Glial-dependent clustering of voltage-gated ion channels in Drosophila precedes myelin formation
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Abstract

Neuronal information conductance often involves the transmission of action potentials. The spreading of action potentials along the axonal process of a neuron is based on three physical parameters: The axial resistance of the axon, the axonal insulation by glial membranes, and the positioning of voltage-gated ion channels. In vertebrates, myelin and channel clustering allow fast saltatory conductance. Here we show that in *Drosophila melanogaster* voltage-gated sodium and potassium channels, Para and Shal, co-localize and cluster in an area resembling the axon initial segment. The local enrichment of Para but not of Shal localization depends on the presence of peripheral wrapping glial cells. In larvae, relatively low levels of Para channels are needed to allow proper signal transduction and nerves are simply wrapped by glial cells. In adults, the concentration of Para increases and is prominently found at the axon initial segment of motor neurons. Concomitantly, these axon domains are covered by a mesh of glial processes forming a lacunar structure that possibly serves as an ion reservoir. Directly flanking this domain glial processes forming the lacunar area appear to collapse and closely apposed stacks of glial cell processes can be detected, resembling a myelin-like insulation. Thus, Drosophila development may reflect the evolution of myelin which forms in response to increased levels of clustered voltage-gated ion channels.

One-Sentence Summary: Evolution of saltatory conductance is mirrored in fly development where glia dependent clustering of voltage-gated ion channels precedes myelination.
Introduction

A functional nervous system requires the processing and transmission of information in the form of changing membrane potentials. To convey information along axons, neurons generate action potentials by opening of evolutionarily conserved voltage-gated sodium and potassium channels (Moran et al., 2015). Once an action potential is generated, it travels towards the synapse and the speed of information transfer is of obvious importance. It is long established that axonal conductance velocity depends on the resistance within the axon, which inversely correlates with its diameter. In addition, it depends on the resistance across the axonal membrane, which is increased by extensive glial wrapping. Furthermore, spacing of voltage-gated ion channels contributes to axonal conduction velocity (Eshed-Eisenbach and Peles, 2019; Freeman et al., 2016; Hodgkin and Huxley, 1952).

In vertebrates, unmyelinated axons generally have a small diameter with evenly distributed voltage-gated ion channels along their plasma membrane, and in consequence their conductance velocity is slow (Castelfranco and Hartline, 2015). To speed up conductance, axons grow to a larger diameter and show a clustering of voltage-gated ion channels at the axon initial segment and the nodes of Ranvier. Together with the insulating glial-derived myelin sheet, this allows fast saltatory conductance (Arancibia-Cárcamo et al., 2017; Castelfranco and Hartline, 2015; Cohen et al., 2019; Dutta et al., 2018; Eshed-Eisenbach and Peles, 2019).

In invertebrates, mechanisms to increase conductance speed are thought to be limited by radial axonal growth, as seen in the giant fiber system of Drosophila or the giant axon of the squid (Allen et al., 2006; Hartline and Colman, 2007). No saltatory conductance has been described for invertebrates and it is assumed that voltage-gated ion channels distribute relatively evenly...
along axonal membranes. Nevertheless, myelin-like structures were found in several
invertebrate species, including annelids, crustacean and insects (Coggeshall and Fawcett, 1964;
Davis et al., 1999; Günther, 1976; Hama, 1959; 1966; Hess, 1958; Heuser and Doggenweiler,
1966; Levi et al., 1966; Roots, 2008; Roots and Lane, 1983; Wigglesworth, 1959; Wilson and
Hartline, 2011a; b). However, it is unknown whether such myelin-like structures also impact the
distribution of ion channels.

