High-Throughput Computational Screening of Ionic Liquids for Butadiene and Butene Separation
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Abstract: The separation of 1,3-butadiene (1,3-C 4 H 6 ) and 1-butene (n-C 4 H 8 ) is quite challenging due to their close boiling points and similar molecular structures. Extractive distillation (ED) is widely regarded as a promising approach for such a separation task. For ED processes, the selection of suitable entrainer is of central importance. Traditional ED processes using organic solvents suffer from high energy consumption. To tackle this issue, the utilization of ionic liquids (ILs) can serve as a potential alternative. In this work, a high-throughput computational screening of ILs is performed to find proper entrainers, where 36,260 IL candidates comprising of 370 cations and 98 anions are involved. COSMO-RS is employed to calculate the infinite dilution extractive capacity and selectivity of the 36,260 ILs. In doing so, the ILs that satisfy the prespecified thermodynamic criteria and physical property constraints are identified. After the screening, the resulting IL candidates are sent for rigorous process simulation and design. 1,2,3,4,5-pentamethylimidazolium methylcarbonate is found to be the optimal IL solvent. Compared with the benchmark ED process where the organic solvent N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone is adopted, the energy consumption is reduced by 26%. As a result, this work offers a new IL-based ED process for efficient 1,3-C 4 H 6 production.
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1. Introduction

1,3-Butadiene (1,3-C 4 H 6 ) is an important petrochemical-based raw material for producing polybutadiene, which is one of the most important synthetic rubbers. In 2015, 11 million metric tons of 1,3-C 4 H 6 valued at 30–40 billion dollars were produced [1]. The increasing demand and giant market potential of 1,3-C 4 H 6 led to widespread attention to its efficient production from both academia and industry. Typically, pure 1,3-C 4 H 6 stream can be isolated from the C 4 hydrocarbon mixtures that are generated from the steam cracking processes for ethylene generation. 1,3-C 4 H 6 accounts for 30–60% of the C 4 mixtures and the rest are mainly comprised of 1-butene (n-C 4 H 8 ), isobutene (iso-C 4 H 8 ), and butane (C 4 H 10 ) [2–4]. Within the C 4 mixture, the close boiling points between 1,3-C 4 H 6 (268.75 K) and n-C 4 H 8 (266.65 K) as well as their similar molecular structures cause great difficulties in the separation process by means of simple distillation. To overcome this challenge, an effective and efficient separation technique is highly desired.

It is well known that the extractive distillation (ED) process offers an efficient option for separating close-boiling mixtures. A proper entrainer can be introduced to significantly increase the relative volatility of the target component for enhancing the separation efficiency [5–8]. The polar aprotic solvents such as N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP),
dimethylformamide (DMF) and acetonitrile (ACN) are usually used in the industry. Nevertheless, when those organic solvents are used for C₄ separation, the associated processes are featured by a high solvent/feed ratio, requiring a large amount of energy for solvent recovery [8–10]. Thus, it is highly favored to design an energy-saving ED process from the economic point of view. In this case, the selection of a suitable entrainer is always crucial [11–13].

Ionic liquids (ILs), a category of neoteric solvent, are defined as molten salts with melting points below 100 °C. Due to their unique physicochemical properties, such as excellent solvation ability, wide liquid range, extremely low vapor pressure and flexible designability, ILs have received extensive attention for use in various applications [14–21]. Numerous studies have been reported for optimal design of ILs-based ED processes to separate azeotropic or close-boiling mixtures such as alcohol/water, alcohol/aliphatic hydrocarbons, aromatic/aliphatic hydrocarbons, etc. [22–26]. Despite the progress made, the investigation of ILs for separating 1,3-C₄H₆ and n-C₄H₈ is still limited. The existing studies focused on the experimental trial-and-error approaches (see references [27–31]). However, due to a large pool of diverse cation–anion combinations, the experimental procedure is time-consuming and costly. Importantly, only a small design space can be covered. Therefore, a reliable and efficient theoretical method is needed to identify the optimal ILs.

