Enhanced incorporation of subnanometer tags into cellular proteins for fluorescence nanoscopy via optimized genetic code expansion
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With few-nanometer resolution recently achieved by a new generation of fluorescence nanoscopes (MINFLUX and MINSTED), the size of the tags used to label proteins will increasingly limit the ability to dissect nanoscopic biological structures. Bioorthogonal (click) chemical groups are powerful tools for the specific detection of biomolecules. Through the introduction of an engineered aminoacyl–tRNA synthetase/tRNA pair (tRNA: transfer ribonucleic acid), genetic code expansion allows for the site-specific introduction of amino acids with “clickable” side chains into proteins of interest. Well-defined label positions and the subnanometer scale of the protein modification provide unique advantages over other labeling approaches for imaging at molecular-scale resolution. We report that, by pairing a new N-terminally optimized pyrrolysyl–tRNA synthetase (chPylRS2020) with a previously engineered orthogonal tRNA, clickable amino acids are incorporated with improved efficiency into bacteria and into mammalian cells. The resulting enhanced genetic code expansion machinery was used to label β-actin in U2OS cell filopodia for MINFLUX imaging with minimal separation of fluorophores from the protein backbone. Selected data were found to be consistent with previously reported high-resolution information from cryoelectron tomography about the cross-sectional filament bundling architecture. Our study underscores the need for further improvements to the degree of labeling with minimal-offset methods in order to fully exploit molecular-scale optical three-dimensional resolution.

Fluorescence imaging techniques have become indispensable tools for exploring the in situ spatial organizations and compositions of cellular structures. As resolution has improved, increasingly intricate biological observations have become possible (1). A major leap was made when superresolution fluorescence microscopes began to discern molecules spaced much closer than the ~200-nm resolution limit imposed by diffraction of light (2–5). Routinely realizing 20- to 40-nm resolution, fluorescence nanoscopy methods like STED, PALM and STORM have allowed for the characterization of many cellular assemblies (6–8). Recently, major new advancements were reported with MINFLUX (9) and MINSTED (10), nanoscopy approaches that combine principles from coordinate-targeted approaches like STED with those from coordinate-stochastic single-molecule-based methods, like PALM/STORM. Outperforming established superresolution methods by 10-fold, MINFLUX localizes fluorophores with three-dimensional (3D) precisions <3 nm (SD) in biological samples, i.e., at length scales equal to or smaller than the size of commonly used labeling tags (11–13).

Antibodies, fluorescent proteins, and self-labeling (enzymatic) protein tags, such as SNAP-tag, Halo-tag, and CLIP-tag (14–16), have been used to specifically label proteins with fluorophores for a variety of superresolution methods. However, each of these labeling approaches introduces an offset between the target biomolecule and the fluorescent label, limiting the accuracy with which the imaging measurement represents the biological structure in question. Furthermore, given that biological assemblies have multiple molecules, labeling errors (ε) will often manifest in opposing directions, doubling the effect of the tag offset on the measurement (Fig. 1A). To measure small structures with minimal artifacts, both high localization precisions and low labeling errors are needed (Fig. 1B).

For each antibody used, fluorophores are offset from the target protein by an average of ~10 nm. Furthermore, the flexibility of the antibodies can lead to inconsistent labeling errors between molecules within the same structure (17). Protein tags introduce around 3 nm of separation from the N or C terminus of the tagged protein. These terminal regions are themselves unstructured and flexible in many proteins (18, 19), introducing additional uncertainty and often separation from structured regions. The magnitudes of

