

2021

HAMBURG CLIMATE FUTURES OUTLOOK



Assessing the plausibility of
deep decarbonization by 2050



Universität Hamburg

DER FORSCHUNG | DER LEHRE | DER BILDUNG

CLUSTER OF EXCELLENCE
CLIMATE, CLIMATIC CHANGE,
AND SOCIETY (CLICCS)

About CLICCS

Researchers from a wide range of disciplines have joined forces at the Cluster of Excellence CLICCS (Climate, Climatic Change, and Society) to investigate how climate and society will co-evolve. The CLICCS program is coordinated through Universität Hamburg's Center for Earth System Research and Sustainability (CEN) in close collaboration with multiple partner institutions and is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).

About the Outlook

In the annual *Hamburg Climate Futures Outlook*, CLICCS researchers make the first systematic attempt to assess which climate futures are plausible, by combining multidisciplinary assessments of plausibility.

The inaugural 2021 *Hamburg Climate Futures Outlook* addresses the question: Is it plausible that the world will reach deep decarbonization by 2050?

DOI: 10.25592/uhhfdm.9104

URL: www.cliccs.uni-hamburg.de/results/hamburg-climate-futures-outlook.html

Citation

Stammer, Detlef; Anita Engels; Jochem Marotzke; Eduardo Gresse; Christopher Hedemann; Jan Petzold (eds.); 2021. *Hamburg Climate Futures Outlook 2021. Assessing the plausibility of deep decarbonization by 2050*. Cluster of Excellence Climate, Climatic Change, and Society (CLICCS). Hamburg, Germany.

Author List

PART I: PLAUSIBILITY ASSESSMENT OF CLIMATE FUTURES

Chapter 1: **Detlef Stammer**, Anita Engels, Jochem Marotzke, Eduardo Gresse, Christopher Hedemann, Jan Petzold

Chapter 2: **Detlef Stammer**, Anita Engels, Jochem Marotzke, Eduardo Gresse, Christopher Hedemann, Jan Petzold

Box 1: **Eduardo Gresse, Christopher Hedemann, Jan Petzold**

Chapter 3: **Hermann Held**, Stefan Aykut, Christopher Hedemann, Chao Li, Jochem Marotzke, Jan Petzold, Uwe Schneider

Box 2: **Beate Ratter**, Jürgen Oßenbrügge, Peter Fröhle, Hermann Held, Michael Köhl, Jan Petzold

Chapter 4: **Stefan Aykut, Antje Wiener**, Anita Engels, Eduardo Gresse, Christopher Hedemann, Jan Petzold

Chapter 5: **Anita Engels, Eduardo Gresse, Christopher Hedemann, Jan Petzold**

5.1: Eduardo Gresse, Christopher Hedemann, Jan Petzold, Anita Engels

5.2: Stefan Aykut, Alexander Bassen, Jürgen Beyer, Michael Brüggemann, Timo Busch, Emilie d'Amico, Anita Engels, Thomas Frisch, Eduardo Gresse, Lars Guenther, Christopher Hedemann, Johannes Jarke-Neuert, Matthew Johnson, Andreas Lange, Christopher Pavenstädt, Grischa Perino, Jan Petzold, Junis Sander, Jürgen Scheffran, Felix Schenuit, Martin Wickel, Antje Wiener, Jan Wilkens, Cathrin Zengerling

5.3: Anita Engels, Eduardo Gresse, Christopher Hedemann, Jan Petzold

Box 3: **Jan Petzold, Antje Wiener**, Martina Neuburger, Jan Wilkens, Alvine Datchoua-Tirvaudey, Michael Schnegg, Dirk Notz, Eduardo Gresse, Jürgen Scheffran, Jana Lüdemann, Tobias Schmitt, Katrin Singer

Chapter 6: **Jochem Marotzke, Christopher Hedemann**, Sebastian Milinski, Laura Suárez-Gutiérrez

Box 4: **Eduardo Gresse, Christopher Hedemann, Jan Petzold**

Chapter 7: **Hermann Held, Anita Engels, Jochem Marotzke, Detlef Stammer**

PART II: SOCIAL DRIVER ASSESSMENTS

Chapter 8:

8.1: **Stefan Aykut**, Felix Schenuit, Emilie d'Amico, Cathrin Zengerling, Jürgen Scheffran

8.2: **Jürgen Scheffran**, Cathrin Zengerling, Andreas Lange, Emilie d'Amico

8.3: **Grischa Perino**, Johannes Jarke-Neuert, Cathrin Zengerling, Martin Wickel, Felix Schenuit

8.4: **Grischa Perino**, Johannes Jarke-Neuert, Jan Wilkens, Christopher Pavenstädt

8.5: **Cathrin Zengerling**, Stefan Aykut, Antje Wiener, Martin Wickel

8.6: **Matthew Johnson**, Timo Busch

8.7: **Anita Engels**, Alexander Bassen, Timo Busch, Jürgen Beyer, Thomas Frisch

8.8: **Eduardo Gresse**, Anita Engels, Junis Sander

8.9: **Lars Guenther**, Michael Brüggemann

8.10: **Antje Wiener**, Felix Schenuit, Jan Wilkens

FAQs: **Maike Nicolai**

Reviewers

Enric Bas, Jörn Behrens, Leonie Färber, Gregory Flato, Pierre Friedlingstein, Oliver Geden, Sue Grimmond, Jim Hall, Franziska Hanf, Peter Haugan, Gabriele Hegerl, Charlotte Huch, Kerstin Jantke, Andreas Kannen, Franziska Müller, Heena Patel, Simone Pulver, Ingrid van Putten, Simone Rödder, Heinke Schlünzen, Karl Steininger, Anselm Vogler, Detlef van Vuuren

CLICCS Scientific Steering Committee

Anita Engels, Annette Eschenbach, Hermann Held, Inga Hense, Kerstin Jantke, Andreas Lange, Jochem Marotzke, Stephan Olbrich, Heinke Schlünzen, Corinna Schrum, Detlef Stammer, Anke Allner (advisory)

Acknowledgements

Funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy – EXC 2037 'CLICCS – Climate, Climatic Change, and Society' – Project Number: 390683824, contribution to the Center for Earth System Research and Sustainability (CEN) of Universität Hamburg.

