Design of Sealable Custom-Shaped Cell Mimicries Based on Self-Assembled Monolayers on CYTOP Polymer
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ABSTRACT: In bottom-up synthetic biology, one of the major methodological challenges is to provide reaction spaces that mimic biological systems with regard to topology and surface functionality. Of particular interest are cell- or organelle-shaped membrane compartments, as many protein functions unfold at lipid interfaces. However, shaping artificial cell systems using materials with non-intrusive physicochemical properties, while maintaining flexible lipid interfaces relevant to the reconstituted protein systems, is not straightforward. Herein, we develop micropatterned chambers from CYTOP, a less commonly used polymer with good chemical resistance and a refractive index matching that of water. By forming a self-assembled lipid monolayer on the polymer surface, we dramatically increased the biocompatibility of CYTOP-fabricated systems. The phospholipid interface provides an excellent passivation layer to prevent protein adhesion to the hydrophobic surface, and we succeeded in cell-free protein synthesis inside the chambers. Importantly, the chambers could be sealed after loading by a lipid monolayer, providing a novel platform to study encapsulated systems. We successfully reconstituted pole-to-pole oscillations of the Escherichia coli MinDE system, which responds dramatically to compartment geometry. Furthermore, we present a simplified fabrication of our artificial cell compartments via replica molding, making it a readily accessible technique for standard cleanroom facilities.
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INTRODUCTION

Functional encapsulation of biochemical reactions inside artificial biomimetic compartments is one of the most fundamental challenges in bottom-up synthetic biology. Many cellular reactions depend dramatically on volume size and topology as well as surface properties of the reaction spaces they are enclosed to. Often, binding to and from membranes, membrane insertion, or membrane transformation is part of their cellular functionality. Mimicking membrane-enclosed compartments of a particular shape and at the same time retaining structural flexibility are a daunting task that has so far not been conclusively solved. On the one hand, there is a strong motivation to maintain the classical advantages of in vitro techniques, such as high throughput, controllability, and accessibility of experiments. Examples of preferred compartments include water-in-oil droplets and liposomes, which can be produced in large numbers and feature cell-sized volumes with phospholipid mono- or bilayer interfaces. Through their mimicry of the cellular phospholipid interface, these compartments can support not only cytosolic reactions, but also those that involve membranes.1,2 On the other hand, deforming them to any other shape than their equilibrium spherical geometry remains a challenge,3,4 and thus, there is an ongoing quest to develop new platforms that support the custom design of reaction spaces other than spheres.5,6 In this regard, the most prominent developments have been through microfabrication and photolithography techniques to pattern materials into any custom shape, including cell mimics.6−8 However, their effectiveness in biological studies depends greatly on the biocompatibility of the materials used to fabricate such structures. Cytotoxicity, poor compatibility with reagents, and a large refractive index mismatch with water (rendering their analysis by common microscopy techniques problematic) are notorious problems faced with commonly used materials. At the same time, standardized production routines and reasonable costs should support the development into a robust, widely accessible platform. In the past years, one of the most widely used polymers for the design of biomimetic reaction spaces has been PDMS (polydimethylsiloxane).9 Prepolymer of PDMS is commercially available, inexpensive, and easy to prepare. It is also biocompatible and unreactive. Membrane-coated PDMS chambers have been successfully
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employed to mimic the elongated shape of bacteria for the reconstitution of oscillatory dynamics, resulting from the self-organization of geometry-sensitive MinCDE proteins involved in bacterial cell division. However, constructing fully membrane-enclosed reaction volumes based on PDMS support is tedious and bears the risk of artifact generation under non-ideal optical conditions.

In this study, we turn our attention to CYTOP, an amorphous fluorinated polymer, whose unique physico-chemical properties make it a material for a wide range of applications. In particular, with its low autofluorescence and refractive index \( n = 1.34 \) similar to that of water \( (n = 1.33) \), CYTOP can be readily used in combination with optical microscopy. It has high chemical resistance and therefore a high compatibility with many reagents, including organic solvents and oils that could otherwise dissolve or swell conventionally used microfabrication materials, such as PDMS. As a hydrophobic material, it has a notably low surface energy \( (19 \text{ mN m}^{-1}) \), which makes it a useful coating material as a release layer in microfluidic applications.