Significance

Systematic optimization of a pyrrolysyl–tRNA synthetase pair (tRNA: transfer ribonucleic acid) is shown to improve the incorporation of clickable amino acids into structured regions of proteins, in both bacterial and mammalian cells. The enhanced labeling of target proteins is demonstrated in fluorescence nanoscopy experiments of β-actin. MINFLUX measurements of filopodia at ~2-nm three-dimensional precision contain segments with localization patterns that are consistent with a triangular filament bundling pattern, featuring interfilament separations close to 12 nm, that was previously only accessible via cryogenic imaging methods. This study highlights the potential of molecular-scale fluorescence nanoscopies when paired with minimally displacing labeling tags.
Genetic code expansion (GCE) is a promising method that meets these requirements. In GCE, an amino acid with a unique nonnatural side chain, a noncanonical amino acid (ncAA), is site-specifically incorporated into the sequence of a protein of interest (27). These modified side chains are typically equally as long as (or only a few atoms longer than) those of the amino acids naturally found in proteins (28). For site-specific protein labeling, ncAAs have been developed, which feature special chemical groups that can react bioorthogonally, i.e., these moieties will specifically react with their partners without labeling the chemical groups that are naturally found in biological molecules. To genetically incorporate clickable amino acids into proteins in living cells, an engineered tRNA (transfer ribonucleic acid) and aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase (RS) must be introduced to cells, allowing for introduction of the ncAA at a nonsense (stop) mutation substituted into the target molecule’s sequence (Fig. 1C).

ncAAs for the major click reactions (29–32) have been genetically incorporated through engineered machinery from Methanosarcina barkeri and Methanosarcina mazei (Mb and Mm, respectively), the organisms of which naturally use an additional amino acid called pyrrolysine (Pyl). Such tRNA/RS pairs have been used to introduce clickable ncAAs into a variety of targets and organisms, even allowing for superresolution imaging in some cases (33–35).

To achieve high signal-to-background ratio in fluorescence microscopy, it is desirable to use a tRNA/RS pair that incorporates clickable amino acids as efficiently as possible (33, 36). Mutations in the tRNA and the RS have been shown to improve the efficiencies of pyrrolysyl GCE pairs both in bacteria and in mammalian cells (Fig. 2A).

By combining a recently reported tRNA with a reengineered pyrrolysyl synthetase featuring a set of mutations in the N-terminal domain, we arrived at a pair that improved the incorporation of clickable amino acids by severalfold in both mammalian and bacterial cells. The pair provides substantially higher fluorescence imaging signal when compared to existing GCE pairs. This GCE machinery was used for superresolution imaging of the actin cytoskeleton, resolving details about the 3D assembly of actin filaments in filopodia with MINFLUX nanoscopy.

Results

Testing a Previously Optimized RS with an Engineered tRNA.

The pyrrolysyl-tRNA/RS system was chosen due to established compatibility with major click chemistry groups and due to the unique two-domain structure of PylRS. The C-terminal domain of the enzyme contains the amino acid binding pocket while the N-terminal domain is responsible for facilitating binding to the tRNA. Thus, mutations can be made in the N-terminal domain to optimize the tRNA/RS interaction without affecting the shape of the amino acid binding pocket, preserving the enzyme’s specificity for ncAAs.

A particularly efficient RS with N-terminal mutations emerged from continuous evolution of a chimeric RS. Four
mutations were identified and this enzyme, chPylRS<sub>IPYE</sub>, outperformed both MbpPylRS and MmpPylRS in *Escherichia coli* and recently also in mammalian cells (37–39). Additionally, the orthogonal tRNA has been enhanced by increasing its stability and expression levels (tRNA<sup>IPYE</sup>) (40) and then further by adjusting regions known to bind elongation factors (tRNA<sup>M15</sup>) (41).

