studies have generally focused on dietary proclivities of individuals within a single species using predominantly permanent teeth, the aim of the present study was to assess whether the distinct dietary preferences observed among four age groups (infant, juvenile, adolescent and adult) in the western chimpanzee Tai forest population are reflected in their microscopic tooth wear patterns (3DST data). We additionally investigated whether deciduous and permanent teeth as well as phase I and phase II facets yield similar 3DST signals. This study therefore provides new insights into 3DST formation patterns and their sensitivity to intra-population behavioral adaptations, such as the introduction of solid food in infants, or dietary transitions after weaning.

TABLE 1 | Descriptive and test (Welch-Yuen) statistics for 3DST results of the upper facet 9 and compared between deciduous fourth premolars and permanent first molars.

Parameter	Unit	Deciduous fourth premolar				Permanent first molar				Welch-Yuen			
		N	Mean	Median	SD	N	Mean	Median	SD	Ft	p	nu1	nu2
Sq	μm	5	0.88	0.83	0.33	4	0.84	0.69	0.42	0.02	0.893	1	5.67
Sp	μm	5	3.17	3.30	0.81	4	2.58	2.69	1.00	0.90	0.380	1	5.82
S5p	μm	5	1.79	1.57	0.53	2	1.43	1.43	0.11				
S5v	μm	5	2.76	2.89	0.79	4	2.87	2.85	0.39	0.08	0.793	1	6.08
Sha	μm ²	5	229.26	123.49	230.40	3	150.10	83.77	137.42	0.37	0.565	1	5.96
Sda	μm ²	5	293.63	111.14	295.75	4	380.69	246.33	429.92	0.12	0.744	1	5.15
Spd	1/μm ²	5	0.01	0.01	0.01	4	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.981	1	6.35
Vv	μm ³ /μm ²	5	0.97	0.94	0.36	4	0.97	0.82	0.51	0.00	0.991	1	5.23
Vvc	μm ³ /μm ²	5	0.82	0.82	0.31	4	0.83	0.71	0.44	0.00	0.993	1	5.28
Vmp	μm ³ /μm ²	5	0.03	0.03	0.01	4	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.893	1	5.45
Sdr	%	5	7.61	4.55	7.04	4	6.30	4.98	5.73	0.10	0.766	1	6.98
Str	no unit	5	0.54	0.51	0.13	4	0.53	0.61	0.16	0.02	0.895	1	5.86
Sal	μm	5	15.16	16.63	4.59	4	14.36	13.24	3.18	0.09	0.768	1	6.92
Sdq	no unit	5	0.40	0.32	0.20	4	0.36	0.33	0.19	0.11	0.746	1	6.81
Smc	μm	5	0.94	0.91	0.34	4	0.94	0.79	0.49	0.00	0.996	1	5.19
Smr	%	5	88.47	88.81	5.52	4	90.10	92.34	6.43	0.16	0.703	1	6.01
Std	°	5	106.00	95.13	47.63	4	100.44	105.50	33.79	0.04	0.844	1	6.95
FLTv	μm	5	2.72	2.88	1.13	4	3.22	2.86	1.47	0.31	0.600	1	5.57
FLTp	μm	5	1.77	1.68	0.81	4	1.70	1.40	1.02	0.01	0.914	1	5.68
mea	μm ²	5	606.45	165.85	668.54	4	1224.85	1170.02	1314.63	0.73	0.438	1	4.23
mev	μm ³	5	79.91	10.87	103.45	4	166.74	131.07	195.91	0.64	0.465	1	4.33
meh	μm	5	0.68	0.64	0.21	4	0.76	0.62	0.37	0.13	0.738	1	4.52
madf	μm	5	3.54	3.55	0.59	4	3.46	3.47	0.31	0.07	0.805	1	6.21
metf	μm	5	0.73	0.86	0.20	4	0.67	0.74	0.18	0.15	0.710	1	6.83
medf	cm/cm ²	5	2,551.53	2,517.43	430.42	4	2455.13	2,495.64	428.14	0.11	0.748	1	6.58

Specimen included in the analysis are 11783, 12175, 13433, 14991, 15007 (see **Supplemental Data Table S1**). N, specimen number used for each 3DST parameter; SD, standard deviation; Ft, test statistics, p, level of significance; nu1/nu2, degree of freedom.

Tooth Position and Facet Type (3DST)

Differences in enamel hardness between deciduous and permanent teeth may contribute to tooth-type-specific wear patterns and were suggested to result in higher scratch densities in infant individuals with deciduous teeth compared to individuals with permanent teeth (Pérez et al., 2011). Overall, in the Taï chimpanzees dp4s and M1s are similar in their 3DST pattern (see **Table 1**; **Figure 2**), showing no significant differences in feature density or any other 3DST parameters. In humans it was found that with increasing wear, there is an enamel maturation of the outer enamel layer characterized by increasing incorporation of calcium, phosphate and fluoride from saliva or external origins resulting in increased enamel hardness (Fanning et al., 1954). Further, the enamel maturation still occurs after an individual age of >10 years and occurs at a depth of up to 330 μm (Cardoso et al., 2009).

The comparison between the phase II facet 9 and the phase I facet 3 shows great overlap in their 3DST patterns on both upper and lower teeth as indicated by the Factor Analysis (see **Figure 2**). This is in contrast to several microwear studies, which revealed specific microscopic signatures for phase I and

phase II facets (e.g., Crompton and Hiiemae, 1969; Gordon, 1982; Krueger et al., 2008). For example it has been shown that in *Cebus apella* and *Lophocebus albigena*, which both consume hard objects, such as seeds, bark and palm fronds, phase II facets are often more complex in their microwear pattern compared to phase I facets (Krueger et al., 2008). In contrast, *Alouatta palliata*, which—akin to chimpanzees—has a highly versatile diet and mainly consumes leaves, stems, fruit seeds and fruit flesh, facet-type-specific wear patterns are less pronounced (Krueger et al., 2008). This therefore could suggest that a highly diverse and generalist diet may minimize differences in wear patterns between facet types compared to those of a dietary specialist.