Compartmentalized structures fabricated with CYTOP have been used for biological applications in the past. Particularly noteworthy are the Y-shaped or symmetric bilayer chamber systems (ALBiC), developed by Noji et al., that are powerful tools to study functions of water-soluble enzymes or membrane-associated proteins. Although CYTOP has an excellent compatibility with many water-soluble proteins, its very low surface energy (and high hydrophobicity) result in enhanced adsorption and, consequently, often denaturation of more hydrophobic proteins coming into contact with the surface. Peripheral membrane proteins, transmembrane proteins, or proteins that generally contain hydrophobic moieties that are either exposed or not well shielded cannot usually be studied in combination with CYTOP surfaces.

To overcome this problem, we developed a supported lipid–CYTOP interface, where the CYTOP surface is coated with a phospholipid monolayer. The stable layer of lipids not only provides an excellent passiveation of the surface against the adsorption of hydrophobic molecules and residues, but also converts the interface into a model membrane platform to study lipid–lipid interactions, the functional role of membrane proteins, membrane–protein interactions, as well as other biochemical processes, such as molecular transport, signaling, and catalysis.

Furthermore, we introduce replica molding to simplify compartment fabrication. Instead of lithographic patterning of each CYTOP chip independently, our process requires an SU8 master to be prepared in the cleanroom only once, as subsequent replica chips can be patterned using inexpensive tools outside of the cleanroom.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Chamber Fabrication by Photolithography.

Chambers were patterned as described previously. CYTOP 816AP (Asahi-Glass, Japan) was coated on a glass cover slide \( (32 \text{ mm} \times 24 \text{ mm}; \text{ Matsunami}) \) by spin-coating at 4000 rpm for 30 s and baked for 1 h at 180 °C. Note that the different grades of CYTOP used in the subsequent methods differ only in their functional groups, which is important in how they couple to their various substrates \( (\text{e.g., glass}) \), but not in the overall material properties, such as refractive index, surface energy, or cross-linking density. The thickness of the CYTOP layer was 3 μm, as determined by an optical profiler (VK-X1000; Keyence). Photolithography was then performed using a positive photoresist (AZP4903; AZ Electronic Materials) to pattern mask structures on the CYTOP layer. Subsequently, the resist-patterned substrate was dry etched with O₁₂ plasma using a reactive ion-etching system (RIE-10NR; Samco). For photoresist lift-off, the substrate was sonicated in acetone for 10 min and rinsed with 2-propanol and deionized water.

### Chamber Fabrication by Replica Molding.

Replica-molded CYTOP chamber fabrication was adopted from an X-ray chip fabrication method that used solvent-diluted epoxy resin. First, an SU8 master was fabricated by standard photolithography methods: on a 2” silicon wafer, a 3 μm thickness SUI 3005 (Microsem) was spin coated, subsequently exposed to UV, and developed. To fabricate the stamp, PDMS was mixed with a cross linker in 10:1 weight ratio, cast on the SU8 master, and cured at 75 °C over 2 h. The PDMS was then peeled off the master. Subsequently, CYTOP 109AE was drop-cast on a clean glass cover slide, and the stamp was pressed down with a weight of ~65 mg mm⁻². CYTOP was gently cured on a hotplate at 50 °C over 20 min, until full solvent evaporation. Finally, the stamp was gently peeled off.

### Giant Unilamellar Vesicles Preparation and Hemifusion with CYTOP Surface.

Giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs) composed of DOPC, containing 0.005 mol% Atto655-DOPE, were produced by electroformation in polytetrafluoroethylene chambers with platinum electrodes 4 mm apart, as described previously. Briefly, 6 μL of the lipid mixture (2 mg mL⁻¹ in chloroform) was spread onto two platinum wires and dried in a desiccator for 30 min. The chamber was then filled with 370 μL of a 250 mM sucrose solution of sucrose. An AC electric field of 2 V (RMS) was applied at a frequency of 10 Hz for 1.5 h, followed by 2 Hz for 45 min. The obtained solution was diluted 1:10 in volume in 250 mM sucrose and further diluted 1:7 in volume in buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, pH 7.5).

