Falloff Curves and Mechanism of the Thermal Decomposition of CF$_3$I in Shock Waves
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ESI-1 Modelling of Rate Constants

(i) Quantum-chemical calculations

With the quantum-chemical calculations described in Section II of the main article, the following results for the relevant potential energy surface (PES) of the reaction were obtained. Fig. S1 shows the minimum energy path (MEP) potential $V$ as a function of the CF$_3$-I center-of-mass distance bond $r$.

The dashed curve corresponds to a Morse potential with the Morse parameter $\beta_c = 1.45 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ while the full curve (at $r > 3.2 \text{ Å}$) corresponds to a representation with $\beta_c = 1.64 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ (a simple estimate of $\beta_c$ with the C-I stretching frequency $\nu = 286 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and the Morse bond energy $D_e = 225.9 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ would have led to $\beta_c = 1.69 \text{ Å}^{-1}$). Besides the $r$-dependence, the anisotropy of the PES is of importance. It is represented by the $r$-dependence of the transitional mode frequencies $\nu$ shown in Fig. S2. These frequencies decay exponentially with increasing $r$ with decay parameters $\alpha = 0.6 \pm 0.01 \text{ Å}^{-1}$. The ratio $\alpha / \beta_c = 0.4$ is slightly below the “standard value” of 0.5, found for simple bond fission processes [1]. This indicates a comparably rigid activated complex of the reaction. Of further relevance are the effective rotational constants $\frac{(B+C)}{2}$ of the PES as a function of $r$, such as shown in Fig. S3. These quantities lead to the centrifugal barriers $E_0(J)$, the centrifugal partition functions $Q_{\text{cent}}$, as well as the rotational factors $F_{\text{rot}}$ (entering the calculation of [2]).

(ii) Rate constants

The centrifugal partition functions $Q_{\text{cent}}$ form an essential part of the high-pressure rate constants $k_{\infty}^{\text{PST}}$ in terms of phase space theory (PST) neglecting the anisotropy of the PES. Accounting for the anisotropy introduces a rigidity factor $k_{\infty} = f_{\text{rigid}} k_{\infty}^{\text{PST}}$ which is smaller than unity. It is convenient to estimate $f_{\text{rigid}}$ from the analytical representation of classical trajectory calculations in terms of SACM/CT [3]. Table S1 shows results for $f_{\text{rigid}}$ and $k_{\infty}$ together with the corresponding recombination rate constants. These rate constants are approximated by

\[ k_{\infty} = 5.9 \times 10^{15} (T/1000 \text{ K})^{-2.2} \exp(-28930 K/T) \text{s}^{-1} \]  
(S1)

\[ k_{\text{rec,}\infty} = 6.7 \times 10^{12} (T/1000 \text{ K})^{0.5} \text{cm}^3 \text{mol}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \]  
(S2)

It appears worth mentioning that RRKM calculations of $k_\infty$ from [4], with estimated transition state properties, leading to
\[ k_\infty = 1.7 \times 10^{15} \exp(-27900 \, K/T) \, s^{-1} \]  
(S3)

for 950 – 1200 K, are close to eq. (S1) (being a factor of 0.74 below the present \( k_\infty \) at 1000 K).

Low pressure rate constants \( k_0 \) are calculated following the method of [2]. Strong collision values \( k_0^{SC} \) employ rotational factors \( F_{rot} \) based on Figs. S1 and S3. Table S2 shows the results. Weak collision effects are described by the collision efficiency factors \( \beta_c \) which are related to the average (total) energies transferred per collision \( \langle \Delta E \rangle \). Identifying \( \langle \Delta E \rangle \) with the measured values of \( -\langle \Delta E \rangle/hc \approx 100 \, \text{cm}^{-1} \) from [5] and assuming only a weak temperature dependence of \( \langle \Delta E \rangle \), one determines \( \beta_c \) such as included in Table S2. \( k_0 = \beta_c k_0^{SC} \) then is represented by

\[ k_0 = [Ar] \, 5.4 \times 10^{21} (T/1000 \, K)^{-10.5} \exp(-31360 \, K/T) \, cm^3 \, mol^{-1} \, s^{-1} \]  
(S4)

or approximated by

\[ k_0 \approx [Ar] \, 1.6 \times 10^{16} \exp(-19200 \, K/T) \, cm^3 \, mol^{-1} \, s^{-1} \]  
(S5)

One notes that the present \( k_0 \) (1000 K) is about a factor of 5 smaller than that of [4] although both calculations used the same formalism [2]. As similar collision efficiencies were used, the difference must have been in the rotational factors \( F_{rot} \). The anisotropy of the PES, which follows from the quantum-chemical calculations of the present work, apparently was neglected in [4].