Feeding Time and Dietary Composition

Dietary proclivities change throughout a chimpanzee's life, but this transition seems to be strongest early in life. Thus, young infant eastern chimpanzees consume mainly ripe fruits (Smith et al., 2013) and have a reduced dietary breadth compared to other age groups (Bray et al., 2018). This is in line with our findings for western chimpanzees of the Taï forest: infants (2–3 years), which are still nursed, showed the narrowest dietary breadth

TABLE 2 | Test statistics for the age group comparison given for significant 3DST data and sorted according to data set.

Data set 1	Specimen (N)		3DST	Welch-Yuen				Dunnett		Cliff				
				Ft	p	nu1	nu2	Df	p	ph	pl	pu	p	pc
Uf9	Infant (4)	Adult (19)	Str	5.50	0.015	3	10.73	8.76	0.002	0.145	0.046	0.371	0.003	0.01
	Adolescent (7)	Adult (19)	Str	5.50	0.015	3	10.73	12.01	0.037	0.729	0.501	0.879	0.05*	0.01
	Infant (4)	Juvenile (9)	madf	3.95	0.040	3	10.76	7.50	0.009	0.889	0.548	0.981	0.027*	0.01
Uf3	Infant (4)	Adult (18)	Sp	4.55	0.029	3	10.17	10.55	0.003	0.861	0.637	0.956	0.003	0.01
	Juvenile (9)	Adolescent (7)	Str	4.00	0.042	3	9.75	7.07	0.009	0.825	0.525	0.953	0.035*	0.01
Lf9	Infant (4)	Adolescent (7)	Str	4.53	0.031	3	9.71	6.52	0.008	0.964	0.68	0.997	0.003	0.01
	Juvenile (6)	Adolescent (7)	Str	4.53	0.031	3	9.71	8.34	0.020	0.881	0.573	0.976	0.017*	0.01
	Adolescent (7)	Adult (13)	Str	4.53	0.031	3	9.71	11.03	0.025	0.813	0.554	0.939	0.019*	0.01
	Juvenile (6)	Adolescent (7)	FLTp	4.26	0.041	3	8.74	7.76	0.005	0.929	0.626	0.99	0.008	0.01
Lf3	Juvenile (6)	Adult (13)	FLTp	4.26	0.041	3	8.74	9.51	0.030	0.846	0.545	0.962	0.025*	0.01
	Infant (3)	Adolescent (7)	Str	5.66	0.018	3	9.06	5.03	0.010	0.905	0.535	0.987	0.033*	0.01
	Juvenile (6)	Adolescent (7)	Str	5.66	0.018	3	9.06	7.93	0.007	0.905	0.607	0.983	0.01*	0.01
	Adolescent (7)	Adult (10)	Str	5.66	0.018	3	9.06	6.39	0.036	0.771	0.461	0.93	0.088*	0.02

Chimpanzee data sets as presented in **Supplemental Data Table S1**. Following Calandra et al. (2012) values in bold indicate a significant difference ($p \leq p_{crit} \leq 0.05$), *no significant difference was detected in case of $p \geq p_{crit}$. U, upper teeth; L, lower teeth; f, facet; 3DST, surface texture parameter; Ft/ph, test statistics; p, level of significance; nu1/nu2/Df, degree of freedom; pl, lower 95% confidence interval; pu, upper 95% confidence interval; pc, critical significance level adjusted for family-wise error.

and fed on six different food categories only (see **Figure 1A**). As expected, diet breadth increased in adolescent and adult chimpanzees by adding four and six other food categories to their dietary repertoire, respectively.

Yet, in contrast to observations on eastern chimpanzees of the Mahale mountains (Matsumoto, 2017), leaf consumption was almost absent in infant Tai chimpanzees. Rather, they fed almost exclusively on fruits (fruit pulp and fruit pulp with seeds, see **Figure 1A**), as observed in eastern chimpanzees at Kanyawara (Smith et al., 2013). The infants' feeding time on the category "fruit pulp with seeds" includes mostly *Ficus* fruits, concurring with an intake of a large amount of small seeds (mainly 1–2 mm). Other than observed for the eastern chimpanzees of the Kanyawara community (Bray et al., 2018) the consumption of *Ficus* fruits decreased with age in our data set (see **Figure 1A**: "fruit pulp with seeds" among age groups). The discrepancies between our results and the findings of Bray et al. (2018) may be due to the fact that these authors counted the items per minute ingested while we calculated the feeding duration independently of ingested items. In addition, it needs to be considered that the feeding observation data for the infant Tai chimpanzees were based on two individuals only. The observation period of these individuals was limited to selected days in May, June and November, and May and June are the 2 months of the year where fruit availability is low in the Tai forest (Boesch and Boesch-Achermann, 2000). Nevertheless, during this time several species of figs are available and hence are the primary food source (Doran, 1997). This may have led to an overrepresentation of feeding time on figs in the feeding observations of infant Tai chimpanzees. During the rest of the year Tai chimpanzees consume a wider range of fruit species, independent of age (see **Supplemental Data Table S2**), while in the Kanyawara chimpanzees figs are among the

preferred fruit sources year round (Wrangham et al., 1993; Potts et al., 2009).