To address how glial cells affect axonal conductance velocity we turned to Drosophila. In the
larvae, peripheral axons are engulfed by a single glial wrap resembling Remak fibers in the
mammalian PNS (Matzat et al., 2015; Nave and Werner, 2014; Stork et al., 2008). In addition to
insulating axons, we found that glial cells promote radial axonal growth. In the absence of
wrapping glia axons are not only thin, but they are also characterized by a severe reduction in
conductance velocity, which is stronger than predicted by the reduced axonal diameter
(Hodgkin and Huxley, 1952; Kottmeier et al., 2020). Thus, wrapping glial cells might control
localization of voltage-gated ion channels along the axonal plasma membrane.
Results

Distribution of the voltage-gated sodium channel Para

The Drosophila genome harbors only one voltage-gated sodium channel called Paralytic (Para), which is required for the generation of all action potentials (Kroll et al., 2015). To study the localization of Para and to test whether Drosophila glia affects its localization we and others tagged the endogenous para locus with all predicted isoforms being modified (Ravenscroft et al., 2020; Venken et al., 2011) (Figure 1A). In para-mCherry flies, monomeric Cherry (mCherry) is inserted close to the Para N-terminus (Figure 1A). Homozygous or hemizygous para-mCherry flies are viable with only mildly affected channel function (Figure 1B) (Ravenscroft et al., 2020; Venken et al., 2011). Para-mCherry localizes along many CNS and PNS axons of the larval nervous system (Figure 1C-figure supplement 1A-C) (Ravenscroft et al., 2020; Venken et al., 2011).

To independently assay Para localization, we generated antibodies against an N-terminal epitope shared by all predicted Para isoforms (Figure 1A). In western blots, anti-Para antibodies detect a band of the expected size (>250 kDa), which is shifted towards a higher molecular weight in protein extracts of homozygous para-mCherry animals (Figure 1-figure supplement 1D). Immunohistochemistry detects Para localization in control first instar larvae but not in age matched para null mutant animals, further validating the specificity of the antibodies (Figure 1D-E’). Whereas the pre-immune serum fails to detect any specific proteins (Figure 1F), anti-Para antibody staining of third instar larval filets revealed the localization of Para in the CNS and the PNS (Figure 1G) similar to what was noted for Para-mCherry localization (Figure 1C). Thus, we anticipate that endogenously mCherry-tagged Para protein reflects the wild typic Para localization.
To test a possible differential distribution of Para in either sensory or motor axons, we utilized RNAi to remove mCherry expression in heterozygous para\textsuperscript{mCherry} females. This leaves the wild type para allele intact and circumvents the early lethal phenotype associated with loss of para.

Knockdown of mCherry expression in glutamatergic motor neurons (Mahr and Aberle, 2006) reveals para\textsuperscript{mCherry} expression in cholinergic sensory neurons of third instar larvae. Here, Para appears to evenly localize along the abdominal nerves and is found at many processes within the CNS (Figure 2A). In contrast, silencing para\textsuperscript{mCherry} in cholinergic neurons (Salvaterra and Kitamoto, 2001) reveals a predominant localization of Para in an axonal segment of motor axons at the PNS/CNS boundary of third instar larvae (Figure 2B), as suggested before (Ravenscroft \textit{et al.}, 2020).

**Differential localization of voltage-gated potassium channels**

Several genes encode voltage-gated potassium channels. Using endogenously tagged proteins, we find the Shaker potassium channel mostly in the synaptic neuropil regions (Figure 2C). The distribution of Shab resembles Para\textsuperscript{mCherry} localization in sensory axons (Figure 2A,D), whereas Shal localizes in a pattern similar to Para localization on motor axons (Figure 2B,E). Thus, Drosophila larval motor axons appear to have an axonal segment resembling the vertebrate axon initial segment (AIS) harboring both voltage-gated sodium and potassium channels.

We then analyzed the localization of Para in the adult CNS. Here, too, global Para localization, as detected by anti-Para antibodies, matched the Para\textsuperscript{mCherry} signal (Figure 2F,G). To differentiate between Para expression in motor and sensory neurons we employed the recently developed FlpTag technique (Fendl \textit{et al.}, 2020). Here, cell type specific expression of the Flp
recombinase induces the inversion of a GFP-encoding exon located in the gene of interest. In
para$^{\text{FlpTag}}$ flies (Fendl et al., 2020), Flp expression in all motor neurons, results in strong labeling
of Para localization at a small part of the axon as it leaves the neuropil (Figure 2H,H’,
arrowheads), indicating that an axon initial segment is also found in adult motor axons. In
contrast, expression of Flp in all sensory neurons using Chat-Gal4 UAS-flp, reveals an even Para
decoration of axons as they enter the CNS which fades out when axons reach into the neuropil
(Figure 2I,I’, arrows).