To date, chPylRS<sub>IPYE</sub> and tRNA<sup>M15</sup> have not been paired in either bacterial or mammalian cells, and it is unclear whether the modifications to the tRNA and to the RS would be compatible with one another. To begin answering this question, we evaluated a published structure (42) of the *Mm* PylRS N-terminal domain complexed with tRNA<sup>Py</sup>. No substantial structural relationships were seen between the regions mutated in chPylRS<sub>IPYE</sub> and tRNA<sup>M15</sup>, opening the possibility that the improved RS and tRNA can function together (Fig. 2B). Therefore, we tested the compatibility and efficiency of this pair in *E. coli*. chPylRS<sub>IPYE</sub> was cloned into the pULTRA expression vector (40) along with either tRNA<sup>Py</sup> or tRNA<sup>M15</sup>. This GCE plasmid was cotransformed in *E. coli* (BL21-DE3) together with a published pBAD-sfGFP<sub>N149</sub> reporter plasmid encoding sfGFP with an amber nonsense mutation at the 149th codon (43). Since this region is upstream of the chromophore, successful GCE results in readthrough of a fluorescent product, while unsuccessful incorporation leads to the expression of a nonfluorescent truncation product (Fig. 2C). When paired with tRNA<sup>M15</sup>, chPylRS<sub>IPYE</sub> incorporates a standard Boc-lysine test-ncAA an average of 2.3 times more efficiently than when paired with the standard tRNA<sup>Py</sup>, as judged by expressed full-length sfGFP fluorescence normalized by OD<sub>600</sub> (Fig. 2E). Encouraged by this result, we tested the performance of the chPylRS<sub>IPYE</sub>/tRNA<sup>M15</sup> pair in mammalian cells. After adding a previously described activity-enhancing active site Tyr→Phe mutation, we compared the incorporation efficiency of the resulting chPylRS<sub>IPYE</sub>/tRNA<sup>M15</sup> pair in mammalian cells with that of the highly active *MbpPylRS*/tRNA<sup>M15</sup> pair (41, 44). Using a previously described iRFP<sub>713</sub> fluorescent reporter system (Fig. 2D) in HEK293T cells, we found a 1.4 fold improvement in the incorporation of a clickable amino acid with the pair featuring chPylRS<sub>IPYE</sub> as compared to the previously reported *MbpPylRS*/tRNA<sup>M15</sup> pair (25, 250 μM PrK, respectively. The striped bar illustrates theoretically perfect (100%) incorporation efficiency.

**Developing the chPylRS<sub>2020</sub> Enzymes.** Unsatisfied with the modest improvement in mammalian cells, we aimed to generate a synthetase that performs better than chPylRS<sub>IPYE</sub>. Avoiding modification of the binding pocket, so as to prevent disruption of click-ncAA binding, we sought to introduce additional N-terminal mutations. Given previous studies concerning the function of the N-terminal domain, these mutations would be expected to improve the enzyme’s binding to and positioning of the tRNA, without affecting ncAA binding. A study parallel
to that which originally identified chPylRS_{IPYE} reported several additional productive N-terminal mutations (42). These mutations were never tested together with the IPYE mutations, and so we examined the effect of each point mutant on the activity of the chPylRS_{IPYE} enzyme. Several of these modifications were found to substantially improve the incorporation efficiency of a clickable amino acid, Nε-propargyl-L-lysine (PrK, Fig. 3A and B). Subsequently, all pairs of the top-three most beneficial mutations were screened, as well as the full set of the three and the originally reported combinations. In this way, an optimized enzyme, chPylRS^{2020}, was identified (Fig. 3B and SI Appendix, Fig. S4.1). This synthetase incorporated PrK twice as efficiently as the previously reported MbpPylRS at high amino acid concentrations and almost four times as efficiently at low levels of amino acid (Fig. 3C). Encouraged by these results, we decided to examine whether the “2020” mutations could improve the incorporation of clickable amino acids for other types of bioorthogonal chemistry, specifically the inverse electron-demand Diels–Alder reaction (iEDDA). To test this hypothesis, the binding pocket mutations from a previously reported M. barkeri enzyme that incorporates Nε-bicyclononyne-L-lysine (BCN) (Fig. 3A) were added into chPylRS{2020} (30). The resulting chBCNRS\textsubscript{2020} enzyme provided a major improvement over the previously published MbpBCNRS, incorporating BCN nearly tenfold more efficiently at a low concentration of BCN (Fig. 3C). Interestingly, although incorporation efficiency increased at 250 μM BCN, total protein expression decreased, suggesting interference with protein synthesis at higher concentrations (SI Appendix, Fig. S4.3). Notably, the 2020 mutations did not confer substantial improvements in incorporation efficiencies of two other iEDDA amino acids (Nε-trans-cyclooct-2-en-L-lysine, Nε-cyclopropene-L-lysine) at the 25- and 250-μM concentrations. However, with these amino acids there was not a substantial difference in incorporation efficiency between conditions with high and low amino acid concentrations, even when using the M. barkeri enzyme. This suggests that enzyme kinetics is already saturated for these amino acids at these concentrations (SI Appendix, Fig. S4.2). Nevertheless, given that the 2020 mutations enhanced incorporation efficiency in enzymes with two different binding pockets in mammalian cells, it is possible that this mutation set may be transferrable to other reported PylRS enzymes.