A flat CYTOP was prepared by spin-coating a film of CYTOP (809M); diluted 1:10 in volume in solvent CT-SOLV180, at 3000 rpm for 40 s; and a reaction chamber assembled, as described previously.

### Monolayer Preparation on CYTOP Surface.

All lipids were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL, USA), unless otherwise stated. Small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) composed of DOPC or DOPC/DOPG, containing 0.005 mol% Atto655-DOPE (Atto-TEC GmbH, Siegen, Germany), were prepared at a concentration of 4 mg mL⁻¹ in buffer M, as described elsewhere. Shortly, lipids dissolved in chloroform were dried under nitrogen stream, and vials were placed in a desiccator to remove residual chloroform for at least 30 min. Afterward, lipids were slowly rehydrated in buffer M and SUVs were generated by sonication in a water bath (model 1510; Branson) until the solution appeared clear.

To deposit self-assembled monolayers (SAM) on a planar surface, we first spin-coated a film of CYTOP (809M); diluted 1:10 in volume in solvent CT-SOLV180, at 3000 rpm for 40 s; and assembled a reaction chamber, as described previously. SUVs were added to the chamber at a concentration of 0.5 mg mL⁻¹ in buffer M. After 10 min incubation on a 37 °C on a heating block, the SAM was gently washed with a total of 600 μL buffer S (25 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM KCl, pH 7.5) to remove excess vesicles.

### CYTOP Chamber Loading and Sealing.

A flow cell was constructed, as described elsewhere. To prepare SAM on the fabricated chamber surface, SUVs were added to the flow cell at a concentration of 0.5 mg mL⁻¹ in buffer M. After 10 min incubation on a 37 °C warm heating block, the SAM was washed by exchanging the volume inside the flow cell 3 times with buffer S to remove excess vesicles. After coating the surface with lipids, the desired reaction mixture was flushed in to fill up the chambers.

To seal the chambers, we first prepared a lipid–mineral oil mixture. A DOPC/DOPG mixture (7:3 molar ratio), dissolved in chloroform, was partially evaporated in a glass vial until the meniscus touched the bottom. Mineral oil (Art-Nr. HP50.1; Carl Roth) was added and
vortexed, with the final concentration of lipid in the mixture at 2.5 mg mL$^{-1}$. Handling of mineral oil was done in a nitrogen chamber (<10% relative humidity). This mixture was then flushed into the flow cell to seal the chambers.

Fluorescence Microscopy Imaging and Fluorescence Recovery after Photobleaching. Z-stack images of loaded chambers were obtained on a Zeiss LSM780 confocal laser scanning microscope using a Zeiss C-Apochromat 40×/1.20 water-immersion objective (Carl Zeiss AG, Oberkochen, Germany). Alexa 488 was excited using the 488 nm argon laser, and Atto655-DOPE using the 633 nm He–Ne laser.

Surface images were taken on Nikon Eclipse Ti2 in a TIRF (total internal reflection fluorescence) setup using a Nikon APO TIRF 60×/1.4 oil immersion objective, and for imaging chambers, we used Nikon Eclipse Ti in a spinning disk confocal setup, with the Nikon Plan Fluor 20×/0.75 water immersion objective (both Nikon GmbH, Düsseldorf, Germany). We used the 490 and 640 nm diode laser lines to image GFP and Atto655-DOPE, respectively. All images were processed using Fiji with the standard set of packaged plugins sufficient for basic analysis.

For fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) analysis, a circular spot of 5 μm diameter was illuminated with full laser power for 20 ms, and the average intensity was recorded every 3 s over 300 s. Measurements were taken at room temperature (23 °C). Intensity in a second area of the same dimensions was recorded in the same field of view, in order to correct for the intensity drift. The intensity trace was fit to the equation derived by Soumpasis$^{24}$

$$f(t) = e^{-2\psi/t} \left( I_0 \frac{2\tau_0}{t} + I_1 \frac{2\tau_1}{t} \right)$$

Here, $\tau_0$ is the characteristic timescale for diffusion, and $t$ is the time. $f(t)$ is the normalized fluorescence, and $I_0$ and $I_1$ are modified Bessel functions. The diffusion timescale for a bleached spot of radius $r$ is $\tau_0 = r^2/4D$ with $D$ the diffusion coefficient. A custom code written in Python was used for curve fitting and data analysis.

Figure 1. CYTOP chambers microfabricated by (A) photolithography involving reactive ion etching (RIE) and (B) replica molding. Fabricated chambers were imaged on a laser profiler (C, above): RIE; (C, below): replica molded. Chambers were fabricated with height ~3 μm and width ~5 μm, and their height profiles are plotted in (D), which reveals that replica-molded chambers can be fabricated with remarkable similarity to those made by RIE.

Cell-Free Extract. S30 cell extracts were prepared from either *Esherichia coli* strain BL21 (DE3) or A19 according to previous protocols described by Kigawa et al.$^{23}$ and Kai et al.$^{25,26}$ The basic cell-free reactions for batch configuration was set up according to previous protocols by Kigawa with modifications as follows: 17 mM of HEPES/KOH (pH 7.5); 3.2 mM of ATP; 1.6 mM of CTP, GTP, and UTP; 1 mM of DTT; 0.175 mg mL$^{-1}$ of *E. coli* total tRNA mixture; 0.64 mM of cAMP; 210 mM of potassium glutamate; 8.7 mM of ammonium acetate; 21 mM of magnesium acetate; 34 μg mL$^{-1}$ of 1,5-diformyl-5,6,7,8-tetrahydrofolic acid (folinic acid); 2.0 mM each of 20 amino acids; 2% PEG (8000); 100 mM of creatine phosphate; 250 mM of creatine kinase; 15 ng μL$^{-1}$ of plasmid DNA template; 30% (v/v) of S30 extract from *E. coli* BL21 (DE3); and 10 μg mL$^{-1}$ T7 RNA polymerase.

To estimate the concentration of purified eGFP, an absorption spectrum of a 1:100 dilution in buffer M was recorded from 300 to 600 nm using a Jasco V-650 spectrophotometer (Jasco Deutschland GmbH, Germany). The absorption peak at 489 nm was used in combination with the Lambert–Beer relation, $A = ecl$ ($A$ = measured absorption, $e$ = 55 000 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$ for eGFP, path length = 10 mm), to estimate the concentration $c$ of the dilute solution. We took a dilution series of this solution to create an intensity standard, which we used to estimate the concentration of our expressed protein.

MinDE Self-Organization Assays. For the reconstitution of the MinDE assay on planar surfaces, we used protocols as described in earlier literature.$^{27}$ For reconstitution in the chambers, a mixture of 0.5 μM MinD (doped with 30% eGFP- MinD), 1 μM MinE, and 2.5 mM ATP (from 100 mM ATP stock in 100 mM MgCl$_2$, pH 7.5) in buffer M was first prepared. The mixture was then loaded onto the flow cell and incubated for 30 min at room temperature (23 °C). The chambers were then sealed, as described previously.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**CYTOP Chambers Fabricated by Reactive Ion Etching and Replica Molding.** Photolithography is a commonly used method to pattern materials, including CYTOP, that are
Figure 2. (A) GUVs hemifuse with CYTOP to form SAM patches. (B) Intensity profile of lipid membrane patches (taken through the diameter) formed by GUV fusion on glass (D) and on CYTOP (E). (C) Area of the membrane patch was plotted over time [from time lapse image, (E)]. From the initial gradient, we calculated the surface energy of the material (21 ± 3 mN m⁻¹).