High-resolution imaging reveals clustered localization of Para along motor axons
To obtain a higher spatial resolution of Para distribution, we used high-resolution Airyscan
microscopy. In adult nerves, Para$^{\text{mCherry}}$ localization distal to the AIS is found in a clustered
arrangement (Figure 3A-B’, arrowheads). To exclude that cluster formation is due to the
fluorescence protein moiety, we performed anti-Para immunohistochemistry on primary
Drosophila neural cells in culture, where axons form small fascicles with few accompanying glial
cells (Figure 3C,C’). When such cultures are stained for Para distribution, we find Para channels
localized in small clusters with a spacing of about 0.6-0.8 µm. However, in these neuronal
cultures we cannot clearly define the number of Para expressing axons in a fascicle.

To further improve spatial resolution, we combined high-resolution imaging with the FlpTag
labeling method. We restricted Flp expression to only one motor neuron in each larval
hemineuromer using 94G06-Gal4 (Jenett et al., 2012; Pérez-Moreno and O’Kane, 2019), which
results in the expression of GFP-tagged Para channels in only a single neuron. Whereas weak
expression is noted around the nucleus strong expression is seen in the axon initial segment
Figure 3D, arrow, asterisks). Flanking the strong expression along the AIS, a clustered localization of Para\textsuperscript{GFP} could be noted (Figure 3E, arrowheads). Further super-resolution imaging of single motor axons decorated with GFP-tagged Para showed an average spacing of 0.620 µm (Figure 3F,F',G, n=91 clusters on 3 axons, quantification using Fiji). Interestingly, Para clusters appear to be organized along lines at the motor axon which was also found when analyzing the distribution of Para at the electron microscopic level (see below).

In sensory neurons increased Para localization is found at dendrites and the AIS

Having shown that in motor axons Para is concentrated in a clustered arrangement in an axon initial segment of motor axons we wondered whether similar distribution can be found in sensory axons. For this we expressed \textit{flp} in multidendritic sensory neurons using the \textit{pickpocket} Gal4 driver (\textit{ppk-Gal4}). This allows labeling of the v’ada neurons (Figure 4A). Low levels of Para protein localize to the cell body and the distal shaft of the axon. Along the descending axon, Para localization increases only in some distance to the soma (Figure 4A,B,C). However, \textit{para} expression in sensory neurons is not as strong as in motor axons which may correspond to the notion that sensory axons are usually smaller axons. The relatively low expression levels did not allow super-resolution imaging and thus, we could not address whether Para is found in a clustered organization along axons of \textit{ppk} positive sensory neurons. Interestingly, however, within some of the v’ada dendrites, Para accumulates in distinct clusters (Figure 4A’,B’ arrows).

In conclusion, the above data show the presence of an axon initial segment in Drosophila motor and sensory axons. In motor axons, where this domain likely serves as a spike initiation zone (Günay et al., 2015), Para channels are organized in a clustered arrangement.
Electron microscopic analysis of Para cluster formation

To determine the distribution of Para on the subcellular level, we integrated an Apex2 encoding exon in the *para* locus, which allows generating local osmiophilic diaminobenzidine (DAB) precipitates that are detectable in the electron microscope (Lam et al., 2015). The insertion of an Apex2 encoding exon in the N-terminus of Para affected *para* function less strongly than the insertion of a *mCherry* exon and resulted in a very weak hypomorphic *para* allele (Figure 1A,B). In adult flies, Para^{Apex2} is expressed in sufficient intensity to be detected along axons using the electron microscope. In small diameter peripheral axonal segments, weak Para^{Apex2} directed DAB precipitates are found (Figure 5A, white arrowheads). In contrast, in large diameter axons next to the CNS/PNS boundary intense DAB precipitates can be detected (Figure 5B). When we performed serial sectioning the intensity of DAB labelling varied (Figure 5B-D), possibly reflecting the clustered localization of Para that we had found using the confocal microscope. We next determined the distribution of DAB precipitates along the circumference of an axon over 16 consecutive cross sections (Figure 5E,F). The resulting surface plot shows Para^{Apex2} localization of a small segment of the axon in a 3D space. This suggests that Para^{Apex2} clusters are organized in two lines along the ≈ 2.4 µm axonal circumference (Figure 5F,G), resembling the distribution of Para^{mCherry} clusters along lines as detected using super-resolution light microscopy (Figure 3F). To further address the spacing of Para^{Apex2} clusters along the longitudinal axis of the axon, we performed longitudinal sections of Para-rich axon segments and determined the staining intensity along the plasma membrane by using Fiji (Figure 5H,I). Here, again a spatial modulation of the Para staining intensity is apparent, with a spacing of...
0.706 µm (Figure 5I; see Figure 3G for quantification, n=54 cluster distances on 6 axons), which
is similar to what we determined by confocal microscopy.