To see whether the 2020 mutations also enhance incorporation efficiency in bacteria, chPylRS^{F,2020} was inserted into the pULTRA vector encoding tRNA\textsubscript{M15}. The enzyme incorporated PrK more efficiently than chPylRS\textsubscript{IPYE} at 1 mM PrK. Furthermore,
powered largely by the effects of tRNA_M15, the chPylRS^F_2020/tRNA_M15 pair incorporates PrK 3.1 times more efficiently than the published chPylRS_{IPYE}/tRNA_{M15}^F pair (Fig. 3D).

**Fluorescence Microscopy with chPylRS^F_2020 and chBCNRS_2020 + tRNA_M15 Orthogonal Pairs.** Motivated by the strongly enhanced incorporation of PrK and BCN via the chPylRS^F_2020 and chBCNRS_2020 enzymes, we sought to test whether this improvement could increase fluorescence signal in imaging experiments. To answer this question, we incorporated PrK and BCN via chPylRS^F_2020 into a muscarinic G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR), M_{R_V186}, a construct which has been previously labeled using GCE (24). This GPCR was expressed in HEK293T cells together with a GCE orthogonal pair and was labeled under live-cell conditions with the switchable fluorophore Alexa Fluor-647, either via chelation-assisted copper-catalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) or iEDDA (Fig. 4A). Cells were imaged after fixation. Brighter GPCR labeling was seen for both PrK and BCN incorporation with chPylRS^F_2020 and chBCNRS_2020 as compared to M_{B_PylRS}^F and M_{B_BCNR}s, respectively (Fig. 4B and SI Appendix, Figs. S5.1–S5.4).

Asking whether the chPylRS^F_2020/tRNA_M15 orthogonal pair would also improve fluorescence signal for labeled bacterial proteins, a similar experiment was performed in *E. coli*. A previously reported osmoporin C construct for GCE (OmpC_{D311}) was expressed in BL21-DE3 *E. coli* with several orthogonal pairs (45). As in mammalian cells, the chPylRS^F_2020/tRNA_M15 pair presented an enhancement over the previously reported pair, chPylRS_{IPYE}/tRNA_{M15}^F (Fig. 4C and SI Appendix, Figs. S6.1 and S6.2).

**Three-Dimensional MINFLUX Fluorescence Imaging of β-Actin in Filopodia Labeled with chPylRS_2020/tRNA_M15.** Encouraged by the improved labeling efficiencies, we sought to apply our GCE pair for fluorescence imaging at the highest precisions using 3D MINFLUX nanoscopy. Since we aimed to explore whether GCE can be used to tag proteins with minimal label-related changes, we sought to study a tightly packed structure, which may be influenced by bulky tags, such as fluorescent proteins (46). Therefore, we chose to label β-actin, focusing on filopodia, narrow plasma-membrane projections of cells that are formed from β-actin filaments and that have previously been studied by electron tomographic approaches (Fig. 5A) (47).

A previously reported β-actin_{K_{118}} construct was cotransfected with orthogonal pairs featuring the 2020 mutations in U2OS cells (33). Incorporation of PrK, BCN, and two other clickable amino acids was tested. To obtain biological relevance, high label density and low background fluorescence are mandatory. To minimize background, nonfilamentous β-actin monomer was removed via a previously reported cofixation/extraction protocol with glutaraldehyde and Triton X-100 prior to fixation with glutaraldehyde (48). Cells with PrK incorporated into β-actin showed lower background and cleaner protein labeling (higher-contrast images) than those with other ncAAs (SI Appendix, Fig. S7.1). Furthermore, PrK is the smallest of these ncAAs (~0.7 nm, SI Appendix, section 10), so the chPylRS^F_2020/tRNA_M15 pair with PrK was used moving forward.

To investigate whether the degree of labeling might be high enough, we labeled β-actin_{IPK_{118}} with the nonswitchable fluorophore picolyl-azide silicon rhodamine and then recorded the samples using STEED nanoscopy. Labeling with PrK via our orthogonal synthetase allowed us to routinely obtain STEED images, suggesting a substantial labeled fraction of the β-actin (Fig. 5A).