For efficient IL screening, the reliable prediction of thermodynamic properties of IL-containing systems is a prerequisite. To this end, COSMO-RS (Conductor-like Screening Model for Real Solvents) has been demonstrated to be a promising tool for predicting the thermodynamic properties of IL-containing systems accurately. Other than the activity coefficient models (e.g., NRTL, UNIFAC, UNIQAC) [32–36] and equation of state methods (e.g., SAFT) [37] that strongly rely on experimentally fitted parameters, COSMO-RS is a fully predictive thermodynamic model that combines quantum chemistry with statistical thermodynamics to generate reliable predictions. COSMO-RS is elucidated to be a versatile platform for fast IL screening with a given separation task, presenting good qualitative and, in many cases, acceptable quantitative prediction results [38–42]. Specifically for hydrocarbon systems involving ILs, COSMO-RS is verified to be robust for describing the phase behaviors (see references [43–46]). That is to say, it is advisable to apply COSMO-RS as the IL screening tool for 1,3-C₄H₆ recovery.

In this work, a high-throughput computational screening of ILs is performed for 1,3-C₄H₆ and n-C₄H₈ separation. Two systematic steps are incorporated. The first step accounts for IL screening based on predefined constraints on thermodynamic and physical properties. Thermodynamic evaluation based on the COSMO-RS is conducted to calculate infinite dilution capacity and selectivity of IL candidates. In addition, the physical properties (i.e., viscosity, toxicity and melting point) of ILs are estimated using available property prediction models. After the screening, the resulted IL candidates are sent to identify their explicit process performance. Process performance evaluation is carried out using Aspen Plus simulation (V8.8). As a result, [PMIM][MC] is optimally identified from 36,260 ILs, denoting much lower energy consumption in comparison with the benchmark ED process using NMP.

2. Methods Description

2.1. Thermodynamic Evaluation by COSMO-RS

Two major steps for COSMO-RS calculation are briefly illustrated here: first, through the standard quantum chemical computation, the screening charge density distributions (i.e., σ-profiles) for the compound of interest can be determined; second, the compound chemical potential is quantified from the statistical thermodynamics treatment of molecular interactions with the obtained σ-profiles. Consequently, the prediction of thermodynamic properties such as activity coefficient is feasible on account of the chemical potential of an arbitrary solute in any pure or mixed solvent.
COSMO-RS allows for the calculation of activity coefficient according to Equation (1):

$$\gamma_i^S = \exp \left\{ \frac{\mu_i^S - \mu_i^i}{RT} \right\}$$

(1)

where $\mu_i^S$ and $\mu_i^i$ are the chemical potentials of solute $i$ in the solvent $S$ and pure compound $i$, respectively. With this, the extractive capacity $C^\infty$ and selectivity $S^\infty$ at infinite dilution can be calculated accordingly:

$$C^\infty = \left( \frac{1}{\gamma_i^{\infty}} \right)^{IL}$$

(2)

$$S^\infty = \left( \frac{\gamma_j^{\infty}}{\gamma_i^{\infty}} \right)^{IL}$$

(3)

