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Abstract: The gas-phase reaction of the heteronuclear oxide cluster [VPO₄]⁺ with C₂H₄ is studied under multiple collision conditions at 150 K using cryogenic ion-trap vibrational spectroscopy combined with electronic structure calculations. The exclusive formation of acetaldehyde is directly identified spectroscopically and discussed in the context of the underlying reaction mechanism. In line with computational predictions it is the terminal P=O and not the V=O unit that provides the oxygen atom in the barrier-free thermal C₂H₄→CH₂CHO conversion. Interestingly, in the course of the reaction, the emerging CH₂CHO product undergoes a rather complex intramolecular migration, coordinating eventually to the vanadium center prior to its liberation. Moreover, the spectroscopic structural characterization of neutral C₂H₄O deserves special mentioning as in most, if not all, ion/molecule reactions, the neutral product is usually only indirectly identified.

The identification of the active site(s) of single-site catalysts—the so-called “aristocratic atoms”[1]—constitutes one of the intellectual cornerstones in contemporary catalysis research.[2] This holds true in particular for heteronuclear cluster oxides whose judicious “doping” allows for an unprecedented control of their gas-phase ion chemistry.[3] In this respect, the redox couple [AlVO₄]⁺/CO/N₂O (x = 3, 4) may serve as a good example.[4] At room temperature, [AlVO₄]⁺ is reduced to [AlVO₄]— in the presence of CO, and if N₂O is added, re-oxidation occurs; both oxygen atom transfers (OATs) are clean and proceed with reaction efficiencies of 59 and 65%, respectively. DFT calculations have provided insight into the mechanism of this OAT catalytic cycle and predicted the terminal Al=O and not the expected V=O unit as the active site of the catalyst.[5] Experimental confirmation of these predictions for both the structure of the [AlVO₄]⁺ cluster oxide as well as its active site was only obtained much later by cryogenic ion-trap vibrational spectroscopy of messenger-tagged cluster ions.[5,6]

Herein, we describe the spectroscopic characterization of the reaction of the [VPO₄]⁺/[C₂H₄] couple. This heteronuclear oxo cluster has served as a model system of industrially important VPO catalysts[7] in the gas-phase oxidation of small hydrocarbons.[8] At room temperature, [VPO₄]⁺ brings about the selective conversion of C₂H₄ to form C₂H₄O.[9] In line with DFT calculations, IR photodissociation spectroscopy permitted an unambiguous structural assignment of [VPO₄]⁺ (1). Other isomers considered were not only predicted to lie > 100 kJ mol⁻¹ higher in energy than 1, also their calculated IR spectra did not match the experimentally recorded one.[9] DFT calculations further predicted that it is only the terminal P=O unit that serves as the active site in the course of the C₂H₄→C₂H₄O conversion. The alternative OAT process involving the terminal V=O group of 1 was calculated to require too much energy to play a role at ambient temperature.[9]

What has been missing thus far is an unambiguous experimental characterization of relevant reaction intermediates and the products, for example, the structural assignment of the [VPO₄]⁺ ion and in particular the neutral OAT C₂H₄O (Scheme 1). In fact, to the best of our knowledge, in none of the numerous gas-phase ion/molecule reactions, the neutral product has ever been spectroscopically characterized. Furthermore, and as suggested by a reviewer, in addition to the previous DFT calculations,[6] a more detailed discussion of the actual mechanism of the C₂H₄→CH₂CHO conversion is indicated. This will be provided further below.

Scheme 1. Oxygen atom transfer in the reaction of [VPO₄]⁺ with C₂H₄.
To this end, we revisited the OAT reaction of 1 with C_2H_4 and studied it by ion-trap mass spectrometry in combination with cryogenic ion vibrational spectroscopy (see the Supporting Information for experimental details).[6,9] Species 1 is produced as previously described,[8] mass-selected, and interacts with 0.025% C_2H_4 in He (p_{He} ≈ 0.022 mbar) under multiple collision conditions in a linear radiofrequency ion trap. The reaction products obtained after 100 ms are shown in Figure 1. Two series of mass peaks are observed. The dominant product channel corresponds to the formation of [VPO_4(C_2H_4)_n]^+ adducts with n = 0–3. Formation of [VPO_3]^+ and its adducts with C_2H_4 is roughly 100 times less efficient. The present results are in qualitative agreement with the previous results obtained under single-collision conditions, which also found that adduct formation is favored over O-atom loss; however, adduct formation was less efficient, and obviously no adducts with n > 1 were observed under single-collision conditions.[8] The adduct ion yields are also expected to be higher in the present case as the reaction under scrutiny is predicted to be barrier-free with respect to the energy of the entrance channel (energy of 1 and C_2H_4 in Figure 2), and hence shows a negative temperature dependence.