We therefore moved on to image β-actin_{IPK_{118}} using 3D MINFLUX nanoscopy, labeling PrK with a rapidly reacting azide-plus variant of the switchable Alexa Fluor-647 fluorescent dye (AF647_{A+}). Actin filopodia were measured in 3D with spatial precisions (σ) between 1 and 2.5 nm in all directions (Fig. 5B) and, after drift correction (SI Appendix, Fig. S11.1), were rendered in 3D (Fig. 5C). Most measured filopodia were found...
to be straight projections, with diameters of \( \sim 40 \) nm. One measurement featured filopodia crossing over one another (Fig. 6A). Although still suboptimally labeled, some substructure could be discerned. Toward the base of the assembly, a region can be identified wherein two actin bundles can be resolved as being separated by \( \sim 20 \) to 50 nm at different points, possibly also twisting around each other (Fig. 6A, i–iii). A measurement of a kinked filopodium similarly showed actin bundles, which appear to twist around one another (Fig. 6B). Filopodia have been shown to kink and twist in response to frictional forces (49–51), so it is possible that internal actin bundles must also coil to allow for such deformations, as has been suggested (51).

We examined the extent to which information about the packing of individual filaments within the filopodia could be extracted at the presently achieved labeling degree. Cryoelectron tomographs of filopodia have shown that, in straight segments, single filaments are separated by \( \sim 12 \) nm and are mostly parallel, arranged on a triangular lattice (Fig. 7A) (47). Given that the combination of the ncAA side chain with the linker on the fluorophore adds only an \( \sim 1.25 \)–nm displacement from the protein backbone (SI Appendix, Fig. S10.1) and the given \( \sim 2 \)–nm localization precision of the MINFLUX measurements, such a pattern is right on the limits of what might be visible in the data, particularly given mechanical drift (SI Appendix, Fig. S9.1). To begin exploring this possibility, we sliced the measurement data into overlapping 6-nm-thick slices in \( z \) and selected regions where adjacent actin filaments could be discerned. Several regions with two to three adjacent filaments were identified that featured spacings close to 12 nm (Fig. 7B and SI Appendix, Fig. S12.1).

Seeking to extend the analysis into the \( z \) direction, we asked whether molecular distributions consistent with the triangular-lattice pattern might be appreciable. Although the incomplete labeling would likely prevent cross-sectional visualization of the full bundle of actin filaments, thinner regions along the length of the filopodium might be identified. Given that some amount of curvature does exist in the measured filopodia (Fig. 7C, Inset), their central axis was modeled (SI Appendix, Fig. S13.1) and 200-nm-thick cross-sectional slices were analyzed. In several regions across multiple measurements, patterns consistent with the putative packing distribution could be discerned (Fig. 7D and SI Appendix, Fig. S13.2). These results suggest that the subnanometer modification introduced by the PrK amino acid indeed preserves the delicate bundling structure of actin filaments in filopodia.

**Discussion**

Given the single-nanometer localization precisions achieved by MINFLUX and related molecular-scale fluorescence nanoscopy

![Fig. 5. Superresolution imaging of U2OS filopodia labeled by genetic code expansion. (A) STED image of \( \beta \)-actinPrK118 labeled with picolyl-azide silicon rhodamine in U2OS cells, highlighting filopodia. (Scale bar: 2 \( \mu \)m.) (B) Distributions for the estimated precision of Alexa Fluor-647 localizations from 14 separate MINFLUX measurements of filopodia. (C) Overlay of a widefield and corresponding MINFLUX image depicting a representative separation featured within the cell. MINFLUX localizations were processed and then rendered by the program imaris as described in SI Appendix, section 1. (Scale bar: 500 nm.)](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2201861119.s1.1)

