Phylogenetic Affiliation and Quantification of Psychrophilic Sulfate-Reducing Isolates in Marine Arctic Sediments
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Thirteen psychrophilic sulfate-reducing isolates from two permanently cold fjords of the Arctic island Spitsbergen (Hornsund and Storfjord) were phylogenetically analyzed. They all belonged to the δ subclass of Proteobacteria and were widely distributed within this group, indicating that psychrophy is a polyphyletic property. A new 16S rRNA-directed oligonucleotide probe was designed against the largest coherent cluster of these isolates. The new probe, as well as a set of available probes, was applied in rRNA slot blot hybridization to investigate the composition of the sulfate-reducing bacterial community in the sediments. rRNA related to the new cluster of incompletely oxidizing, psychrophilic isolates made up 1.4 to 20.9% of eubacterial rRNA at Storfjord and 0.6 to 3.5% of eubacterial rRNA at Hornsund. This group was the second-most-abundant group of sulfate reducers at these sites. Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis and hybridization analysis showed bands identical to those produced by our isolates. The data indicate that the psychrophilic isolates are quantitatively important in Svalbard sediments.

Low environmental temperatures characterize the habitat of many prokaryotes living in marine sediments, since 90% of the sea floor has a temperature of less than 4°C (15). While prokaryotic activity is commonly found to be lower during cold seasons in temperate environments (for a review, see reference 23), the current data suggest that in permanently cold habitats bacterial activity is comparable to that in temperate environments at the respective ambient temperature (2, 9, 23, 27). Arnosti et al. (2) determined the temperature dependence of microbial degradation of organic matter and showed that carbon turnover in the cold Arctic is not intrinsically slower than in temperate environments. Also, Sagemann et al. (27) and Glud et al. (9) found rates of sulfate reduction and benthic carbon mineralization in Arctic sediments to be comparable to those in temperate or even tropical sediments. Optimal temperatures for polysaccharide hydrolysis, oxygen consumption (2), and sulfate reduction (27) in permanently cold sediments were significantly higher than the ambient temperature; however, the relative activity at a low in situ temperature compared to optimum activity was generally higher than in samples from temperate habitats. These observations indicate that the bacterial community in these Arctic sediments is adapted to cold temperature. However, little is known about the diversity and composition of prokaryotic communities in cold marine sediments; only a few cold-adapted psychrophilic isolates from these environments have been studied so far (for a review, see reference 26). In addition, few cultivation-independent studies have been conducted in these habitats (28).

The aims of our project, conducted in the context of the above-mentioned studies, were to characterize the sulfate-reducing bacterial community of permanently cold habitats and to quantify the abundance of psychrophilic sulfate reducers. We chose two sites, off the coast of Spitsbergen (Hornsund and Storfjord), which are never exposed to temperatures higher than 3°C. We concentrated on sulfate-reducing prokaryotes because sulfate reduction is a major process of carbon mineralization in marine sediments (11). A set of probes is available for the main phylogenetic groups of gram-negative mesophilic sulfate reducers (7), and the different phylogenetic groups can be defined by distinct physiological features (35, 36). In a related study, most probable number (MPN) counts were determined and psychrophilic sulfate reducers were isolated to enumerate and identify the sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) (12). A new oligonucleotide probe was designed to target the largest cluster of these isolates. This newly developed probe, along with an established set of probes, was applied to quantify sulfate reducer rRNA in the sediment. The presence of the isolates was further evaluated by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) analysis. The results of this study will be discussed in relation to data from a 16S ribosomal DNA (rDNA) clone library presented in an accompanying paper (22).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site and sampling procedure. Our study was conducted as part of a research cruise in the Arctic Sea from Tromsø (northern Norway) to Spitsbergen (Arctic Ocean) in September and October of 1995. Sediments from two different stations (Hornsund [76°58.2′N, 15°34.5′E] and Storfjord [77°33.0′N, 19°05.5′E]) were investigated. In situ temperatures and depths were 2.6°C and 155 m for Hornsund and ∼1.7°C and 175 m for Storfjord. Sediment samples were collected with a multicorer. Samples for MPN dilutions (12) and molecular analysis were taken from the same core. The individual subcores (our replicates A and B) derived from two different multicorer cores. The sediments were anoxic below a depth of approximately 8 mm (9). Five distinct vertical horizons of 2 to 3 cm in thickness were sectioned from the upper 30 cm of each core. The sediment of each section was carefully homogenized, and subsamples of 1 or 2 cm2 were immediately frozen in liquid N2.