As to the mechanism of OAT in the [VPO_4]^+/C_2H_4 couple, the new DFT calculations (Figure 2) provide some unexpected findings:

1) Coordination of the incoming C_2H_4 ligand can occur at both the phosphorus (1–2) and the vanadium sites of the cluster (1–6), with the latter path being energetically clearly favored.

2) In the course of the multistep OAT reaction, the global minimum corresponds to a complex in which the newly formed CH_3CHO ligand (containing the oxygen atom of the P=O unit) is coordinated to the vanadium center (1–5). The isomeric cluster 9, generated from 6, is approximately 115 kJ mol^-1 less stable than 5. However, as the transition states leading to both 5 and 9 are located below the entrance channel, both routes are energetically accessible. Interestingly, 5 and 9 are connected via transition state TS9/4 and TS4/5.

3) Evaporation of CH_3CHO to produce [P(O_2)VO]^+ can take place from either 4, 5, or 9.
In order to identify the structure of the reaction product(s), we turn to infrared photodissociation (IRPD) spectroscopy combined with messenger tagging to ensure probing in the linear absorption regime; this simplifies the interpretation of the IRPD spectra significantly.[10] The IRPD experiments are performed on a cryogenic ion–trap tandem mass spectrometer,[11] using the widely tunable, intense IR radiation from the Fritz Haber Institute free electron Laser (FHI-FEL).[12] As typical messengers, such as He or H₂, do not bind efficiently to cations at the present ion-trap temperature of 150 K, we exploit the fact that [VPO₄(C₆H₆)]⁺ adducts are formed, in which the additional C₆H₆ moieties are more weakly bound and function as messengers upon photoabsorption. This is demonstrated in the mass spectra shown in the bottom panels of Figure 1. The spectrum in Figure 1c is obtained after irradiating all ions extracted from the ion trap on-resonance (1655 cm⁻¹), and the difference spectrum (Figure 1d) is obtained by subtracting an off-resonance spectrum (1685 cm⁻¹) from this on-resonance spectrum. Using sufficiently attenuated laser pulse energies, photodissociation is only observed for the n > 1 adducts, that is, the corresponding peaks are depleted in the on-resonance spectrum (i.e., downward peaks in Figure 1d), while the n ≤ 1 peaks increase in intensity (i.e., upward peaks in Figure 1d), suggesting that [VPO₄(C₆H₆)]⁺-(C₆H₆)⁺ type complexes are present, containing a single, chemically transformed ethylene species, while the others remain physisorbed.

The IRPD spectrum of [VPO₄(C₆H₆)]⁺-(C₆H₆) is shown in Figure 3b and compared to the previously obtained spectrum of [VPO₄]⁺-He₀ (Figure 3a).[8] The two spectra are distinctly different, showing that a reaction must have occurred. Note that the terminal P–O stretching band of [VPO₄]⁺ at 1445 cm⁻¹ is not present in the spectrum of the C₆H₆ adduct, but has been replaced by a similarly intense band at higher energies (1653 cm⁻¹), which lies in the carbonyl stretching region.

In order to assign the IRPD spectrum of [VPO₄(C₆H₆)]⁺-(C₆H₆), we compare it to the B3LYP/def2-tzvpp harmonic spectra of possible structural candidates in Figure 3 (see Figures S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information for additional information). Indeed, the best agreement is found for the global minimum-energy structure 5, which is predicted to lie 283 kJ mol⁻¹ below the entrance channel and represents the final reaction product containing acetaldehyde bound to [P-(O)₂VO]⁺. The exclusive formation of 5 rather than 4 or 9 (Figure 3b, d, and e, respectively) as the long-lived [VPO₄]⁺/(C₆H₆) intermediate in the ion trap is quite remarkable; after all, the OAT potential energy surface is rather complex and involves quite a number of isomeric intermediates and transition states. Nevertheless, most likely on thermochemical grounds, the CH₃CHO product undergoes an intracomplex migration from the P– to the V-center to

