

## RHYTHMIC PERCEPTION, PRODUCTION AND INTERACTIVITY IN HARBOUR AND GREY SEALS

MARIANNE DE HEER KLOOTS<sup>\*1,2</sup>, DARYLL CARLSON<sup>2,3</sup>, MAXIME GARCIA<sup>4</sup>,  
SONJA A. KOTZ<sup>5</sup>, ALICE LOWRY<sup>2</sup>, LAETITIA POLI-NARDI<sup>2</sup>, KOEN DE REUS<sup>2,6</sup>,  
ANA RUBIO-GARCÍA<sup>2</sup>, MARLENE SROKA<sup>2</sup>, MILA VAROLA<sup>2</sup>,  
ANDREA RAVIGNANI<sup>1,2,7,8</sup>

\*Corresponding Author: mariannedhk@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup>Institute for Advanced Study, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup>Research Department, Sealcentre Pieterburen, Pieterburen, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup>Department of Earth Systems Science, Stanford University, Stanford, United States

<sup>4</sup>Department of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies,  
University of Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

<sup>5</sup>Neuropsychology and Psychopharmacology, Maastricht University,  
Maastricht, The Netherlands

<sup>6</sup>Department Life Sciences, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>7</sup>Comparative Bioacoustics Group, Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics,  
Nijmegen, The Netherlands

<sup>8</sup>Artificial Intelligence Lab, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium

Comparative studies on rhythmic animal behaviour can help understand the evolutionary origins of rhythm cognition underlying many human behaviours, including speech (Kotz, Ravignani & Fitch, 2018) and conversational interaction (Pika, Wilkinson, Kendrick & Vernes, 2018). Pinnipeds are a particularly promising clade for comparative investigations in the vocal domain (Ravignani et al., 2016), showing remarkable vocal flexibility (Ralls et al., 1985; Reichmuth & Casey, 2014) as well as rhythmic capacities (Cook et al., 2013; Mathevon et al., 2017). The variability in these traits across species guides hypotheses from evolutionary neuroscience, that postulate mechanistic connections between vocal learning and rhythm (Kuypers, 1958a, 1958b; Jürgens, Kirzinger, & von Cramon, 1982; Patel & Iversen, 2014). In seal pups, who are born and weaned in large breeding colonies on land, vocal rhythmicity can be functionally explained by their socio-ecology: a pup calling for its mother's attention may avoid acoustic masking by vocalizing in turns with nearby conspecifics (Ravignani, 2018). Here we disentangle different aspects of seal pup rhythm cognition to better describe individual timing patterns in turn-taking choruses. We present data from four studies on harbour seals (*Phoca vitulina*) and grey seals (*Halichoerus grypus*), testing rhythm perception, production, and interactivity.

In the first study, we tested 20 wild-born harbour seals regarding their capacity to distinguish rhythmic properties in a listening task. The experiment used a set-up inspired by the head-turn preference procedure from infant development studies (Nelson et al., 1995). We measured the number of looks and look duration of individual seals towards the playback stimulus, comparing experimental manipulations for 4 properties: rhythmic regularity (random vs. isochronous), tempo (slow vs. fast), duration (long vs. short calls) and sex (calls produced by a female vs. a male pup). Regularity, tempo, and duration significantly affected the behavioural measures. These results show the importance of rhythmicity as a call property affecting harbour seals' behavioural responses, and support their fine-grained perceptual discrimination capacities in the temporal domain.

The second study (Ravnani et al., 2018) examined rhythmicity in spontaneously produced calls by 3 individual wild-born harbour seal pups, exploring the ontogeny of temporal call organization over the course of several weeks. Analyses on call duration, inter-onset interval, and inter-peak interval showed that call rhythms evolve, with some properties becoming more categorically structured over time. These results demonstrate harbour seals' productive rhythmic flexibility in development and suggest a vocal production system particularly suitable for interactive settings.

Next, we tested the rhythmic interactivity of harbour seal pup vocalizations in a playback experiment eliciting vocal responses. Manipulating regularity, tempo, and identity of the playback calls, we measured the absolute time and relative phase of individual pups' responses. We contrasted the experimental data with results from agent-based simulations to compare several alternative call timing strategies. The results show that seal pups interactively time their vocal responses to conspecific calls, avoiding overlap by taking turns.

Our final study investigates rhythmic interactions in spontaneous settings, using similar analyses in a different species. We analyzed interactive call timing in multitrack recordings of grey seal pups housed in groups. By studying groups of multiple individuals, we applied the analysis techniques from the previous studies in a more ecological setting, and explored how individual call timing strategies contribute to a group-level chorus.