Besides \( k_0 \) and \( k_\infty \), the construction of the full falloff curves requires the determination of the center broadening factors \( F_{cen} \). Strong collision values with the method of [6] were determined to be \( F_{cen}^{SC} = 0.27, 0.23, 0.21 \), and 0.23 for \( T / K = 750, 1000, 1500, \) and 2000, respectively. Weak collision effects add a factor of \( F_{cen}^{WC} \approx 0.64 \) [7]. With \( F_{cen} = F_{cen}^{SC} F_{cen}^{WC} \), the present work employs the representation of the falloff curves from [7, 8] in the form

\[ k/k_\infty = [x/(1 + x)] F(x) \]  
(S6)

where \( x = k_0/k_\infty \) and

\[ F(x) \approx (1 + x)/(1 + x^n)^{1/n} \]  
(S7)

with \( n = \left[ \left( (b2)/ln(2/F_{cen}) \right) [0.8 + 0.2x^q] \right] \) and \( q = (F_{cen} - 1)/ln(F_{cen}/10) \). The corresponding falloff curves are shown in Figs. S4 and S5 (and tabulated in Table S3). The comparison of Fig. S5 with the modelled falloff curves from [4] indicates that the described differences are relevant only outside the
range of the experimentally used bath gas concentrations. On the other hand, the present modelling of
the falloff curves, without the use of empirical fit parameters, has led to satisfactory agreement with
the measurements (see main text).

(iii) Molecular parameters

Vibrational frequencies (in cm$^{-1}$)

CF$_3$I: 260 (2), 286, 537 (2), 742, 1080, 1187 (2) (from [9]);

CF$_3$: 508.7 (2), 701.4, 1086, 1253.8 (2) (from [10]).

Rotational constants (in cm$^{-1}$)

CF$_3$I: 0.193, 0.0505, 0.0505 ($\sigma = 3$; from M06-2X calculations, see ESI-1 (i);

CF$_3$: 0.364, 0.364, 0.189 ($\sigma = 3$; from [11]).

Lennard Jones parameters: $\sigma$(CF$_3$I) $\approx \sigma$(CF$_3$Br) = 4.92 Å, $\varepsilon/k$(CF$_3$I) $\approx \varepsilon/k$(CF$_3$Br) = 249 K, $\sigma$(Ar) = 3.47 Å, $\varepsilon/k$ (Ar) = 114 K (from [12]).
ESI-2  Modelling of Spectral Properties