where $\gamma_i^{\infty}$ and $\gamma_j^{\infty}$ denote the infinite dilution activity coefficient of solute $i$ and dilute $j$ in an IL, respectively. $C^\infty$ and $S^\infty$ could reflect the extractive potential of a solvent with a specific separation task, which are widely adopted and proven to be useful in the literature [47–50]. In this study, the infinite dilution activity coefficient of 1,3-C$_4$H$_6$ and n-C$_4$H$_8$ in the 36,260 ILs (i.e., $\gamma_1^{\infty}$ and $\gamma_2^{\infty}$) are directly calculated using COSMO-RS (Version 19.0.5) with the BP_TZVP_C30_1901 parameterization. The 370 cations cover different cation families such as imidazolium, pyridinium, pyrrolidinium, piperidinium, ammonium and phosphonium, which are combined with 98 anions such as acetate, methylcarbonate, chloride, lactate, bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide, hexafluorophosphate, etc. The information about the involved cations and anions are tabulated in Table S1 (Supplementary Materials). The COSMO files of cations, anions and C$_4$ hydrocarbons are taken from the COSMO-RS database. Based on the auxiliary batch-processing program in COSMOTHERM (i.e., CT_CREATE), a template input file is needed for enabling an efficient computation. In addition, the infinite dilution activity coefficient of four commercial organic solvents (i.e., NMP, DMF, DMSO and ACN) can be calculated. Regarding the organic solvent as benchmark solvents, the $C^\infty$ and $S^\infty$ of IL candidates are directly compared with those of the four organic solvents to sort out the promising ILs with better thermodynamic performance for 1,3-C$_4$H$_6$ recovery.

2.2. Physical Properties Assessment

The ILs that satisfy the thermodynamic criteria should go through the physical property assessments from the perspective of practical application. Referring to the work by Eiden et al. [51], COSMO-RS is employed to predict the IL viscosity as a QSPR approach. The specific equation is written as:

$$\ln \left( \frac{\eta}{\eta_0} \right) = a \ln(r_m) + bE_{\text{diel}} + c\sigma' + d$$

(4)

where $r_m$ is the mean IL radius, $E_{\text{diel}}$ is the sum of dielectric energies of the cation and anion, and $\sigma'$ is the symmetry factor. To ensure that ILs can flow easily, the IL viscosity constraint is set to be:

$$\eta < 150 \text{ cP}$$

(5)

As the underlying hazards of ILs have gradually been recognized in recent years, the IL toxicity should be taken into account [52–54]. The EC50 (half-maximal effective concentration) of ILs against IPC-81 (Leukemia rat cell line) is considered and predicted using two machine learning (ML) models proposed by Wang et al. The two ML models consist of the feedforward neural network (FNN) and support vector machine (SVM) models [54]. According to the criteria proposed by the UFT research unit from the University of Bremen, the cytotoxicity of ILs towards IPC-81 can be divided into four categories; that is, very high (EC50 < 1.0), high (1.0 < EC50 < 100), moderate (100 < EC50 < 5000) and low (EC50 > 5000). Therefore, log10EC50 < 2 indicates a high toxicity, which should be avoided.

$$\log(\text{EC50}) \geq 2$$

(6)
Moreover, the IL melting point is estimated using the GC model developed by Lazzúrs et al. [55], as expressed by:

\[ T_m(K) = 288.7 + \sum_{i=1}^{31} n_i \Delta t_{ci} + \sum_{i=1}^{36} n_j \Delta t_{aj} \]  

where \( n_i \) and \( n_j \) are the numbers of the cation group \( i \) and anion group \( j \) in IL, respectively. \( \Delta t_{ci} \) and \( \Delta t_{aj} \) are the contributions of the cation and anion groups to the melting point, respectively. The melting point of ILs is set to be lower than 298.15 K to ensure the screened ILs are liquid under room temperature.

\[ T_m(K) < 298.15 \]  

2.3. Process Simulation

Aspen Plus V8.8 is employed to simulate the ED process for separating 1,3-C\(_4\)H\(_6\) and n-C\(_4\)H\(_8\). The organic solvent NMP is first used as entrainer. The corresponding ED process serves as the benchmark process for easy comparison. The components of NMP, 1,3-C\(_4\)H\(_6\) and n-C\(_4\)H\(_8\) are directly available in the Aspen databank. For IL-containing systems, since ILs are not included in the Aspen databank, they are defined as pseudo-components by specifying their molecular weights (MW), normal boiling points (NBP) and densities. The NBP of ILs is estimated by the fragment contribution-corresponding states (FC-CS) method proposed by Huang et al. [56]. The densities and molecular weights are acquired from the COSMO-RS calculation. Note that when NMP is utilized, the NRTL model is selected with the binary parameters retrieved directly from the Aspen database. For the IL process, COSMO-SAC is adopted as the thermodynamic model. Six parameters of molecular volume (CSACVL) and sigma profiles (SGPRF1 to SGPRF5) are calculated by COSMO-RS and specified in Aspen Plus For modeling the distillation column, the RadFrac block is used where the equilibrium stage model is employed and total condenser and kettle reboiler are selected.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Screening of ILs via Thermodynamic Evaluation