We conclude that seals both perceive and produce vocal rhythmicity, without explicit training. Our results suggest that seal pups interactively time their calls, such that they vocalize in turns, maximizing individual conspicuousness by minimizing acoustic masking. Both harbour and grey seal pup vocalizations are known to have individually distinctive spectral properties, which are used in mother-offspring recognition (Perry & Renouf, 1988; McCulloch & Boness, 1999). We argue that socio-ecological pressures for individual conspicuousness and distinguishability shape both the spectral and temporal dimensions of seal pup vocal communication. Pinnipeds provide a useful model for convergently evolved rhythmic traits, and our results might inform future cross-species work on timing in communicative behaviours — including human speech.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the staff and volunteers at Sealcentre Pieterburen for their help during data collection.

## References

- Cook, P., Rouse, A., Wilson, M., & Reichmuth, C. (2013). A California sea lion (*Zalophus californianus*) can keep the beat: Motor entrainment to rhythmic auditory stimuli in a non vocal mimic. *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, *127*(4), 412–427. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0032345>
- Jürgens, U., Kirzinger, A., & von Cramon, D. (1982). The Effects of Deep-Reaching Lesions in the Cortical Face Area on Phonation a Combined Case Report and Experimental Monkey Study. *Cortex*, *18*(1), 125–139. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-9452\(82\)80024-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-9452(82)80024-5)
- Kotz, S. A., Ravignani, A., & Fitch, W. T. (2018). The Evolution of Rhythm Processing. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *22*(10), 896–910. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2018.08.002>
- Kuypers, H. G. J. M. (1958a). Corticobulbar connections to the pons and lower brainstem in man: An anatomical study. *Brain*, *81*(3), 364–388. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/81.3.364>
- Kuypers, H. G. J. M. (1958b). Some projections from the peri-central cortex to the pons and lower brain stem in monkey and chimpanzee. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, *110*(2), 221–255. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.901100205>
- McCulloch, S., & Boness, D. J. (2000). Mother–pup vocal recognition in the grey seal (*Halichoerus grypus*) of Sable Island, Nova Scotia, Canada. *Journal of Zoology*, *251*(4), 449–455.
- Mathevon, N., Casey, C., Reichmuth, C., & Charrier, I. (2017). Northern Elephant Seals Memorize the Rhythm and Timbre of Their Rivals’ Voices. *Current Biology*, *27*(15), 2352–2356.e2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.06.035>
- Nelson, D. G. K., Jusczyk, P. W., Mandel, D. R., Myers, J., Turk, A., & Gerken, L. (1995). The head-turn preference procedure for testing auditory perception. *Infant behavior and development*, *18*(1), 111–116.
- Patel, A. D., & Iversen, J. R. (2014). The evolutionary neuroscience of musical beat perception: The Action Simulation for Auditory Prediction (ASAP) hypothesis. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience*, *8*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2014.00057>
- Perry, E. A., & Renouf, D. (1988). Further studies of the role of harbour seal (*Phoca vitulina*) pup vocalizations in preventing separation of mother–pup pairs. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, *66*(4), 934–938. <https://doi.org/10.1139/z88-138>

- Pika, S., Wilkinson, R., Kendrick, K. H., & Vernes, S. C. (2018). Taking turns: Bridging the gap between human and animal communication. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 285(1880), 20180598. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.0598>
- Ralls, K., Fiorelli, P., & Gish, S. (1985). Vocalizations and vocal mimicry in captive harbor seals, *Phoca vitulina*. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 63(5), 1050–1056. <https://doi.org/10.1139/z85-157>
- Ravignani, A., Fitch, W. T., Hanke, F. D., Heinrich, T., Hurgitsch, B., Kotz, S. A., ... de Boer, B. (2016). What Pinnipeds Have to Say about Human Speech, Music, and the Evolution of Rhythm. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2016.00274>
- Ravignani, A., Kello, C. T., de Reus, K., Kotz, S. A., Dalla Bella, S., Méndez-Aróstegui, M., ... de Boer, B. (2018). Ontogeny of vocal rhythms in harbor seal pups: An exploratory study. *Current Zoology*, zoy055. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cz/zoy055>
- Reichmuth, C., & Casey, C. (2014). Vocal learning in seals, sea lions, and walruses. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 28, 66–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2014.06.011>