![Figure 3. Experimental IRPD spectra (dark red) of a) [VPO₄]⁺-He₀ at 15 K,[9] b) [VPO₄(C₆H₆)]⁺-(C₆H₆) at 150 K, and the harmonic B3LYP/def2-tzvpp IR spectra (green, Gaussian line function convolution FWHM = 10 cm⁻¹) of c) 5 + C₆H₆, d) 5, e) 4, f) 3, and g) 2. C gray, H white, V green, O red, P yellow. The zero-point vibration-corrected energies (ΔH₀, shown in parentheses) with respect to the separated reactants are given in kcal mol⁻¹. Harmonic frequencies of the V=O modes are scaled by 0.9167 and all other modes by 0.9832. See Table 1 for the band positions and assignments. Note that the calculated IR spectra of 4 and 9 (not shown) are practically indistinguishable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Exp.</th>
<th>B3LYP/def2-tzvpp</th>
<th>Assignment[a]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>1653</td>
<td>1668[90.360]</td>
<td>ν(C=O)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>1575</td>
<td>1608[90.13]</td>
<td>ν(C=O)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>1404</td>
<td>1433[90.43]</td>
<td>δ(C–H) in CH₃CHO=O moiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>1347</td>
<td>1345[89.89]</td>
<td>δ(C–H) in CH₃CHO=O moiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>1147</td>
<td>1148[89.21]</td>
<td>δ(C–C) in CH₃CHO=O moiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>1078</td>
<td>1063[89.11]</td>
<td>δ(C–C) in CH₃CHO=O moiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>1025[89.242]</td>
<td>ν(P=O) + ν(V=O)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>989[89.136]</td>
<td>ν(P=O)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Scaling factor: 0.9167 (VO stretches). [b] Scaling factor: 0.9832 (all other modes). [c] Stretching (ν), bending (δ), symmetric (s), antisymmetric (as).
form 5 (Figure 2). Note that the agreement of the IRPD spectrum with the predicted harmonic spectrum is improved after the second, weakly bound CH₂H₂ molecule is considered in the calculations (see Table 1 for band assignments), highlighting its small but distinct perturbation. However, if this is considered, the complete IRPD spectrum is reproduced, demonstrating that the formation of other long-lived intermediates and products with this m/z ratio is insignificant.

Using CH₂H₂ as a messenger tag raises the interesting question as to what the influence of the second CH₂H₂ molecules on the reaction pathway. To address this, we also performed experiments under single collision conditions (with respect to ion–ethylene collisions), but did not observe any substantial photodissociation of untagged [VPO₄(CH₂H₂)]⁺. Note that the predicted dissociation energy of 5 is 283 kJ mol⁻¹, which would amount to the absorption of roughly 20 photons at 1000 cm⁻¹, which is unlikely under the present experimental conditions. This shows that for bare [VPO₄]⁺, chemisorption of CH₂H₂ is highly favored over physisorption, and therefore the influence of the second CH₂H₂ molecule is probably negligible under the present conditions.

Additional and independent spectroscopic support that the P-center represents the active site for the OAT from [VPO₄]⁺ to CH₂H₂ is found in the IRPD spectrum of another product ion, namely that of (CH₂H₂)₄-tagged [VPO₄]⁺. Although the yield of [VPO₄]⁺-(CH₂H₂)₂ is small compared to that of [VPO₄(CH₂H₂)]⁺-(CH₂H₂) (see Figure 1), we were able to record an IRPD spectrum of [VPO₄]⁺-(CH₂H₂). The good agreement between the experimental and computational results (Figure S3) confirms the P–O₂–V–O structure and not the O–P–O₂–V structure is generated upon desorption of CH₂CHO from [VPO₄(CH₂H₂)]⁺.

In summary, the present study has experimentally confirmed the previously predicted mechanism, which postulated that the P-atom represents the active site of the heteronuclear cluster [VPO₄]⁺ for the reaction of [VPO₄]⁺ with CH₂H₂. Moreover, while in most ion/molecule reactions studied, characterization of the neutral product is based on circumstantial evidence, in the present case the OAT product was spectroscopically identified as CH₂CHO.
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