Hemifusion of GUVs on CYTOP Confirms the Formation of SAM. To address the issue of CYTOP’s biocompatibility, we attempted to coat the surface of CYTOP with lipid membrane. On hydrophobic surfaces, such as that of CYTOP, vesicles are thought to undergo the process of hemifusion, during which the lipids in the vesicle bilayer reorganize themselves such that the hydrophobic tails (of both the inner and outer leaflets of the vesicle) come into contact with the surface. This forms a low-energy interface between the hydrophobic substrate and the surrounding buffer, as a result of the formation of a lipid SAM.

To test the hypothesis that vesicles form a lipid monolayer upon hemifusion on the CYTOP surface, we utilized GUVs (Figure 2A). Typically with diameters 5–20 μm, these membrane structures are large enough to be well resolved by optical microscopy, making them ideal test substrates for detailed analyses of the fusion process and the formed lipid patch.

We prepared a flat spin-coated CYTOP and deposited a solution containing GUVs on top of the CYTOP surface. We then observed the hemifusion process by TIRF microscopy. The SAM patch formed through GUV hemifusion resulted in a unique intensity profile on the CYTOP surface (Figure 2B) that is markedly different from that of a supported lipid bilayer (SLB) formed on glass (Figure 2D). The formed SAM patch appeared fuzzy, with a less-defined edge because of a gradual intensity fall-off that implies a sparser lipid density away from the center of the fusion site. In contrast, an SLB patch had a very defined edge with a sharp intensity fall-off, and the lipids formed a homogeneous coverage. A previous study on the hemifusion of GUVs on a hydrophobically functionalized glass surface showed that GUV-fused SAM patches have a characteristic morphology and behavior, from which we could draw close comparisons with our observations.

We observed the hemifusion of a GUV in high time resolution (30 ms time intervals) by TIRF microscopy; we can see the vesicle coming into contact, fusing, and spreading on the CYTOP surface (Figure 3E) and plotted the area increase over time (Figure 3C). We also observe the same by spinning disk confocal microscopy (Figure S1), where we can more clearly see the vesicle outline during fusion, which shrinks as lipids are reorganized and the SAM spreads over the surface. A physical model was developed by Zan et al., in which the instantaneous free energy gain because of the lipids spreading and the covering of the hydrophobic surface by the lipid tails is balanced by the frictional losses of the lipids flowing from the center of the hemifusion toward the edge of the covered area.
Chambers were taken with a confocal microscope. The lipids are approximately the area over which the lipid layer spreads. Using the value of friction coefficient, the surface energy, and the initial rate of area expansion, we can approximate the surface energy by a linear equation:

\[ \frac{dA}{dt} \approx \frac{1.1\pi\gamma}{b} \]

where \( \gamma \) is the surface energy, \( b \) is the friction coefficient, and \( A \) is the area over which the lipid layer spreads. Using the value of \( b \approx 10^8 \text{ N m}^{-3} \) (based on Zan et al.29) and taking the initial linear gradient from the first \( \sim 500 \text{ ms} \) of area expansion after fusion occurs, we calculated the surface energy of the material. The linear fit to the data is in good agreement with previous measurements, which is in good agreement with previous measurements of lipid membranes.

**Self-Assembled Lipid Monolayers Formed on CYTOP through SUV Fusion.** Having obtained strong evidence for the formation of SAMs on CYTOP, we attempted to form a uniform coating of lipids on the fabricated chamber surface. For this purpose, we deposited a solution containing a high concentration of SUVs on top of the CYTOP surface (Figure 3A). We prepared the SUVs with two lipid compositions: one containing DOPC and another with a DOPC/DOPG mixture (7:3). The latter has a net negative charge, which is crucial for the function of many membrane-interacting proteins, such as E. coli MinDE.