Furthermore, in infant Tai chimpanzees the feeding time on large seeds and insects was considerably low. This might be due to the fact that the permanent teeth with their larger occlusal area are still to erupt and therefore the ability to efficiently process large seeds is limited. Adolescents and adults increased their feeding time on such large seeds, but also on insects. Additionally, the feeding time on fruits (fruit pulp, fruit pulp with seeds), leaves and piths was similar in both age groups as also observed in adolescent and adult eastern chimpanzees (Bray et al., 2018).

Considering the plant genera and species consumed, phytolith-producing plants accounted for a considerable amount in the Tai chimpanzee's diet. Similar findings were reported by Power et al. (2015), who analyzed phytolith remains found on dental calculus of specimens of the same population, although these authors did not find any phytolith remains in individuals up to 3 years of age (corresponding to our "infants" group). Our analysis indicates that the consumption of phytolith-producing plants was highest in adolescents, followed by infants, while adults spent the least time feeding on such plants (see **Figure 1B**). One explanation for the discrepancies between the studies may be the preservation rate of different phytoliths (Cabanès and Shahack-Gross, 2015). Leaf and stem phytolith assemblages were found to be more stable than inflorescence phytoliths (Cabanès et al., 2011). In our data set, the calculated feeding time on phytolith-producing plants for infants arises almost exclusively from fruits, which were consumed shortly before the individual's death. Since these individuals were still nursed, the introduction of solid food containing phytoliths may date back only weeks or month before their death. It remains unclear whether during this short time period phytoliths would accumulate in the dental calculus sufficiently.




TABLE 3 | Factor loadings for the facet type comparisons given for each 3DST parameter and factors with eigenvalues ≥ 1 .

3DST	Upper facets		Lower facets		
	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3
<i>Sq</i>	0.89	0.37	0.90	0.07	0.38
<i>Sp</i>	0.69	0.56	0.88	0.44	-0.01
<i>Vv</i>	0.95	0.31	0.94	0.07	0.33
<i>Vmp</i>	0.82	0.30	0.93	0.15	-0.06
<i>Sdr</i>	0.20	0.98	0.37	0.90	0.22
<i>Str</i>	0.24	0.15	0.07	0.08	0.31
<i>Sal</i>	0.70	-0.45	0.36	-0.63	-0.01
<i>Sdq</i>	0.21	0.98	0.38	0.89	0.22
<i>Smc</i>	0.95	0.32	0.93	0.07	0.35
<i>Smr</i>	-0.91	-0.37	-0.88	-0.11	-0.36
<i>meh</i>	0.83	0.23	0.84	0.16	0.18
<i>madf</i>	0.47	0.62	0.61	0.36	0.50
<i>metf</i>	0.61	0.73	0.66	0.60	0.35

Chimpanzee data sets as presented in **Supplemental Data Table S1**. The specimen number (N) was 77 and 56 for upper and lower facets, respectively. Values in bold indicate interpretable loadings (≥ 0.7) (Budaev, 2010).

Age Groups (3DST)

We predicted that the 3DST pattern would vary among age groups depending on the amount of large hard objects (e.g., seeds, insect cuticles) consumed as well as on small abrasive particles (phytoliths) in their diet. However, the 3DST pattern was only affected by three of the 25 parameters investigated: (1) texture aspect ratio (*Str*) which is a measure of isotropy; (2) maximum peak height (*Sp*), and (3) peak-to-reference flatness deviation (*FLTp*). Nevertheless, our results reveal that phytoliths embedded in plant parts of several plant species (Piperno, 2006; Power et al., 2015) affect the 3DST in a greater way than large hard objects, such as seeds *per se* (see also above).

Previous studies showed that the consumption of seeds, considered as large hard particles, produce complex facet surfaces with features varying in size and density (Teaford

and Robinson, 1989; Lucas, 2004; Scott et al., 2006). Contrary to our prediction, variation in feeding time that age groups spent on seeds and fruit pulp with seeds did not affect texture complexity and volume. This indicates that variably sized hard objects (1–2 mm seeds vs. 2–4 cm seeds) may be of minor importance for 3DST formation. Moreover, the amount of seeds did not affect texture complexity either. Even though infant chimpanzees in our data set fed on fruit pulp containing many small seeds to around 50% of their time, they do not show higher complexity values than the other age groups. As mentioned above, the category “fruit pulp with seeds” includes mostly *Ficus* fruits, which contain many seeds. However, these seeds are embedded in soft and fibrous fruit pulp. This may reduce the probability of seeds getting crushed, and consequently reduce the probability that those seeds affect the 3DSTs. Nevertheless, our findings confirm the results of Ramdarshan et al. (2016) showing that the size of seeds does not correlate with microwear complexity values (Ramdarshan et al., 2016).

In addition to the above described millimeter-sized hard objects, it was shown that the consumption of micrometer-sized intrinsic abrasive particles (i.e., phytoliths) cause specific microscopic wear patterns of mainly fine scratches/valleys with an anisotropic (parallel) orientation (e.g., Solounias and Semprebon, 2002; Ungar et al., 2007). The differential effect of phytoliths on microwear signatures is also highlighted by the comparison between *P. troglodytes* and folivorous *Gorilla gorilla*. Leaves are often associated with high phytolith content, which is related to a high level of anisotropy (parallel-orientated features) in gorillas. In contrast, chimpanzees are found to have more isotropic orientated wear features as the result of the consumption of fruits which often contain less or no phytoliths (Piperno, 2006; Scott et al., 2012). An alternative explanation for the different microwear pattern between gorillas and chimpanzees might be that leaves need to be sheared which results in a more horizontal and often more controlled chewing movement, while feeding on fruits often requires a more vertical (crushing) chewing movement (Lucas, 2004). Consequently,




FIGURE 3 | Variation among age groups shown for selected 3DST parameters on upper teeth. **(A)** Represents the level of complexity, **(B)** the level of isotropy, **(C)** the maximum peak height and **(D)** the area of hills. Strip charts of 3DST parameters indicate data median = horizontal line, interquartile range = vertical line, and outlier = x, significant differences are indicated by asterisks (** $p < 0.01$).

a more horizontal movement promotes the formation of anisotropic features.

