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STRUCTURAL SIGNATURE OF TRAUMA: WHITE MATTER VOLUME IN RIGHT INFERIOR FRONTAL GYRUS IS POSITIVELY ASSOCIATED WITH USE OF EXPRESSIVE SUPPRESSION IN RECENTLY TRAUMATIZED INDIVIDUALS
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ABSTRACT

Background: Differences in grey and white matter structure have been found between trauma-exposed individuals with and without PTSD. Yet, it remains unclear which functional processes are underlying these volumetric changes. Avoidance- and suppression-based emotion regulation strategies are candidates of interest since they play an important role in the development and maintenance of PTSD.

Method: We used voxel-based morphometry to examine differences in brain structure in 20 recently trauma-exposed individuals and 20 healthy controls in respect of their relation to emotion regulation.

Results: We found a significantly larger white matter volume close to the right inferior frontal gyrus (rIFG) in patients compared to healthy controls. White matter volume close to the rIFG was positively correlated with expressive suppression.

Conclusion: The region of volumetric white matter difference in our study overlaps with brain regions previously associated with executive functioning and inhibitory control, functions that are known to be impaired in PTSD. Our findings support the idea that impaired executive functions in PTSD might be compensated by suppression-based emotion regulation strategies.

La firma estructural del trauma: el volumen de materia blanca en la circunvolución frontal inferior derecha se asocia positivamente con el uso de la supresión expresiva en individuos recientemente traumatisados

Antecedentes: Se han encontrado diferencias en estructuras de la sustancia gris y blanca entre individuos expuestos a trauma con y sin TEPT. Sin embargo, no está claro qué procesos funcionales subyacen a estos cambios volumétricos. Las estrategias de regulación emocional basadas en la supresión y evitación son candidatas de interés ya que desempeñan un rol importante en el desarrollo y mantenimiento del TEPT.

Método: Usamos morfometría basada en voxel para examinar las diferencias en la estructura cerebral en 20 individuos recientemente expuestos a trauma y 20 controles sanos, con respecto a su relación con la regulación emocional.

Resultados: Encontramos un volumen de sustancia blanca significativamente mayor cerca de la circunvolución frontal inferior derecha (rIFG por sus 35 siglas en inglés) en pacientes comparados con los controles sanos. El volumen de sustancia blanca cerca del rIFG se correlacionó positivamente con la supresión expresiva.

Conclusiones: La región de diferencia de volumen de materia blanca en nuestro estudio se superpone con las regiones del cerebro previamente asociadas con el funcionamiento ejecutivo y el control inhibitorio, las que se conocen que están alteradas en el TEPT. Nuestros hallazgos apoyan la idea que las alteraciones de las funciones ejecutivas en el TEPT podrían compensarse con estrategias de regulación emocional basadas en la supresión.

HIGHLIGHTS

• Differences in brain structure found in recently traumatized individuals compared to healthy controls.
• Increased white matter volume close to the right inferior frontal gyrus of recently traumatized individuals correlates positively with expressive suppression.
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1. Introduction

Exposure to potentially traumatic events (such as sexual or physical assault) can lead to a range of psychological symptoms occurring immediately after the traumatic event. These symptoms are summarized as acute stress disorder (ASD) in the fifth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5, American Psychological Association, 2013) and include re-experiencing the event (e.g. in intrusive memories, flashbacks or nightmares), low mood, difficulties in experiencing positive emotions such as happiness, dissociative symptoms, avoidance of external reminders, memories or feelings associated with the event and arousal symptoms such as hypervigilance, irritable behaviour and sleep disturbances. The majority of people recover from a traumatic event over time. However, some people do not, resulting in the development of a posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD).

Traumatic experiences and their consequences as ‘an extremely aversive form of learning’ might result in changes in brain structure (Siehl, King, Burgess, Flor, & Nees, 2018). Volumetric differences in brain structures underlying memory and emotion regulation functions have been observed between individuals with and without PTSD in several neuroimaging studies, affecting areas such as the hippocampus, anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), amygdala, insula and medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) (Karl et al., 2006; Woon, Sood, & Hedges, 2010). Changes in grey matter (Li et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2011) and white matter integrity have been found in PTSD patients (Fani et al., 2012; Li et al., 2016), predominantly in cingulum and frontal regions as well as frontal gyrus (Siehl et al., 2018). Grey matter reductions (Sui et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2011) but also an increase in grey matter in some regions (e.g. in the left precentral cortex, inferior parietal lobule and right post-central cortex) have been found in trauma-exposed individuals with PTSD in contrast to trauma-exposed individuals without PTSD (Sui et al., 2010). As a possible explanation for structural changes in PTSD patients, Li et al. (2016) suggest that a heightened demand for emotion regulation might lead to increased myelination in brain regions related to emotion regulation.