Both RIE-prepared and replica-molded structures were successfully coated with a homogeneous SAM (Figure S2), whose membrane mobility was assessed by FRAP (Figure 3B,C). In all cases, the obtained lipid diffusion coefficients were between 1.0 and 1.5 \( \mu \text{m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \) (Table 1), which are comparable to those of other SAM systems (0.2–2 \( \mu \text{m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \)), and still in the range of those measured for SLBs (1–6 \( \mu \text{m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>diffusion coefficient (( \mu \text{m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} ))</th>
<th>mobile fraction (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOPC 1.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>98 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOPC/DOPG 1.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>97 ± 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Calculated Diffusion Coefficients from FRAP Analysis**

*Error represents standard deviation of measurement values from \( n = 15 \) independent experiments.*
whose surface area-to-volume ratio is high, emphasizes this problem; adsorption onto the larger surface to available volume can lead to a more significant depletion of components away from the reaction volume. Here, we show that GFP can be readily expressed within SAM-covered chambers, as the increased biocompatibility because of the SAM allows protein synthesis to occur efficiently.

The chambers were fabricated by the two alternative methods outlined before (photolithography and replica molding). The SAM was formed on the surface, and the chambers were subsequently loaded with the cell-free extract. After sealing the chambers, we incubated them at 37 °C over 5 h, monitoring the GFP expression levels by measuring the fluorescence intensity in the fabricated wells every 30 min (Figure 4).

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** GFP expression by CFPS in sealed CYTOP chambers. (A) Time lapse images of the chambers, with time intervals of 30 min. (B) Z-stack image of the sealed chambers. (C) GFP expression levels against incubation time at 37 °C. Error bars represent standard deviation of measurement values from n = 5 independent experiments.

In our chambers, GFP was expressed almost linearly for the first 2 h of incubation, after which the expression slowed down. Without lipid passivation, GFP levels stayed low even after 5 h of incubation. This is a strong indication that the SAM’s passivation is effective at preventing essential cell-free components from adsorbing to the CYTOP surface.

In this experimental set-up, each glass slide contains around 100 000 chambers of picoliter-sized reaction volumes. In our flow cell, the required sample volume used for loading was as low as 40 μL. The high-throughput, yet highly efficient, nature of these microfabricated systems can be further improved by connecting it to a microfluidic set-up, and this could also allow more complex exchange of solutions, to enable, for example, the generation of concentration gradients over the slide.

The expression of proteins using cell-free extracts in such high-throughput systems can be a powerful technique. Directed evolution of enzymes, where DNA from a DNA library could be introduced to each chamber and directly expressed in the cell-free extract, is an example. We also speculate that this technique can be combined with ALBiC-style chambers, developed by Noji et al., where, instead of having a mineral oil seal, the chambers have a free-standing bilayer interface sealing the top of the chamber.

**SAM on CYTOP as a Platform for the Study of Membrane-Interacting Protein Systems: E. coli MinDE.** We investigated whether the lipid interface formed on CYTOP can be used as a platform to study membrane-interacting proteins. In particular, we investigated the *E. coli* MinDE system, which is known to form dynamic patterns when reconstituted on supported lipid membranes. The reconstitution of the Min system on planar surfaces, as well as encapsulation in aspherical compartments, has been well studied, and therefore, it is an ideal model protein system to test our new platform. Importantly, either the micropatterning methods employed in previous studies have not succeeded in fully sealing the reaction volume or the structural material they have used can have unfavorable chemical or optical properties, particularly in the case of PDMS.

The *E. coli* MinDE system involves two proteins, MinD and MinE: MinD forms a dimer in the presence of ATP, upon which it attaches cooperatively to the membrane. At higher local concentrations on the membrane, it recruits MinE. MinD’s ATPase activity gets activated when MinE is bound to it, which in turn triggers its detachment from the membrane. The time delay between the accumulation of MinD on the membrane and MinE-triggered detachment, or in other words, the delayed negative feedback, results in traveling waves of Min proteins on a planar surface, and pole-to-pole oscillations when confined in a cylindrical geometry, which has been shown to position the cell division ring in *E. coli*.