Although there is no chewing kinematics data to support this, it is likely that due to their dietary similarity all age groups engage in more vertical (crushing) chewing movements and less in horizontal (shearing) movements.

Even though previous studies suggested that phytoliths cause a microscopic wear pattern of many fine scratches/valleys with an anisotropic orientation (Walker et al., 1978; Solounias and Semprebon, 2002; Ungar et al., 2003; Scott et al., 2006; Schulz et al., 2013b), feature density (*Spd*, *medf*) did not differ among Taï chimpanzee age groups. However, we found more anisotropic (parallel) orientated texture features (*Str* values smaller than 0.5) in infants and adolescents compared to juveniles and adults (see **Table 2**). These differences are most evident between infants and adults, which can be distinguished by the amount of phytolith-producing plants in their diet (see **Figure 1B**). Juvenile Taï chimpanzees show, similar to adults, more isotropic orientated wear features, but we can only speculate if juveniles had a reduced consumption in phytolith-producing plants as well, since no feeding data were available for this age group. Juveniles are weaned but still mother dependent (pers. comm. Wittig). This leads to the suggestion that both, juveniles and their mothers, utilized the same feeding areas and food sharing may occur at least occasionally (Boesch, 1996), probably leading to a similar amount of phytolith-producing plants in their diet and consequently to a similar orientation of 3DSTs (see **Figure 3B**).

In addition to the higher level of isotropy in adults, indicating less phytoliths in the diet, we found shallower texture hills (smaller *Sp*, **Figure 3C** facet 3) than in infants as well as an indication for larger hill areas (larger *Sha*, **Figure 3D** facet 3) than in the other age groups. This is in line with the wear theory proposed by Kaiser et al. (2015), suggesting that a reduced concentration of abrasives results, among other things, in flatter 3DSTs.

Sex and Season Influence the Wear Pattern Stronger Than Age

Several studies reported on varying microwear patterns due to age, sex or seasonality (e.g., Gordon, 1982; Percher et al., 2018; Schulz-Kornas et al., 2019), which are mainly influenced by dietary variation but also by external factors (e.g., dust). For chimpanzees with a versatile diet (e.g., Hiraiwa-Hasegawa, 1990b; Tutin et al., 1991; Boesch and Boesch-Achermann, 2000; Morgan and Sanz, 2006) it raises the question as to whether the actual dietary variation of chimpanzees is too diverse (e.g., Tutin et al., 1991; Boesch and Boesch-Achermann, 2000; Morgan and Sanz, 2006; Pruetz, 2006) to reflect changes in single feeding categories in the 3DST pattern. Especially for the current data we believe, that for some feeding categories the feeding time is too short among age groups (see feeding time on leaves or seeds, **Figure 1A**) to be reflected in the 3DST parameters. It has been shown that texture signatures

caused by a low-abrasive (soft) diet accumulate over a longer period of time—that is to say, the overwriting of the signatures takes longer than in a high-abrasive diet (Schulz et al., 2013b). A hard or high-abrasive diet, on the other hand, causes a high rate of continuous overwriting and consequently plateaus would be interrupted (resulting in narrower hills) by newly forming valleys and dales in a shorter time period (Calandra et al., 2012). Eventually, this may lead to a mixed texture signal if the diet consists of a mixture of such hard and high-abrasive as well as low-abrasive diet, as seen in the Tāi chimpanzees.

Recent work has shown that different dietary preferences among male and female adult Tāi chimpanzees correspond to differences in their 3DST patterns (Schulz-Kornas et al., 2019). Males tend to consume more meat, while females consume more nuts (Boesch and Boesch-Achermann, 2000). This results in 3DST patterns with more and deeper valleys for males and more plateaus for females (Schulz-Kornas et al., 2019). If such feeding preferences manifest already in younger individuals (older than 4 years of age), when the young chimpanzees efficiently start to crack nuts (Boesch and Boesch-Achermann, 2000), the sex-based signal may be reflected more strongly in the 3DST pattern than the ontogenetic signal. Another interesting point is that breastfeeding females were observed to have varying access to feeding places depending on their dominance rank (Boesch, 1997). Consequently, the diet among infants may also vary based on their mother's dominance rank. Compared to other chimpanzee populations, the Tāi chimpanzees associate with each other more frequently (Boesch and Boesch-Achermann, 2000). This includes food sharing or feeding in larger groups (parties). Feeding parties are generally larger during the rainy period than during the dry period (Boesch and Boesch-Achermann, 2000), which is partly related to fruit availability. During ripe fruit scarcity (mainly in June and July) parties become smaller and chimpanzees become more solitary (Boesch and Boesch-Achermann, 2000). These behavioral observations may promote similarities in the 3DST pattern among age groups, but also between sexes or single individuals, at least seasonally.