Pre-trauma difficulties in emotion regulation, as well as difficulties regulating negative emotions in the aftermath of a traumatic event, are positively associated with posttraumatic stress symptoms (Badour & Feldner, 2013; Bardeen, Kumpula, & Orcutt, 2013; Ehring & Quack, 2010), suggesting that ineffective emotion regulation strategies play a crucial role in the development and maintenance of PTSD. Two specific emotion regulation strategies – cognitive reappraisal and expressive suppression – have been well investigated in this context. Cognitive reappraisal and expressive suppression have been introduced by Gross (1998a, 1998b) in his process model of emotion. Cognitive reappraisal includes the modification of one’s cognitions concerning the situation and therefore altering its meaning. Expressive suppression modulates the response tendencies of an emotion already generated, inhibiting its related facial expression and emotional expressive behaviour. Inter-individual differences in emotion regulation are thought to be quite stable over time and constitute a trait. Habitual use of both strategies is assessed by the Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (ERQ; Gross & John, 2003). In accordance with Gross and Levenson (1993), we refer to suppression of emotional expressions (expressive suppression) as a characteristic of emotion suppression in this article. So far, PTSD has been associated with a greater use of expressive suppression and an underutilization of cognitive change strategies (e.g. reappraisal) to decrease negative emotion experience (Ehring & Quack, 2010; Shepherd & Wild, 2014).

Cognitive and behavioural processes of emotion regulation (e.g. control and inhibition of emotion response tendencies as well as cognitive change strategies) require active preparatory and inhibitory processes (Gross & Levenson, 1993). Looking at functional brain activity related to suppression and reappraisal, a study of Vanderhasselt, Kühn, and De Raedt (2013) found neural activity in the right frontal cortex, right inferior parietal lobe, lower precuneus and left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex to be associated with suppression of emotions in an experimental paradigm. Frequent use of emotion regulation strategies might as well lead to structural changes in the brain. Habitual use of expressive suppression was positively correlated with grey matter volume in the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC) in a study with healthy participants (Kühn, Gallinat, & Brass, 2011). Other studies report a positive association between expressive suppression and grey matter volume in the dorsal anterior/paracingulate cortex and medial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) (Hermann, Bieber, Keck, Vaitl, & Stark, 2014) as well as anterior insula volume (Giuliani, ...
Drabant, & Gross, 2011b). Studies investigating brain structure in recently traumatized individuals and patients with recent-onset PTSD have mostly recruited survivors of fire accidents (Li et al., 2006), accidents (Wignall et al., 2004) and coalmine-flood disaster (Chen et al., 2012; Liu, Li, Luo, Lu, & Yin, 2012; Qi et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2011). Few studies have investigated brain structure in victims of interpersonal violence in an early state after trauma exposure such as Fani et al. (2019). As to our knowledge, the association between expressive suppression as well as cognitive reappraisal and brain structure has not been investigated in recently trauma-exposed individuals so far.

In the current study, we aimed to assess differences in brain regions related to emotion regulation, in particular those that are related to unfavourable emotion regulation strategies such as emotion suppression. We used voxel-based morphometry (VBM) with an exploratory whole-brain approach to examine structural differences in trauma-exposed individuals in an early state after the traumatic event compared to healthy-matched controls.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Participants

In total 40 participants were recruited. Twenty of those were recently exposed to a traumatic event (mean age = 43.36 years (SD = 10.10), 13 females, 7 males, BDI = 21.25 (SD = 13.19), PDS Sum = 28.84 (SD = 10.77)) and recruited via the outpatient clinic at the St. Hedwig Hospital Charité University Clinic Berlin (Table 1). The mean number of days between the happening of the individuals’ traumatic event and our testing session was 77.3 days (SD 50.8). Inclusion criteria for the patient group were an experience of severe interpersonal violence (e.g. sexual assault/rape, physical assault, intimate partner violence/domestic violence) within the last 4 months. All participants were diagnosed with posttraumatic stress disorder, adjustment disorder or acute stress reaction by trauma therapists according to the criteria of the International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems (ICD-10; World Health Organization, 2004) in relation to this event.