First, we prepared a planar CYTOP surface by spin-coating and coated the surface by SUV hemifusion (lipid composition DOPC/DOPG in 7:3 ratio). We then incubated purified MinDE proteins and observed their dynamics. Dynamic spiral patterns were observed on the surface (Figures S5A and S5), in good qualitative agreement with observations made in previous studies on supported bilayers. The measured period (1–2 min) and wavelength (~30 μm) compare well with previous studies on bilayers (period 0.6–2 min, wavelength 50–110 μm) as well as on monolayer surfaces at the air–water interface (period 0.5–1 min, wavelength 30–60 μm). The Min wavelength is known to vary according to the substrate and its preparation, and a different wavelength on CYTOP can therefore be expected.

As a control, we checked that MinD is indeed adhering to and denaturing on CYTOP without the SAM (Figure S5B). Without MinE and in the presence of ATP, MinD still has a basal on/off rate from the membrane that is not catalyzed by MinE binding. Without the SAM, FRAP analysis on this system shows only partial recovery, which suggests that most of the MinD is permanently bound to the CYTOP surface. With the SAM, MinD fluorescence almost fully recovers, which indicates a healthy cycling of MinD from the membrane into the bulk volume.

We fabricated chambers as bacteria mimics—in elongated chambers with aspect ratios (width/length) ranging from 1:1 to 1:5. Both photolithography (Figure S5) and replica molding (Figure S6) methods were tested, and we confined the MinDE proteins within these chambers and observed their dynamics. After encapsulation, MinDE performed pole-to-pole oscillations in the longer chambers, in good agreement with previous in vitro studies. These standing waves determine midcell in *E. coli*: the time-averaged concentration of MinD is depleted in the middle, and this is where the FtsZ ring can form and act as a scaffold for subsequent assembly of the cell division machinery. In small aspect ratio chambers, we also observed volume oscillations or “blinking” of Min proteins, where the Min proteins are periodically either attached to or
dissociated from the membrane. This behavior was also seen previously in spherical vesicles and in systems with high surface/volume ratios.\textsuperscript{44,46}

The successful encapsulation of the MinDE system conveys two important messages with regard to other potential applications of the CYTOP structures described here. The first is that complex protein systems, whose function is dependent on geometry, can be readily studied in these confined and asymmetrical chambers when they are passivated by a lipid membrane. The second is that a negatively charged lipid membrane can be formed on the surface, providing an active and catalytic interface that is essential for the function of many proteins. Indeed, most prokaryotic cell membranes are negatively charged, and therefore, many bacterial protein systems are adapted to work on this type of membrane. A more comprehensive investigation of lipid species, such as PIP\(_2\) or DOPS, could further extend the range of protein systems (e.g., eukaryotic) that CYTOP-SAM chambers can be utilized for.

\textbf{CONCLUSIONS}

In the search for new materials for the fabrication of biomimetic and biocompatible compartments to encapsulate complex protein systems, we turned our attention to CYTOP, an amorphous fluorinated polymer that has good chemical resistance and ideal optical properties for imaging with standard fluorescence microscopy methods. We demonstrated that CYTOP chambers can be fabricated by two methods: one, by photolithography using RIE, and second, by replica molding. In order to increase the range of compatible biosystems, we deposited an SAM on the CYTOP surface by SUV fusion. By coating it with lipid monolayers, the surface can be efficiently passivated against adhesion and subsequent denaturation of proteins. Importantly, the structures can be fully sealed by a lipid monolayer, enabling the design of closed membrane compartments of defined 3D geometry. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the CYTOP-SAM is an improved model membrane platform to study membrane-interacting proteins, in particular the dynamic MinDE system.
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\caption{MinDE system dynamics reconstituted on CYTOP-SAM. (A) Min proteins form spiral waves on a planar surface. (B) As a control, MinD activity on the surface was checked by FRAP with and without the SAM. (C) Min proteins can be encapsulated in rod-shaped chambers, with aspect ratios ranging from 1:1−5. (D) Time lapse images of pole-to-pole oscillations in rod-shaped chambers and (E) “blinking” dynamics in symmetrical compartments.}
\end{figure}
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