The \( \gamma_1^\infty \) and \( \gamma_2^\infty \) in the 36,260 ILs at 298.15 K were predicted by COSMO-RS as summarized in Table S2 (Supplementary Materials). Consequently, the capacity \( C^\infty \) and selectivity \( S^\infty \) were calculated to assess the extraction ability of ILs. It was found that 36,180 ILs had selectivity towards 1,3-C\(_4\)H\(_6\) above 1, which suggests the great potential of ILs as the entrainer to separate 1,3-C\(_4\)H\(_6\) from n-C\(_4\)H\(_8\). In order to visualize the calculation results, the relationship between \( C^\infty \) and \( S^\infty \) for the 36,180 ILs is plotted in Figure 1. Clearly, as the selectivity increases, the capacity decreases. Due to such a tradeoff, simultaneous consideration of selectivity and capacity is required.

**Figure 1.** Infinite dilution capacity and selectivity of ILs and reference solvents calculated by COSMO-RS at 298.15 K.
The capacity and selectivity of NMP, DMF, DMSO and ACN are also depicted in Figure 1. Obviously, many ILs have larger capacity and selectivity than these four organic solvents. A boundary front was regressed based on the four solvents to explicitly screen ILs. As shown in Figure 2, two empirical selection criteria were defined to exclude the ILs with moderate performance. The first was that the capacity difference between the promising ILs and the boundary front should be larger than 0.1. The second was that the selectivity of ILs should be between those of NMP and ACN. Accordingly, as listed in Table S3 (Supplementary Materials), 190 out of 36,180 ILs met the two thermodynamic criteria and thus were retained.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** Infinite dilution capacity and selectivity of ILs and reference solvents calculated by ACACVL and SGPRF1 to SGPRF5 for the COSMO-SAC model in Aspen Plus. When the optimal IL [PMIM][MCO] was utilized, all the related parameters were retrieved from the Aspen databank. When the optimal IL [PMIM][MCO] was utilized, all the related parameters were retrieved from the Aspen databank.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** Screening of ILs with better extraction performance in contrast with the reference solvents (capacity of IL > capacity of organic solvents + 0.1).

### 3.2. Screening of ILs via Physical Property Constraints

The \( \eta \), log EC50 and \( T_m \) of the 190 ILs were further checked and the results are given in Table S4 (Supplementary Materials). Given the physical property constraints of Equations (5), (6) and (8), it was found that only one IL [PMIM][MCO] satisfied the three physical property constraints in Section 2.2. [PMIM][MCO] comprises of 1,2,3,4,5-pentamethylimidazolium (cation No. 48) and methylcarbonate (anion No. 64). Its melting point and viscosity were 260.34 K and 136.37 cp, respectively. In addition, the logEC50 values were 3.19 and 3.37, predicted by the FNN and SVM model, respectively. In this case, [PMIM][MCO] is regarded as a promising solvent for separating 1,3-C4H6 and n-C4H8. The structure information of [PMIM][MCO], along with the benchmark solvent NMP, is shown in Figure 3. Both were subsequently employed and compared as the entrainer for the ED process simulation.