Another seasonal factor that occurs during the dry period is the accumulation of dust particles on food plants in the Tāi forest (Ivory Coast) due to a seasonal occurring trade wind (Stoorvogel et al., 1997; Adhvaru et al., 2016). Although dust originating from the same trade-wind has been contested as the major cause for microwear in neighboring Ghana (Merceron et al., 2016), seasonal variation in dust accumulation plays a fundamental role in microwear formation of the Tāi chimpanzees (Schulz-Kornas et al., 2019). The findings of Schulz-Kornas et al. (2019) support previous suggestions, that dust abrades teeth at even relatively low loads (Lucas et al., 2013; van Casteren et al., 2018). In the present analysis we can exclude the possibility that our results are biased by dust accumulation because the 3DST parameters suggesting age group differences (*Str*, *Sp*, *FLT_p*) were previously shown to be unaffected by seasonality in the same population (Schulz-Kornas et al., 2019). Furthermore, we analyzed age groups using two data sets (data set 1 =

specimens died during wet and dry periods, data set 2 = specimens died during wet periods only), which revealed similar 3DST results.

CONCLUSION

Understanding 3DST formation patterns and their relationship to diet and functional or morphological (e.g., enamel hardness) characteristics is essential for reconstructing the feeding ecology of a species, a population or among individuals. We found no differences between dp4 and M1 within the same specimens, leading to the suggestion that both tooth types can safely be combined in microscopic tooth wear studies. Furthermore, we found some evidence that the proportion of time spent feeding on phytolith-producing plants has a larger influence on 3DST than large hard objects, such as seeds. However, based on our and the findings of Schulz-Kornas et al. (2019) we conclude that within the Tāi chimpanzees 3DST pattern does not explicitly reflect ontogenetic dietary changes. Rather, other factors, such as individual or sex-based feeding habits as well as seasonal variation in dust accumulation may be of greater importance for 3D surface texture formation. Certainly, it needs to be investigated in future studies whether the assumptions we made for the Tāi chimpanzees can be affirmed for other chimpanzee populations. This will provide information about whether these findings are population-specific or not. To reduce the possibility of additional factors that might influence the microwear pattern the same subspecies should be tested, ideally one which undergoes similar seasonal changes as the Tāi chimpanzees. Addressing this issue in future studies may facilitate interpretations about dietary habits and/or environmental conditions in specimens where no behavioral or ecological data are available.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data (feeding observation and surface texture) supporting the findings of this study are accessible through the open access data repository of the Max Planck Society (<https://dx.doi.org/10.17617/3.2q>).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ES-K, JS, and KK designed the study. JS, ES-K, and RW collected the data. JS and ES-K analyzed the data. JS wrote the paper with input from the other co-authors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche Scientifique and the Ministère de Eaux et Forêts in Côte d'Ivoire, and the Office Ivoirien des Parcs et Réserves for their permission to conduct the long-term observation of the Tāi chimpanzees. We are grateful to Christophe Boesch (DP, MPI-EVA) and Jean-Jacques Hublin (DHE, MPI-EVA) for granting us access to the skeletal collection at the MPI-EVA. We also

thank the field staff of the Tai Chimpanzee Project for collecting the feeding observation data of the chimpanzees in the field, and Luke Premo (Washington State University) for constructive comments and correction of English language. This research was supported by the Max-Planck-Society.