DNA extraction and amplification of 16S rDNA. After three cycles of freezing and thawing, DNAs were extracted directly by the method of Zhou et al. (38), which is based on lysis with a high-salt extraction buffer and extended heating in the presence of sodium dodecyl sulfate and hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide. Lysis efficiency was checked by DAPI (4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) staining. In general, at least 90 to 96% of the cells were lysed. The DNA could be used for PCR without further purification. Primers GMSclamp (Escherichia coli positions 341 to 357) and 907R (19) were used to amplify variable regions V3 to V5 of the 16S rRNA in a touchdown PCR, as described by Buchholz-Cleven et al. (5). To amplify the nearly complete 16S rDNA, primers 8F and 1492R (5) were used in a 35-cycle PCR with an annealing temperature of 40°C. Bovine serum albumin (final concentration, 3 mg ml−1) was added routinely to the PCR mixtures to prevent interference by humic acids (24).
specificities are shown in Fig. 1. 

Membranes were prehybridized at 40°C and washed at different temperatures depending on the dissociation temperature (TD) of the probe as follows: 54°C (EUB338 [1]), 45°C (687 [7]), 59°C (660 [7]), 46°C (804 [7]), or 52°C (Sval428). The TD for probe Sval428 was determined according to the method of Raskin et al. (21), with rRNA from strain LSv20 being used as one mismatch control. Intensity of hybridization signal was measured with a PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics) and quantified as described by Sahm et al. (29) with the program ImageQuant (Molecular Dynamics). rRNA isolated from Desulfovibrio salexigens (DSM 2638), Desulfobulbus elongatus (DSM 2988), Desulfococcus multivorans (DSM 2059), and strain LSv23 served as standards for hybridization with the probes specific for sulfate reducers.

DGGE and Southern hybridization analysis. DGGE was performed on a D-Genie system (Bio-Rad, Munich, Germany) as described previously (17, 18). PCR products were analyzed directly on a 1-mm-thick 6% polyacrylamide gel containing a denaturing gradient from 20 to 80%. Electrophoresis with 1× TAE buffer (40 mM Tris-acetate, 1 mM EDTA [pH 8]) was performed at 100 V for 20 h. After electrophoresis, the gels were stained in ethidium bromide and photographed on a UV transilluminator. DGGE gels were blotted onto nylon membranes via electroblotting as described by Muyzer et al. (18). Hybridization analysis was performed with probe Sval428 by the protocol described by Santegoeds et al. (30). The probe was end labeled with [γ-32P]ATP, and the membrane was hybridized at 40°C overnight. Stringent washes were performed in 2× SSC (1× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium citrate)−0.1% (wt/vol) sodium dodecyl sulfate at the previously determined TD of 56°C. The TD of the probe was determined by the method described by Raskin et al. (21) for DNA and RNA targets. The hybridized membranes were sealed in plastic bags and exposed for 1 to 7 days on an X-ray film or a PhosphorImager screen.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phylogenetic affiliation of isolates. Psychrophilic sulfate reducers isolated from MPN enrichments (12) were phylogenetically analyzed by 16S rDNA sequencing. All isolates belonged...
TABLE 1. Recovered SRB rRNAs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Depth interval (cm)</th>
<th>Total SRB rRNA recovered (ng per cm² of sediment) from core:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornsund</td>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3–6</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8–11</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15–18</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25–28</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storfjord</td>
<td>0–3</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3–6</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7–10</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17–20</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27–30</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2. Relative contributions of different probe target groups to the total eubacterial rRNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Depth interval (cm)</th>
<th>% of total bacterial rRNA (core A/core B)</th>
<th>% of Sval428-specific SRB (mean)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hornsund</td>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>0.6/1.1</td>
<td>0.6/1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3–6</td>
<td>0.7/2.5</td>
<td>0.7/2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8–11</td>
<td>0.6/3.5</td>
<td>0.6/3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15–18</td>
<td>0.3/2.6</td>
<td>0.3/2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25–28</td>
<td>0.0/1.9</td>
<td>0.0/1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storfjord</td>
<td>0–3</td>
<td>1.0/1.4</td>
<td>1.0/1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3–6</td>
<td>2.0/3.5</td>
<td>2.0/3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7–10</td>
<td>3.0/4.4</td>
<td>3.0/4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17–20</td>
<td>11.0/12.2</td>
<td>11.0/12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27–30</td>
<td>20.0/20.4</td>
<td>20.0/20.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
era *Desulfobacterium*, *Desulfooccus*, and *Desulfoarcaea*. The occurrence of these groups may reflect the input of a wide variety of carbon sources in coastal zone habitats close to the mainland. Our study, in contrast, was conducted in a remote, sparsely populated region. Data on the amount and type of biologically available carbon sources would be necessary to determine the relationship between substrates and the occurrence of specific groups of SRB.