Diagnoses were taken from the medical record. Exclusion criteria for patients were substance abuse, acute suicidal tendencies and some comorbidities such as psychotic episodes and severe depressivity. The control group consisted of twenty healthy volunteers (mean age = 43.39 years (SD = 10.13), 13 females, years of education = 17.3 (SD = 3.28), BDI = 3.84 (SD = 3.18)) who were recruited by means of advertisements and the internet. Healthy participants were screened using the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (Sheehan et al., 1998). No healthy control subject had an axis-I psychiatric disorder according to the interview. Other medical and neurological disorders were excluded in a personal interview. Substance and medication use were carefully screened. Exclusion criteria for all subjects were abnormalities in the MRI or any clinically relevant abnormalities. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the German Psychological Association. After complete description of the study, subjects informed written consent was obtained from all participants.

2.2. Scanning procedure

Structural images were collected on a Siemens Tim Trio 3 T scanner (Erlangen, Germany) with a 12-channel head coil. Structural images were obtained using a T1-weighted magnetization prepared gradient-echo sequence (MPRAGE) based on the ADNI protocol (TR = 2500 ms; TE = 4.77 ms; TI = 1100 ms, acquisition matrix = 256 × 256 × 176; flip angle = 7°; 1×1×1mm³ voxel size). Participants were asked to close their eyes and relax during data acquisition.

2.3. Questionnaires

We administered the Emotion Regulation Questionnaire (ERQ) designed by Gross and John (2003) comprising the two subscales expressive suppression and cognitive reappraisal. The ERQ consists of 10 items and participants give their answers on a 7-point Likert scale with the endpoints ‘strongly disagree’ and ‘strongly agree’. The expressive suppression items clearly address the tendency of
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participants not to show their emotions: ‘I keep my emotions to myself’, ‘When I am feeling positive emotions, I am careful not to express them’, ‘I control my emotions by not expressing them’ and ‘When I am feeling negative emotions, I make sure not to express them’. The cognitive reappraisal items address the tendency of participants to change the way they feel by altering their cognitions: ‘When I want to feel less negative emotions, I change the way I’m thinking about the situation’ and ‘When I’m faced with a stressful situation, I make myself think about it in a way that helps me stay calm’. In order to assess depressivity, we used the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI; Beck, Steer, & Brown, 1996) for all participants. Additionally, patients filled out the Posttraumatic Stress Diagnostic Scale (PDS; Ehlers, Steil, Winter, & Foa, 1996) to assess self-reported posttraumatic stress symptoms.

2.4. Data analysis

Structural data were processed by means of the VBM8 toolbox (http://dbm.neuro.uni-jena.de/vbm.html) and SPM8 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm) with default parameters. The VBM8 toolbox involves bias correction, tissue classification and affine registration. The affine registered GM and white matter (WM) segmentations were used to build a customized DARTEL (diffeomorphic anatomical registration through exponentiated lie algebra) template. Then, warped GM and WM segments were created. Modulation was applied in order to preserve the volume of a particular tissue within a voxel by multiplying voxel values in the segmented images by the Jacobian determinants derived from the spatial normalization step. In effect, the analysis of modulated data tests for regional differences in the absolute amount (volume) of GM. Images were smoothed with an FWHM (full-width at half maximum) kernel of 8 mm. Statistical analysis was carried out by means of whole brain between group t-tests on GM/WM volume maps. Age, sex and whole-brain volume were entered as covariates of no interest. The resulting maps were thresholded with \( p < 0.001 \) and the statistical extent threshold was used to correct for multiple comparisons combined with a non-stationary smoothness correction based on permutation (Hayasaka, Phan, Liberzon, Worsley, & Nichols, 2004).