REFERENCES

- Adhvaryu, A., Bharadwaj, P., Fenske, J., Nyshadham, A., and Stanley, R. (2016). *Dust and Death: Evidence from the West African Harmattan*. The Centre for the Study of African Economies (CSAE). Cambridge: University of Oxford.
- Basabose, A. K. (2002). Diet composition of chimpanzees inhabiting the montane forest of Kahuzi, Democratic Republic of Congo. *Am. J. Primatol.* 58, 1–21. doi: 10.1002/ajp.10049
- Becquet, C., Patterson, N., Stone, A. C., Przeworski, M., and Reich, D. (2007). Genetic structure of chimpanzee populations. *PLoS Genet.* 3:e66. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0030066
- Bengtsson, H. (2015). *R. utils: Various Programming Utilities*. R package, version 2.4.0.
- Boesch, C. (1994). Cooperative hunting in wild chimpanzees. *Anim. Behav.* 48, 653–667. doi: 10.1006/anbe.1994.1285
- Boesch, C. (1996). “Social grouping in Tai chimpanzees,” in *Great Ape Societies*, eds W. McGrew, L. Marchant, and T. Nishida (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press), 101–113.
- Boesch, C. (1997). Evidence for dominant wild female chimpanzees investing more in sons. *Anim. Behav.* 54, 811–815. doi: 10.1006/anbe.1996.0510
- Boesch, C., and Boesch, H. (1989). Hunting behavior of wild chimpanzees in the Tai National Park. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 78, 547–573. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.1330780410
- Boesch, C., and Boesch-Achermann, H. (2000). *The Chimpanzees of the Tai Forest: Behavioural Ecology and Evolution*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bogart, S. L., and Pruetz, J. D. (2011). Insectivory of savanna chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes verus*) at Fongoli, Senegal. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 145, 11–20. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.21452
- Bray, J., Emery Thompson, M., Muller, M. N., Wrangham, R. W., and Machanda, Z. P. (2018). The development of feeding behavior in wild chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii*). *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 165, 34–46. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.23325
- Budaev, S. V. (2010). Using principal components and factor analysis in animal behaviour research: caveats and guidelines. *Ethology* 116, 472–480. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0310.2010.01758.x
- Cabanes, D., and Shahack-Gross, R. (2015). Understanding fossil phytolith preservation: the role of partial dissolution in paleoecology and archaeology. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0125532. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0125532
- Cabanes, D., Weiner, S., and Shahack-Gross, R. (2011). Stability of phytoliths in the archaeological record: a dissolution study of modern and fossil phytoliths. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 38, 2480–2490. doi: 10.1016/j.jas.2011.05.020
- Calandra, I., Schulz, E., Pinnow, M., Krohn, S., and Kaiser, T. M. (2012). Teasing apart the contributions of hard dietary items on 3D dental microtextures in primates. *J. Hum. Evol.* 63, 85–98. doi: 10.1016/j.jhevol.2012.05.001
- Cardoso, C. A. B., Magalhães, A. C., Rios, D., and Lima, J. E. O. (2009). Cross-sectional hardness of enamel from human teeth at different post-eruptive ages. *Caries Res.* 43, 491–494. doi: 10.1159/000264687
- Chajewski, M. (2009). *rela: Scale Item Analysis*. R Packag. version 4.
- Conklin-Brittain, N. L., Knott, C. D., and Wrangham, R. W. (2006). “Energy intake by wild chimpanzees and orangutans: methodological considerations and a preliminary comparison,” in *Feeding Ecology in Apes and Other Primates*, eds G. Hohmann, M. M. Robbins, and C. Boesch (New York, NY: Cambridge University Press), 445–471.
- Covert, H. H., and Kay, R. F. (1981). Dental microwear and diet: implications for determining the feeding behaviors of extinct primates, with a comment on the dietary pattern of *Sivapithecus*. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 55, 331–336. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.1330550307
- Crompton, A. W., and Hiemae, K. (1969). How mammalian molar teeth work. *Discovery* 5, 23–34.
- Doran, D. (1997). Influence of seasonality on activity patterns, feeding behavior, ranging, and grouping patterns in Tai chimpanzees. *Int. J. Primatol.* 18, 183–206. doi: 10.1023/A:1026368518431
- Dragulescu, A. A. (2012). *xlsx: Read, Write, Format Excel 2007 and Excel 97. 2000/XP/2003 files* (R package version 042).
- Dutton, P., and Chapman, H. (2015). Dietary preferences of a submontane population of the rare Nigerian-Cameroon chimpanzee (*Pan troglodytes ellioti*) in Ngel Nyaki Forest Reserve, Nigeria. *Am. J. Primatol.* 77, 86–97. doi: 10.1002/ajp.22313
- Fahy, G. E., Richards, M., Riedel, J., Hublin, J.-J., and Boesch, C. (2013). Stable isotope evidence of meat eating and hunting specialization in adult male chimpanzees. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 5829–5833. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1221991110
- Fahy, G. E., Richards, M. P., Fuller, B. T., Deschner, T., Hublin, J., and Boesch, C. (2014). Stable nitrogen isotope analysis of dentine serial sections elucidate sex differences in weaning patterns of wild chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*). *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 153, 635–642. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.22464
- Fanning, R. J., Shaw, J. H., and Sognaes, R. F. (1954). Salivary contribution to enamel maturation and caries resistance. *J. Am. Dent. Assoc.* 49, 668–671. doi: 10.14219/jada.archive.1954.0197
- Gordon, K. D. (1982). A study of microwear on chimpanzee molars: implications for dental microwear analysis. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 59, 195–215. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.1330590208
- Gordon, K. D. (1984). The assessment of jaw movement direction from dental microwear. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 63, 77–84. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.1330630110
- Hiraiwa-Hasegawa, M. (1990a). “A note on the ontogeny of feeding,” in *The Chimpanzees of Mahale Mountains*, ed N. Toshisada (Tokyo: Tokyo University Press), 277–283.
- Hiraiwa-Hasegawa, M. (1990b). “Role of food sharing between mother and infant in the ontogeny of feeding behavior,” in *The Chimpanzees of Mahale Mountains*, ed N. Toshisada (Tokyo: University Press), 267–275.
- Hochberg, Y. (1988). A sharper Bonferroni procedure for multiple tests of significance. *Biometrika* 75, 800–802. doi: 10.1093/biomet/75.4.800
- Højsgaard, S., Halekoh, U., Robinson-Cox, J., Wright, K., and Leidi, A. (2012). *doBy: Groupwise Summary Statistics, General Linear Contrasts, Population Means (Least-Square-Means), and Other Utilities*. R package version 4.5-3.
- International Organization for Standardization (2011). *ISO 12781-1-Geometrical Product Specifications (GPS)-Flatness-Part 1: Vocabulary and Parameters of Flatness*. ISO.
- International Organization for Standardization (2012). *ISO 25178-2-Geometrical Product Specifications (GPS)-Surface Texture: Areal-Part 2: Terms, Definitions and Surface Texture Parameters*. ISO.
- Kaiser, T. M., Clauss, M., and Schulz-Kornas, E. (2015). A set of hypotheses on tribology of mammalian herbivore teeth. *Surf. Topogr. Metrol. Prop.* 4:14003. doi: 10.1088/2051-672X/4/1/014003
- Kay, R. F. (1977). The evolution of molar occlusion in the Cercopithecidae and early catarrhines. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 46, 327–352. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.1330460213
- Kay, R. F., and Hiemae, K. M. (1974). Jaw movement and tooth use in recent and fossil primates. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 40, 227–256. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.1330400210
- Krueger, K. L., Scott, J. R., Kay, R. F., and Ungar, P. S. (2008). Technical note: dental microwear textures of “Phase I” and “Phase II” facets. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 137, 485–490. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.20928
- Lucas, P. W. (2004). *Dental Functional Morphology: How Teeth Work*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fevo.2019.00298/full#supplementary-material>