![Figure 3](image3.png)

**Figure 3.** Structure information of the screened IL and the benchmark solvent NMP.
3.3. Final Evaluation by Process Simulation

After the identification of the optimal IL, the ED process was simulated in Aspen Plus V8.8 for 1,3-C₄H₆/n-C₄H₈ separation. The schematic diagram of the ED process is illustrated in Figure 4. It consisted of an extractive distillation column and a solvent recovery column. Typically, the entrainer was fed to the top of the extractive distillation column. A suitable feeding stage was investigated. The light component n-C₄H₈ was withdrawn from the distillate, whereas the heavy component 1,3-C₄H₆ together with the entrainer left from the bottom. The heavy component and entrainer were subsequently separated in the solvent recovery column. Ultimately, the high-purity 1,3-C₄H₆ and entrainer were collected from the top and the bottom of the solvent recovery column, respectively. The flow rates of 1,3-C₄H₆ and n-C₄H₈ in the feed stream were set equally at 50 kmol/h. In addition, the two columns were operated with the atmospheric pressure. Moreover, when the benchmark solvent NMP was adopted, all the related parameters were retrieved from the Aspen databank. When the optimal IL [PMIM][MCO] was utilized, all the input information needed (e.g., molecular weight, normal boiling point, and density of the IL as well as parameters of CSACVL and SGPRF1 to SGPRF5 for the COSMO-SAC model in Aspen Plus) were recorded in Table S5 (Supplementary Materials).

![Flowsheet of the ED process for 1,3-C₄H₆ and n-C₄H₈ separation.](image)

Figure 4. Flowsheet of the ED process for 1,3-C₄H₆ and n-C₄H₈ separation.

Based on the above process, preliminary simulations with different operating conditions were performed and it was found that the most important operating parameters were the solvent flow rate and the reflux ratio in the extractive distillation column. Therefore, these two critical operating conditions are regarded as process design variables. The other operating conditions (see Table 1) were simply fixed and the parameter sensitivity analysis was conducted on the two design variables in order to find the optimal operating conditions for minimizing the total reboiler duty of the two columns. Meanwhile, a 99.5% molar product (1,3-butadiene) purity was ensured. Given the optimal IL, Figure 5a,b illustrates the influences of the two process design variables on product purity and total reboiler duty, respectively. Similarly, Figure 6a,b shows the impacts of the two design variables when the NMP was used. The feasible operating areas that succeeded in meeting the separation task of 99.5% product purity are denoted as the red region. After comparing Figures 5 and 6, it was found that for the IL-based process, the feasible area was larger and closer to the left
lower corner. This means that a lower solvent usage and reflux ratio are required. This proves the advantage of the optimal IL.

Table 1. Fixed conditions after the preliminary Aspen-based process investigations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Column</th>
<th>NMP-Based Process</th>
<th>IL-Based Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of stages</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed location</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflux ratio</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Influence of reflux ratio of the ED column and solvent usage on (a) product purity and (b) total reboiler duty for the IL-based process.
After conducting the parameter sensitivity analysis, the energy consumptions at different operating conditions were calculated and hence the minimum energy consumption was identified. As shown in Figures 5a and 6a, the operating conditions for achieving the minimum energy consumption with purity constraint fulfilled are highlighted with the white circles. Table 2 summarizes the results of the optimized design parameters and total reboiler duty for comparison. As expected, the IL-based process was much more energy efficient. The total energy consumption was reduced by 26%. Figures 7 and 8 illustrate the optimal flowsheet of the ED processes using [PMIM][MCO] and NMP as the entrainer, respectively. As shown, the IL was regenerated completely for recycling, whereas a trace amount of solvent loss was observed for NMP.

Figure 6. Influence of reflux ratio of the ED column and solvent usage on (a) product purity and (b) total reboiler duty for the NMP-based process.
Table 2. Optimized operating conditions and total energy consumptions of the ED process using NMP and [PMIM][MCO] as entrainers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entrainer</th>
<th>Solvent Usage (kmol/h)</th>
<th>Molar Reflux Ratio</th>
<th>Total Reboiler Duty (kW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMP</td>
<td>344.89</td>
<td>7.530</td>
<td>5940.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[PMIM][MCO]</td>
<td>59.11</td>
<td>6.842</td>
<td>4360.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4. σ-Profile Analysis of [PMIM][MCO] and NMP