A second group of complete oxidizers, namely, those that grow readily on acetate, is of special interest in sediments. These bacteria belong to the genus *Desulfobacter*, like strain ASv25, or to the gram-positive genus *Desulfotomaculum*. Although acetate is hypothesized to be one of the major carbon sources for SRB in marine sediments (20, 31), we could not detect *Desulfobacter* sp. rRNA in our samples. Boschker et al. (3) showed recently that addition of [13C]acetate to an intertidal sediment led to an incorporation of label in polar-lipid-derived fatty acids typical of *Desulfotomaculum acetoxidans*. An rRNA probe for *Desulfotomaculum* sp. is not yet available. Neither cultivation (12) nor clone library (22) data indicate the presence of *Desulfotomaculum* sp., but the use of a specific probe is needed to further investigate their role in acetate oxidation in marine sediments.

The major group of SRB was the *Desulfovibrio* or *Geobacteraceae* cluster (probe 687), which in the deeper zones accounted for up to 8.6 and 12.9% of the RNA at Hornsund (15 to 18 cm) and for 36.0 and 20.4% at Storfjord (27 to 30 cm) (Table 2); however, no *Desulfovibrio* were isolated from MPN cultures (12). We took this as an indication that the detected RNA might be coming from organisms of the *Geobacteraceae* group. A clone library established for Hornsund sediment samples (see the accompanying publication [22]) further supported this theory. Of all clones screened, 46 (13%) gave a positive signal with probe 687. Diversity within this group was very low, with one phylotype being represented by 39 clones and six additional phylotypes being represented by only one or two clones (22). All belonged to the family *Geobacteraceae* and were most closely related to *Desulfuromonas palmitatis*. Species of the genus *Desulfuromonas* belong to the β subclass of *Proteobacteria* and are able to completely oxidize acetate via reduction of sulfur (37). Since completely oxidizing genera of sulfate reducers (804 target group) were below the detection limit, the high abundance of sequences related to *Desulfuromonas* sp. in the clone library might indicate that acetate is mineralized by sulfur reducers in these sediments; however, the phylogenetic distance between the clones and *Desulfuromonas palmitatis* is so large (6.3%) that we can only speculate on the possible physiological properties of this group until pure cultures have been isolated. Sequence information derived from the clone library will enable us now to design a specific probe for this 687-positive clone group and investigate its actual abundance. Furthermore, the phylogenetic affiliation might help to choose selective culture conditions.

RNA related to the genus *Desulfosulfohalobus* (probe 660) was present in small amounts in both stations, with relative contributions varying between 0.5 and 2.7%. Clone library data suggest at least one additional group of SRB not targeted by our probes. This group is related to *Desulfobulbus* sp. and to isolate LSv55 and represents 6.5% of the clone library (22). A new specific probe is being developed to investigate the abundance of these isolates in the sediment.

**Occurrence of the new psychrophilic isolates.** The *Desulfo- talleu* cluster (probe 428), containing many psychrophilic isolates, was the second largest group among the detected sulfate reducers. In Storfjord, up to 15.0 and 20.9% (27 to 30 cm) of
the eubacterial RNA was related to our isolates (Table 2). To estimate whether potentially dominant strains of the target group had been isolated, DGGE and Southern hybridization with probe Sval428 were performed on community DNAs. Positions of the hybridization signals within the community pattern were compared to the positions of amplified 16S rDNAs from isolates belonging to this group (Fig. 2). In both stations, we could detect several positive bands. One of them had the same position as isolates LSv23, LSv24, and LSv53 (Fig. 2). These isolates are closely related, showing three to four bases’ difference within the amplified DNA fragment, and cannot be distinguished by DGGE. The presence of additional positive bands showed that there are at least three additional RNA species of this group present in Hornsund (Fig. 2) and one in Storfjord (data not shown) sediments. The high abundance of Desulfotalea-related rRNAs and the identification of bands corresponding to isolates in the community DNA profile demonstrate that a quantitatively important group of sulfate reducers was isolated from Svalbard sediment. In addition, this group was the second most abundant of the detected SRB (Table 2). Since it is doubtful that the most abundant SRB group, target group 687, is really a group of sulfate reducers, the Desulfotalea cluster, containing mainly psychrophilic strains, may even be the most abundant of SRB. This observation relates to the question of whether the bacterial community in Arctic sediments consists of cold-adapted prokaryotes. Our results show that a major group of SRB in this habitat is psychrophilic.

In the present study, we showed that psychrophilic SRB are related to mesophilic strains and are probably phylogenetically diverse as the mesophiles. A new group of psychrophilic sulfate-reducing isolates is abundant in their source sediments. Their abundance suggests that they may play a previously unrecognized role in the sulfur cycle of marine sediments.
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