- Lucas, P. W., Omar, R., Al-Fadhlah, K., Almusallam, A. S., Henry, A. G., Michael, S., et al. (2013). Mechanisms and causes of wear in tooth enamel: implications for hominin diets. *J. R. Soc. Interface* 10, 20120923–20120923. doi: 10.1098/rsif.2012.0923
- Maier, W., and Schneck, G. (1981). Konstruktionsmorphologische Untersuchungen am Gebiß der hominoiden Primaten. *Z. Morphol. Anthropol.* 72, 127–169.
- Matsumoto, T. (2017). Developmental changes in feeding behaviors of infant chimpanzees at Mahale, Tanzania: implications for nutritional independence long before cessation of nipple contact. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 163, 356–366. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.23212
- Mercader, J., Runge, F., Vrydaghs, L., Doutrelepon, H., Ewango, C. E. N., and Juan-Tresseras, J. (2000). Phytoliths from archaeological sites in the tropical forest of Ituri, Democratic Republic of Congo. *Quat. Res.* 54, 102–112. doi: 10.1006/qres.2000.2150
- Merceron, G., Ramdarshan, A., Blondel, C., Boisserie, J.-R., Brunetiere, N., Francisco, A., et al. (2016). Untangling the environmental from the dietary: dust does not matter. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 283:20161032. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2016.1032
- Morgan, D., and Sanz, C. (2006). “Chimpanzee feeding ecology and comparisons with sympatric gorillas in the Goulougo Triangle, Republic of Congo,” in *Feeding Ecology in Apes and Other Primates*, eds H. Gottfried, R. M. Martha, and B. Christophe (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press), 97–122.
- Morin, P. A., Moore, J. J., Chakraborty, R., Jin, L., Goodall, J., and Woodruff, D. S. (1994). Kin selection, social structure, gene flow, and the evolution of chimpanzees. *Science* 265, 1193–1201. doi: 10.1126/science.7915048
- Nystrom, P., Phillips-Conroy, J. E., and Jolly, C. J. (2004). Dental microwear in anubis and hybrid baboons (*Papio hamadryas*, sensu lato) living in Awash National Park, Ethiopia. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 125, 279–291. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.10274
- Oates, J. F., Dunn, A., Greengrass, E., and Morgan, B. J. (2008). *Pan troglodytes ssp. ellioti*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2015.2.2015.
- Percher, A. M., Merceron, G., Nsi Akoue, G., Galbany, J., Romero, A., and Charpentier, M. J. E. (2018). Dental microwear textural analysis as an analytical tool to depict individual traits and reconstruct the diet of a primate. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 165, 123–138. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.23337
- Pérez, B., Romero, A., and Pérez-Pérez, A. (2011). Age-related variability in buccal dental-microwear in Middle and Upper Pleistocene human populations. *Anthropol. Rev.* 74, 25–37. doi: 10.2478/v10044-010-0005-0
- Piperno, D. R. (2006). *Phytoliths: A Comprehensive Guide for Archaeologists and Paleoecologists*. Oxford: Rowman Altamira.
- Poorter, L. (2004). *Biodiversity of West African Forests: An Ecological Atlas of Woody Plant Species*. Oxon; Cambridge: CABI.
- Potts, K. B., Chapman, C. A., and Lwanga, J. S. (2009). Floristic heterogeneity between forested sites in Kibale National Park, Uganda: insights into the fine-scale determinants of density in a large-bodied frugivorous primate. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 78, 1269–1277. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2656.2009.01578.x
- Power, R. C., Salazar-García, D. C., Wittig, R. M., Freiberg, M., and Henry, A. G. (2015). Dental calculus evidence of Taï Forest Chimpanzee plant consumption and life history transitions. *Sci. Rep.* 5:15161. doi: 10.1038/srep15161
- Pruetz, J. D. (2006). “Feeding ecology of savanna chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes verus*) at Fongoli, Senegal,” in *Feeding Ecology in Apes and Other Primates*, eds H. Gottfried, R. M. Martha, and B. Christophe (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press), 161–182.
- Pruetz, J. D., and Bertolani, P. (2007). Savanna chimpanzees, *Pan troglodytes verus*, hunt with tools. *Curr. Biol.* 17, 412–417. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2006.12.042
- R Development Core Team (2017). *A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available online at: <https://www.r-project.org/>
- Ramdarshan, A., Blondel, C., Brunetiere, N., Francisco, A., Gautier, D., Surault, J., et al. (2016). Seeds, browse, and tooth wear: a sheep perspective. *Ecol. Evol.* 6, 5559–5569. doi: 10.1002/ece3.2241
- Romero, A., Galbany, J., De Juan, J., and Pérez-Pérez, A. (2012). Brief communication: Short- and long-term *in vivo* human buccal-dental microwear turnover. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 148, 467–472. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.22054
- Schulz, E., Calandra, I., and Kaiser, T. M. (2010). Applying tribology to teeth of hoofed mammals. *Scanning* 32, 162–182. doi: 10.1002/sca.20181
- Schulz, E., Calandra, I., and Kaiser, T. M. (2013a). Feeding ecology and chewing mechanics in hoofed mammals: 3D tribology of enamel wear. *Wear* 300, 169–179. doi: 10.1016/j.wear.2013.01.115
- Schulz, E., Piotrowski, V., Clauss, M., Mau, M., Merceron, G., and Kaiser, T. M. (2013b). Dietary abrasiveness is associated with variability of microwear and dental surface texture in rabbits. *PLoS ONE* 8:e56167. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0056167
- Schulz-Kornas, E., Stuhlträger, J., Clauss, M., Wittig, R. M., and Kupczik, K. (2019). Dust affects chewing efficiency and tooth wear in forest dwelling western chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes verus*). *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 169, 66–77. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.23808
- Scott, J. R., Godfrey, L. R., Jungers, W. L., Scott, R. S., Simons, E. L., Teaford, M. F., et al. (2009). Dental microwear texture analysis of two families of subfossil lemurs from Madagascar. *J. Hum. Evol.* 56, 405–416. doi: 10.1016/j.jhevol.2008.11.003
- Scott, R. S., Teaford, M. F., and Ungar, P. S. (2012). Dental microwear texture and anthropoid diets. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 147, 551–579. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.22007
- Scott, R. S., Ungar, P. S., Bergstrom, T. S., Brown, C. A., Childs, B. E., Teaford, M. F., et al. (2006). Dental microwear texture analysis: technical considerations. *J. Hum. Evol.* 51, 339–349. doi: 10.1016/j.jhevol.2006.04.006
- Scott, R. S., Ungar, P. S., Bergstrom, T. S., Brown, C. A., Grine, F. E., Teaford, M. F., et al. (2005). Dental microwear texture analysis shows within-species diet variability in fossil hominins. *Nature* 436, 693–695. doi: 10.1038/nature03822
- Smith, T. M., Machanda, Z., Bernard, A. B., Donovan, R. M., Papakyrikos, A. M., Muller, M. N., et al. (2013). First molar eruption, weaning, and life history in living wild chimpanzees. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 110, 2787–2791. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1218746110
- Soloumias, N., and Semperebon, G. (2002). Advances in the reconstruction of ungulate ecomorphology with application to early fossil equids. *Am. Museum Novit.* 2002, 1–49. doi: 10.1206/0003-0082(2002)366<0001:AITROU>2.0.CO;2
- Stoorvogel, J. J., Van Breemen, N., and Jassen, B. H. (1997). The nutrient input by Harmattan dust to a forest ecosystem in Cote d'Ivoire, Africa. *Biogeochemistry* 37, 145–157. doi: 10.1023/A:1005734225727
- Strait, S. G. (1993). Molar microwear in extant small-bodied faunivorous mammals: an analysis of feature density and pit frequency. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 92, 63–79. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.1330920106
- Swindler, D. R. (2002). *Primate Dentition: An Introduction to the Teeth of Non-Human Primates*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Teaford, M. F., and Oyen, O. J. (1989). *In vivo* and *in vitro* turnover in dental microwear. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 80, 447–460. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.1330800405
- Teaford, M. F., and Robinson, J. G. (1989). Seasonal or ecological differences in diet and molar microwear in *Cebus nigrivittatus*. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 80, 391–401. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.1330800312
- Tutin, C. E. G., and Fernandez, M. (1993). Composition of the diet of chimpanzees and comparisons with that of sympatric lowland gorillas in the Lopé Reserve, Gabon. *Am. J. Primatol.* 30, 195–211. doi: 10.1002/ajp.1350300305
- Tutin, C. E. G., Fernandez, M., Rogers, M. E., Williamson, E. A., and McGrew, W. C. (1991). Foraging profiles of sympatric lowland gorillas and chimpanzees in the Lopé Reserve, Gabon. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 334, 179–186. doi: 10.1098/rstb.1991.0107
- Tutin, C. E. G., Ham, R. M., White, L. J. T., and Harrison, M. J. S. (1997). The primate community of the Lopé Reserve, Gabon: diets, responses to fruit scarcity, and effects on biomass. *Am. J. Primatol.* 42, 1–24. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1098-2345(1997)42:1<1::AID-AJPI>3.0.CO;2-0
- Uehara, S. (1990). “A preliminary report on age differences in plant-feeding behaviors among adult and adolescent males,” in *The Chimpanzees of the Mahale Mountains*, ed N. Toshisada (Tokyo: University of Tokyo Press), 223–236.
- Ungar, P. S., Brown, C. A., Bergstrom, T. S., and Walker, A. (2003). Quantification of dental microwear by tandem scanning confocal microscopy and scale-sensitive fractal analyses. *Scanning* 25, 185–193. doi: 10.1002/sca.4950250405
- Ungar, P. S., Merceron, G., and Scott, R. S. (2007). Dental microwear texture analysis of Varswater bovids and early Pliocene paleoenvironments of