![Fig. 6. Inspection of U2OS filopodia measured by MINFLUX nanoscopy. (A) MINFLUX image showing crossing filopodia. (i) Expanded view of the same region labeled at the Top. Substructure can be seen with actin bundles crossing around each other. (ii/iii) Cross-sectional localization histograms from the corresponding regions shown in i, demonstrating measured separations as close as \( \sim 20 \) nm and up to \( \sim 50 \) nm between the two bundles. (B) MINFLUX measurement of a kinked filopodium. (iv) Expanded view of the region boxed in yellow, depicting actin bundles that appear to wrap around each other.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2201861119.s1.2)
Fig. 7. Cross-sectional analysis of filopodia measured by 3D MINFLUX nanoscopy. (A) Within filopodia, actin filaments are expected to be arranged on a triangular lattice with 12.2 ± 0.9(σ) nm spacing between nearest neighbors. (B) Blue box widths = 60 nm. Two-dimensional intensity projections of adjacent actin filaments within filopodia, discerned in 6-nm-thick slices of the 3D localizations. Histograms calculated from drift-corrected localization data. Images shown are processed via Gaussian blurs in yz and xy (σ = 1.5 nm). (C) 3D MINFLUX rendering of a filopodium color coded in z. The inset shows the same dataset from an alternate angle, demonstrating the existence of bending along the length of filopodia. (D) After modeling the central axis of filopodia (SI Appendix, section 13), the actin filament bundling pattern can be resolved in multiple 200-nm projections of 3D MINFLUX data along the filopodia axis. Many projections correspond well with the lattice on which the filaments should be positioned. Representative images featuring different lattice occupancies are shown (Left: intensity image from localization data; Center: Gaussian blur [σ = 3.5 nm]; and Right: overlay of peaks on theoretical lattice). (Scale bars: 12 nm.)
techniques, we optimized a labeling system that introduces fluorophore-to-target offsets at or below those length scales. Systematic optimization of a PyrIRS/RNA pair allowed us to develop an enzyme, chPyRIS\textsuperscript{2020}, which improves the incorporation of clickable amino acids in both mammalian cells and E. coli. Paired with RNA\textsuperscript{117}, this enzyme enhanced labeling for fluorescent imaging of target proteins and allowed for robust STED and MINFLUX imaging of β-actin. Subsequent analysis of 3D MINFLUX filodipa measurements identified localization patterns that can be rationalized to originate from the filamentous structures only accessible via cryogenic imaging methods highlights the potential of molecular-scale fluorescence imaging when paired with minimally displacing labeling tags. Despite this, the density of localized molecules can be advanced, and further evolution of the MINFLUX acquisition sequence should additionally improve the proportion of active labels that are detected. The labeling itself must also still be substantially improved, enhancing signal and reducing background. Incorporation efficiency might be further increased by introducing even more RNA copies or by also expressing a previously reported engineered eukaryotic release factor (52). In our experiments, protein-of-interest plasmid with the UAG stop codon was transiently transfected into cells alongside the GCE plasmid. In β-actin labeling, the tagged protein must compete with the cell’s endogenous wild-type actin for incorporation into filaments, so knockdown of endogenous protein (e.g., via siRNA) is likely to enhance label density. With the newly optimized GCE pair reported in this study, it should even be possible to stably replace an essential endogenous protein such as actin with the tagged version via stable CRISPR-based genetic code modification.

Due to the presence of background staining in GCE-labeled samples, high-quality superresolution imaging has been practically limited to dense structures (such as the cytoskeleton) and membrane proteins. Some of this background has previously been shown to originate from labeling of aminoacylated-tRNAs (33, 34, 36) and from the suppression of endogenous mRNA amber codons in the cells (SI Appendix, Fig. 57.1) (33, 34, 36). Spatial sequestration of GCE machinery into designer membraneless organelles has enabled amber suppression of selected mRNAs, removing ncAA incorporation into endogenous proteins (53). Furthermore, recent demonstration of membraneless organelle formation within ~100-nm zones of selected cell membranes shows that GCE machinery can be spatially positioned at known regions within cells (54). In this way, directing nCea incorporation machinery exclusively for proteins of interest at specified positions in space away from imaging targets, or developing a method to eliminate signal from labeled RNAs, might enable nanometer-scale fluorescence imaging of low-abundance protein targets in the cytosol and other compartments. Despite these present limitations, our results show that the pairing of GCE and MINFLUX holds considerable promise as a powerful method for the imaging of small and sensitive biological structures at the molecular scale.

Materials and Methods

A detailed description of the materials and experimental methods, including sample preparation procedures, measurements of relative noncanonical amino acid incorporation efficiencies, mutation analysis and generation of chPyRS variants, and the MINFLUX data analysis and acquisition are provided in SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods.

Data Availability. All study data are included in the article and/or SI Appendix.
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