The σ-profile is one of the most important molecule-specific properties in the COSMO-RS, which is used to rationalize the high performance of IL [PMIM][MCO]. According to
the COSMO-RS theory, the non-polar region is centered at $-0.0082 \text{e/Å}^2 < \sigma < 0.0082 \text{e/Å}^2$. A broader distribution of \( \sigma \) outside the non-polar region usually reflects a higher polarity. Figure 9 depicts the \( \sigma \)-profiles of the cation and anion of [PMIM][MCO] as well as NMP. The cation [PMIM] shows a more pronounced peak in the non-polar region than NMP. This is also indicated by a larger amount of non-polar surface (green color). Such an observation can account for the increasing solvent power (i.e., capacity) of [PMIM][MCO] over NMP. Furthermore, compared with NMP in the polar region, the anion [MCO] presents a broader distribution. Again, this is affirmed by its large polar surface (red color). As a result, [PMIM][MCO] ultimately possesses quite high selectivity towards 1,3-C\(_4\)H\(_6\). For better comparison, we have calculated the \( \gamma-\gamma \) phase diagrams of [butadiene-butene-IL/NMP] by COSMO-RS at 298.15 K, shown in Figure 10. As seen, the relative volatility of 1-butene to 1,3-butadiene improved when the amount of solvent was increased. Moreover, comparing the two figures, it is found that the relative volatility of butene/butadiene with [PMIM][MCO] is larger than that using NMP as the solvent, which confirms that [PMIM][MCO] is a more promising entrainer than NMP for the butadiene/butene separation.

![Figure 9. \( \sigma \)-Profile analysis of [PMIM][MCO] and NMP.](image)

![Figure 10. Vapor–liquid phase diagrams of {butadiene-butene-NMP} (left) and {butadiene-butene-[PMIM][MCO]} (right) at 298.15 K.](image)
4. Conclusions

In this work, the high-throughput computational screening of ionic liquids was performed in order to develop an energy-efficient extractive distillation process for separating 1,3-C_4H_6 from C_4 hydrocarbon mixtures. First, the capacity and selectivity of 36,260 ILs (370 cations and 98 anions involved) at infinite dilution were calculated by COSMO-RS, and were filtrated with the reference of four organic solvents (i.e., NMP, DMF, DMSO and CAN). Accordingly, 190 out of the 36,260 ILs were retained. Secondly, three physical properties (i.e., viscosity, toxicity and melting point) of ILs were assessed by various models. Based on presupplied physical property constraints, a promising IL [PMIM][MCO] was identified. Finally, the process simulation of the remaining IL was conducted in Aspen Plus and its process performance was compared with the benchmark solvent NMP. In contrast with the NMP-based process, the energy consumption of using [PMIM][MCO] as entrainer was greatly reduced by 26%. As an additional rationalization, the $\sigma$-profiles of [PMIM][MCO] and NMP were analyzed and compared. They suggested that the combination of the highly non-polar cation [PMIM] and polar anion [MCO] may result in the high extraction performance of this IL.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/pr10010165/s1, Table S1: Information about the involved 370 cations and 98 anions; Table S2: COSMO-RS calculated thermodynamic performance of 36,260 ILs; Table S3: Pre-screened 190 ILs to meet the thermodynamic criteria; Table S4: (a) The calculated viscosity of 190 ILs; (b) the calculated logEC50 of the 93 ILs that meet the viscosity constraint; (c) the calculated melting point of the 39 ILs that meet the toxicity constraint; Table S5: Necessary input information for Aspen Plus simulation employing the COSMO-SAC model. Information of the involved 370 cations and 98 anions; COSMO-RS calculated $C_\infty$ and $S_\infty$ of 36,260 ILs; IL physical property assessment including viscosity, toxicity and melting point; molecular input information for Aspen Plus simulation using the COSMO-SAC model (XLSX).
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