- Langebaanweg, Western Cape Province, South Africa. *J. Mamm. Evol.* 14, 163–181. doi: 10.1007/s10914-007-9050-x
- van Casteren, A., Lucas, P. W., Strait, D. S., Michael, S., Bierwisch, N., Schwarzer, N., et al. (2018). Evidence that metallic proxies are unsuitable for assessing the mechanics of microwear formation and a new theory of the meaning of microwear. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* 5:171699. doi: 10.1098/rsos.171699
- Walker, A., Hoeck, H. N., and Perez, L. (1978). Microwear of mammalian teeth as an indicator of diet. *Science* 201, 908–910. doi: 10.1126/science.684415
- Wilson, D. E., and Reeder, D. M. (2005). *Mammal Species of the World: A Taxonomic and Geographic Reference*. 3rd Edn. Baltimore: JHU Press.
- Wittig, R. M. (2018). “Tai chimpanzees,” in *Encyclopedia of Animal Cognition and Behavior*, eds V. Jennifer and S. Todd (Cham: Springer International Publishing), 1–7. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-47829-6_1564-1
- Wrangham, R. W., Conklin, N. L., Etot, G., Obua, J., Hunt, K. D., Hauser, M. D., et al. (1993). The value of figs to chimpanzees. *Int. J. Primatol.* 14, 243–256. doi: 10.1007/BF02192634

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Stuhlträger, Schulz-Kornas, Wittig and Kupczik. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.