2.5. Results

When comparing the two groups in whole-brain analyses in terms of grey matter and white matter volume, we found a significant difference in white matter volume. Acutely traumatized patients showed more white matter volume close to right inferior frontal gyrus (rIFG) cortex compared to healthy controls (Figure 1A). In line with the previous literature on emotion regulation, the average white matter volume from that region was positively associated with expressive suppression \( (r(40) = 0.480, p = 0.002, \text{Figure 1B}) \). The correlation remained significant when parcelling out patient status \( (r(37) = 0.385, p = 0.015) \), as well as when parcelling out patient status, age and sex \( (r(34) = 0.375, p = 0.024) \). We observed no significant differences in grey matter volume. We found a trend towards higher scores in recently traumatized patients \( (\text{mean} = 15.65 \text{ (SD} = 4.64)) \) compared to healthy controls \( (\text{mean} = 12.85 \text{ (SD} = 4.15)) \) in terms of their ERQ score in expressive suppression \( t(38) = 2.01, p = 0.051 \) but not in terms of their ERQ score in cognitive reappraisal \( t(38) = 1.65, p = 0.107 \), with a mean score = 28.30 \( \text{(SD} = 6.34) \) for patients and a mean score = 25.25 \( \text{(SD} = 5.30) \) for healthy controls.

(B) Scatterplot illustrating the correlation between white matter volume and expressive suppression score from the emotion regulation questionnaire.

2.6. Discussion

In a comparison of recently traumatized individuals with non-traumatized controls, we found a significant difference in white matter volume in the rIFG. Recently traumatized patients showed more white matter volume in the rIFG compared to healthy, non-traumatized controls. No significant differences for grey matter volume were found. Most interestingly, we found this white matter cluster in the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex to be positively correlated with habitual use of expressive suppression in our study, indicating that participants who are better able to hide their emotional expressions and use more frequently expressive suppression as an emotion regulation strategy show larger white matter volume in the rIFG. We found a trend towards higher scores of expressive suppression in recently traumatized patients compared to healthy controls. However, we found no significant difference between recently traumatized patients and healthy controls in terms of their ERQ score in cognitive reappraisal.

The association of increased white matter volume in the rIFG with habitual use of expressive suppression in trauma-exposed patients in our study fits well to previous research on functional activity of expressive suppression and associated structural changes in healthy individuals (Kühn et al., 2011; Vanderhasselet et al., 2013) and can be seen as supporting evidence that differences in the individuals’ use of emotion regulation strategies are relatively stable over time. Furthermore, our results suggest that using expressive suppression as an emotion regulation strategy does recruit similar brain regions as suppression of pre-potent behavioural responses and actions in response to a stimulus. The rIFG has previously been implicated in executive control, response inhibition, attentional control and suppression of emotional memories in functional paradigms (Aron, 2007; Aron,
Robbins, & Poldrack, 2004, 2014). Anatomically, the posterior and middle part of the IFG (pars opercularis and pars triangularis) were identified as two cortical terminations of the arcuate fasciculus (AF), a major white-matter bundle in the brain, by post-mortem fibre dissection and DTI tractography studies (Catani & Thiebaut de Schotten, 2008; Glasser & Rilling, 2008; Martino et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2015). The AF, as part of the superior longitudinal system (SLS), connects the IFG and the orbital gyri of the frontal lobe to temporal lobe cortices (Catani & Thiebaut de Schotten, 2008; Mandonnet, Sarubbo, & Petit, 2018). Long association fibres of the AF terminate in the IFG region (Catani, Howard, Pajevic, & Jones, 2002) and contribute substantially to white matter in this region.

Our findings of increased volume in the rIFG of recently traumatized patients stand in contrast to previous findings of reduced functional activity and cortical thinning in inhibition-related regions in PTSD patients. PTSD has been commonly associated with impairments in executive functions (Olff, Polak, Witteveen, & Denys, 2014) and deficits in inhibitory control (Aupperle, Melrose, Stein, & Paulus, 2012; DeGutis et al., 2015). Reduced neural activity has been found in PTSD patients in regions associated with inhibitory control during Go/No-Go Tasks (Aupperle et al., 2012; Falconer et al.,

**Figure 1.** (A) Brain region in right inferior frontal gyrus in which acutely traumatized patients show higher white matter volume than healthy controls (MNI coordinate: x = 47, y = 19, z = 13).
and a reduced rIFG response has been reported in a stop-signal anticipation task (Van Rooij et al., 2014) in PTSD patients compared to controls. Furthermore, recent-onset PTSD was associated with cortical thinning in the rIFG and regional cortical thickness of rIFG was negatively associated with symptom severity in the same study (Liu et al., 2012). Yet, there is evidence that increased volume of the rIFG can be related to cognitive dysfunction in psychiatric disorders. Larger grey matter volume of the rIFG has been discussed as a biomarker of genetic risk for bipolar disorders (Drobinin et al., 2019; Hajek et al., 2013) and larger white matter volume in the vicinity of rIFG in patients with remitted depressive depression was associated with executive function deficits in a study of Yuan et al. (2009). Interestingly, larger rIFG volume was related to an increased number of early intrusive memories after experimental trauma exposure (trauma film paradigm) in healthy participants (Gvozdanoviz, Stämpfli, Seifritz, & Rasch, 2019).