Table of Contents

PART I: PLAUSIBILITY ASSESSMENT OF CLIMATE FUTURES

1	Introduction	11
2	Epistemological challenges for assessing plausibility	15
2.1	Identifying physical plausibility	16
2.2	Identifying social plausibility	17
2.3	Combining physical and social plausibility assessments	18
Box 1:	The <i>Hamburg Climate Futures Outlook</i> and other assessments of climate futures	19
3	Plausibility of model-based emissions scenarios	21
3.1	Climate scenarios used in the IPCC	22
3.2	The scenario framework of this Outlook	23
3.3	Plausibility of existing scenarios	24
3.4	Deep decarbonization by 2050	25
Box 2:	Synergies and trade-offs in the assessment of plausible climate futures	27
4	The Social Plausibility Assessment Framework	29
4.1	Societal climate futures as a research object	30
4.2	An assessment framework centered on social processes	33
5	Assessing the plausibility of deep decarbonization by 2050	39
5.1	Identifying the social drivers of decarbonization	40
5.2	Summary of the social driver assessments	41
5.3	Plausibility assessment of the scenario and its implications	49
Box 3:	Diverse ways of knowing in a changing climate	51
6	Which temperature trends can we expect for the 21st century?	53
6.1	Climate sensitivity and global mean surface temperature	54
6.2	When would we see the effect of emissions reductions in global temperature?	56
6.3	Regional temperature trends and their uncertainty	58
Box 4:	COVID-19 and the changing climate	60
7	Implications for climate futures	63

PART II: SOCIAL DRIVER ASSESSMENTS

8	Social driver assessments	69
8.1	UN climate governance	70
8.2	Transnational initiatives	75
8.3	Climate-related regulation	81
8.4	Climate protests and social movements	87
8.5	Climate litigation	90
8.6	Corporate responses	94
8.7	Fossil fuel divestment	98
8.8	Consumption patterns	101
8.9	Journalism	105
8.10	Knowledge production	109
	References	114
	Glossary	152
	Frequently asked questions	154
	Author list	6



Implications for climate futures

Implications for climate futures

The findings of this *Hamburg Climate Futures Outlook* present the currently available evidence for how physical and social dynamics influence climate futures. By combining physical and social plausibility assessments, we go beyond previous considerations of normative desirability or techno-economic feasibility (Box 1). The social plausibility assessment compels us to characterize the scenario of deep decarbonization by 2050 (Section 3.4) as currently not plausible (Section 5.3.1). Our assessment also shows the conditions under which the driver dynamics might change substantially and increase the plausibility of deep decarbonization by 2050 (Section 5.3.3). Our joint social and techno-economic plausibility assessments allow us to characterize both the highest and the lowest of the high-priority SSP scenarios as not plausible. Combining this finding with new assessments of climate sensitivity provides new upper and lower bounds for plausible global surface warming during the twenty-first century. We find that surface warming by 2100 of less than approximately 1.7°C relative to pre-industrial levels is not plausible, as is surface warming of more than approximately 4.9°C. In particular, we find that limiting global warming to below 1.5°C is currently not plausible (Section 6.1).

Our findings have several and in part opposing implications for climate action. First, societal actors who count on very low emissions scenarios and the lower end of the global surface warming range may feel greater urgency to increase the ambition and pace of climate mitigation and adaptation measures. By contrast, societal actors who orient themselves toward very high emissions scenarios and the higher end of the warming range might consider such futures to be less plausible, which could lead them toward reduced impetus for climate action. Finally, the uncertainty range for regional temperature change is shown to be larger than commonly appreciated (Section 6.3). Societal actors and decision-makers may therefore feel the need to re-evaluate what extremes in temperature they must prepare for.

Decision-makers must also consider future scenarios that include more than global and regional temperature changes. For developing appropriate adaptation strategies, changing precipitation patterns or sea-level changes may be more immediately relevant than temperature change. Possible trade-offs between different adaptation pathways, and between adaptation and mitigation strategies, must also be addressed in the policy process. Moreover, as important and urgent as climate change may be, it always competes for attention with other immediate problems that decision-makers must consider. Future versions of the *Hamburg*

Climate Futures Outlook may examine some of the processes that are involved in such decision-making for climate futures.

What does our assessment mean for climate futures? Deep decarbonization by 2050, while currently not plausible, is not impossible. However, if deep decarbonization is to be achieved by 2050, it requires increased societal pressure and political momentum for climate action, the implementation and worldwide diffusion of climate-friendly laws, policies, and infrastructures, and the redirection of financial resources away from fossil fuel engagements toward climate mitigation. We conclude from our social plausibility assessment that long-term pledges in line with deep decarbonization are insufficient on their own. Effective, short-term actions that align with these long-term pledges must also be taken in the coming decade. Otherwise, deep decarbonization by 2050 will indeed become impossible.

Authors:

Hermann Held, Anita Engels, Jochem Marotzke, Detlef Stammer