For a series of species which are possibly present in the mechanism, spectral properties were calculated using time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT, details of the present approach are described in [13]). Here only average results for the lowest excited electronic states are reported (for nine of the models used in [13]). Results for higher states are given only for the M06-2X model. TD-DFT calculations for CF₃I used 6-311+G(3df) basis sets for C and F, as well as 6-311G(d) for I (see main text). The wavelength $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ of the longest wavelength continuum was calculated to be 260 (± 6) nm in good agreement with the experimental value of 263 nm [14]. Results were $\lambda_{\text{max}} / \text{nm} = 256, 256, 154, 154,$ and 154 for the five lowest excited electronic states with oscillator strengths $f = 0.0020, 0.0019, 0.135, 0.137,$ and 0.30, respectively. Calculations for CF₂I, using the same basis sets, in the M06-2X model led to $\lambda_{\text{max}} / \text{nm} = 367, 335, 315, 246, 240, 213, 211,$ and 200, with the corresponding $f = 0.014, 0.0001, 0.026, 0.0006, 0.0002, 0.018, 0.006,$ and 0.11, respectively. Calculations for CFI led to $\lambda_{\text{max}} / \text{nm} = 575, 334, 294, 237, 224,$ and 200, with $f = 0.001, 0.0000, 0.0005, 0.05, 0.007,$ and 0.44. Calculations for F₂I led to $\lambda_{\text{max}} / \text{nm} = 425, 425, 171,$ and 171 with $f = 0.0009, 0.0009, 0.008,$ and 0.008. Calculations for I₂ with the same model gave $\lambda_{\text{max}} / \text{nm} = 530, 530, 301, 301,$ and 184 with the corresponding $f = 0.0004, 0.0004, 0.0000, 0.0000,$ and 1.15. Results for CF₂ and CF were found to be consistent with the calculations from [13]. E. g., in oB97X-D calculations, $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 256$ nm with $f = 0.035$ was obtained for CF₂, whereas $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 303, 222,$ and 197 nm with $f = 0.007, 0.026,$ and 0.048 was obtained for CF. A comparison of the results for I₂ and FI obviously is most relevant for the tentative assignment of the spectrum near 450 and 200 nm to I₂ as well as FI, see main text.
Figure S1. Electronic potential for the $\text{CF}_3\text{I} \rightarrow \text{CF}_3 + \text{I}$ reaction calculated at the CCSD(T)//M06-2X level (see text).
Figure S2. Torsional transitional modes of CF₃I calculated at the M06-2X level along the MEP (see text).
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Figure S3. Rotational constants of CF₃I calculated at the M06-2X level along the MEP (see text).
Figure S4. Modelled falloff curves for CF$_3$I + Ar $\rightarrow$ CF$_3$ + I + Ar. Calculations for 750, 1000, 1500 and 2000 K (from bottom to top).
Figure S5. Modelled falloff curves for $\text{CF}_3\text{I} + \text{Ar} \rightarrow \text{CF}_3 + \text{I} + \text{Ar}$. Calculations for 950, 1050 and 1200 K (from bottom to top). Black: calculated with $\Delta H^0 = 224.7$ kJ mol$^{-1}$. Blue: calculated with $\Delta H^0 = 220.5$ kJ mol$^{-1}$. Red: calculated with $\Delta H^0 = 216.7$ kJ mol$^{-1}$. 
Table S1  Calculated limiting high-pressure dissociation rate constants $k_\infty$ (in s$^{-1}$) for CF$_3$I $\rightarrow$ CF$_3$ + I with $\Delta H^0_0 = 224.7$ kJ mol$^{-1}$, rigidity factors $f_{\text{rigid}} = k_\infty/k^{\text{PST}}_\infty = k_{\text{rec,}\infty}/k^{\text{PST}}_{\text{rec,}\infty}$, and recombination rate constants $k_{\text{rec,}\infty}$ (in cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) for CF$_3$ + I $\rightarrow$ CF$_3$I (see text).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T / K$</th>
<th>$k_\infty$</th>
<th>$f_{\text{rigid}}$</th>
<th>$k^{\text{PST}}_{\text{rec,}\infty}$</th>
<th>$k_{\text{rec,}\infty}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>1.98 $\times$ 10$^1$</td>
<td>9.96 $\times$ 10$^2$</td>
<td>5.81 $\times$ 10$^{13}$</td>
<td>5.78 $\times$ 10$^{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1.62 $\times$ 10$^5$</td>
<td>1.07 $\times$ 10$^4$</td>
<td>6.26 $\times$ 10$^{13}$</td>
<td>6.75 $\times$ 10$^{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1.03 $\times$ 10$^7$</td>
<td>1.19 $\times$ 10$^4$</td>
<td>6.99 $\times$ 10$^{13}$</td>
<td>8.31 $\times$ 10$^{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>6.76 $\times$ 10$^8$</td>
<td>1.28 $\times$ 10$^4$</td>
<td>7.53 $\times$ 10$^{13}$</td>
<td>9.64 $\times$ 10$^{12}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S2  Calculated limiting low-pressure rate constants $k_0$ (in cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) for CF$_3$I + Ar $\rightarrow$ CF$_3$ + I + Ar (with $\Delta H^0_0 = 224.7$ kJ mol$^{-1}$) ($k_0^{\text{SC}}$ = strong collision rate constants, $\beta_c = k_0/k_0^{\text{SC}}$ = collision efficiencies calculated with $\langle \Delta E \rangle/hc = -100$ cm$^{-1}$; see text).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\text{[Ar]}$ / mol cm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>k (950 K)</th>
<th>k (1050 K)</th>
<th>k (1200 K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.66 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>1.19 $\times$ 10$^1$</td>
<td>1.11 $\times$ 10$^2$</td>
<td>1.39 $\times$ 10$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.98 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$</td>
<td>2.30 $\times$ 10$^1$</td>
<td>2.22 $\times$ 10$^2$</td>
<td>2.90 $\times$ 10$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.66 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>4.55 $\times$ 10$^1$</td>
<td>2.45 $\times$ 10$^2$</td>
<td>6.13 $\times$ 10$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.98 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>8.13 $\times$ 10$^1$</td>
<td>8.35 $\times$ 10$^2$</td>
<td>1.17 $\times$ 10$^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.66 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.37 $\times$ 10$^2$</td>
<td>1.51 $\times$ 10$^3$</td>
<td>2.28 $\times$ 10$^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.98 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.92 $\times$ 10$^2$</td>
<td>2.29 $\times$ 10$^3$</td>
<td>3.80 $\times$ 10$^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.66 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>2.46 $\times$ 10$^2$</td>
<td>3.35 $\times$ 10$^3$</td>
<td>5.78 $\times$ 10$^4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S3

Calculated rate constants $k$ (in s$^{-1}$) for CF$_3$I + Ar $\rightarrow$ CF$_3$ + I + Ar (with

$\Delta H_0^\circ = 224.7 \, kJ \, mol^{-1}$, see text).
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