Increased white matter volume close to rIFG cortex in our study may be interpreted as follows: it might reflect a compensatory response to pre-trauma inhibitory control deficits and/or pre-trauma emotion regulation difficulties that are compensated by increased recruitment of suppression-based emotion regulation strategies (Aupperle et al., 2012). Supporting evidence for pre-trauma cognitive control deficits comes from twin-studies, linking lower pre-trauma cognitive functioning to PTSD (Gilbertson et al., 2006). It might further be possible that pre-trauma emotion regulation difficulties impair the ability of trauma-exposed individuals to deal with an acute posttraumatic stress response in the aftermath of a traumatic event and lead to a reliance on avoidant coping strategies. Supporting evidence is provided by studies linking pre-trauma emotion regulation difficulties with PTSD symptoms (Badour & Feldner, 2013; Bardeen et al., 2013). Therefore, pre-trauma habitual use of expressive suppression and related structural characteristics might constitute a risk factor for the development of PTSD. Prospective studies with healthy participants showed that use of expressive suppression is associated with impaired social functioning and increased stress levels (Butler et al., 2003; Srivastava, Tamir, McGonigal, John, & Gross, 2009). Unfortunately, prospective studies relating pre-trauma use of expressive suppression and PTSD psychopathology after a potential traumatic event are lacking. Since we examined the participants in our study retrospectively, we cannot clarify whether anatomical differences in our study reflect a pre-existing condition or the result of increased emotion regulation in response to the traumatic event or both. Prospective studies examining inter-individual differences in the use of emotion regulation strategies and brain structure in relation to potentially traumatic events are needed to address this question more specifically.

As another option, it could be possible that inhibiting emotion expressive behaviour does require more recruitment of ventrolateral prefrontal regions in recently traumatized patients compared to healthy controls due to heightened levels of negative emotions in patients. Interestingly, enhanced task-related recruitment of regions associated with cognitive control and top-down attentional control has been observed in trauma-exposed individuals without PTSD relative to PTSD patients and healthy individuals (New et al., 2009), whereas PTSD patients showed deficits in recruiting the superior and inferior frontal cortex and parietal cortex (Blair et al., 2013). Blair et al. (2013) suggest that impaired ability to recruit cognitive control regions in PTSD could be driven by a stronger emotional response rather than reflecting a recruitment deficit.

The findings of this study have to be seen in light of some limitations. First, our results are based on a small sample size of 20 patients and 20 healthy controls due to the challenge of recruiting patients at an early state after trauma-exposure. With regard to small sample sizes, significant effects might represent an overestimation of the effect in the population (Button et al., 2013). Replication of the current findings is therefore critical, ideally with a larger sample size to increase statistical power. Second, patients were included by diagnoses that were taken from the medical record. Although diagnoses were made by trained trauma therapists according to the criteria of the International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems (ICD-10; World Health Organization, 2004), verification of diagnoses by standardized tools based on DSM-criteria (Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS), Cwik and Woud (2015); Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-5 (SCID-5), First, Williams, Karg, & Spitzer, 2015) would significantly improve the quality of the study. Third, a trauma-exposed control group is lacking in our design. Future studies should include trauma-exposed controls and control for PTSD symptom severity.

In conclusion, our study indicates that habitual use of expressive suppression is associated with larger white matter volume close to right IFG cortex of recently traumatized patients compared to non-traumatized controls. Practical implications of our results might be specifically targeting and reducing the use of expressive suppression during PTSD treatment. Promising results have been observed in a study of Boden et al. (2013), where change in expressive suppression during treatment was predictive of PTSD symptom severity at treatment discharge in a sample of military veterans. However, further research is needed to better understand how white matter abnormalities may be associated with inhibitory control processes and maladaptive emotion regulation in the development and maintenance of PTSD.
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