Para-rich axon segments are embedded in a lacunar system formed by tract glia

Interestingly, the large caliber axons decorated with highest levels of Para protein are
embedded in a mesh-like glial organization, that resembles the lacunar system described earlier
for the cockroach (Figure 5B-D, Figure 6A,B) (Wigglesworth, 1960). The Drosophila glial lacunar
system is characterized by intensive formation of glial processes around axons which are always
larger than 0.5 µm in diameter (Figure 6A,B, asterisks). Glial cell processes have an average
thickness of 35 nm (Figure 6A,B, n=189 processes, 4 nerves from 3 animals).

Next, we determined which glial cell type forms these lacunar structures. In the larva, the
central ensheathing/wrapping glial cells express the \textit{83E12-Gal4} driver (Peco et al., 2016;
Pogodalla et al., 2021), whereas the peripheral wrapping glial cells can be addressed using the
\textit{nrv2-Gal4 90C03-Gal80} driver (Kottmeier \textit{et al.}, 2020; Matzat \textit{et al.}, 2015; Stork \textit{et al.}, 2008)
(Figure 1-figure supplement 1A,B). In adults – but not in larvae – a specialized group of glial cells
is found at the CNS/PNS boundary, called tract glia (Kremer \textit{et al.}, 2017) which overlaps with
both the central ensheathing glia and the peripheral wrapping glia (Figure 6-figure supplement
1). Interestingly, a similarly distinct group of glial cells has been identified in the vertebrate
nervous system (Fontenas and Kucenas, 2017; Kucenas \textit{et al.}, 2008; Kucenas \textit{et al.}, 2009). The
position of the lacunae coincides with the location of the tract glial cells (Kremer \textit{et al.}, 2017)
(compare Figures 2H, 6C). These glial cells express \textit{75H03-Gal4, 83E12-Gal4} as well as the \textit{nrv2-
Gal4 90C03-Gal80} driver (Figure 6-figure supplement 1). Multicolor flipout (MCFO2) labeling
experiments (Nern et al., 2015) indicate tiling of these glial cells along the nerve with no overlap and no spaces in between individual glial cells (Figure 6D-F). To further determine which glial cell forms the lacunar structures we generated flies harboring a *UAS-Myr-Flag-Apex2-NES* transgene (Apex2<sup>Myr</sup>, see Materials and Methods) and expressed the myristoylated Apex2 with the different Gal4 drivers mentioned above. These experiments confirmed, that most of the lacunar system is indeed generated by tract glial cell processes (Figure 6A,B).

Thus, in large caliber motor axons, most of the Para voltage-gated sodium channels is positioned close to the lacunar system, which had been previously speculated to serve as an extracellular ion reservoir needed for sustained generation of action potentials (Chandra and Singh, 1983; Leech and Swales, 1987; Maddrell and Treherne, 1967; Treherne and Schofield, 1981; Van Harreveld et al., 1969; Wigglesworth, 1960).

**Myelin in the leg nerve is found close to the CNS**

In vertebrates, clustering of voltage-gated ion channels occurs on the edges of myelinated axonal segments (internodes) (Arancibia-Cárcamo *et al.*, 2017; Castelfranco and Hartline, 2015; Cohen *et al.*, 2019; Dutta *et al.*, 2018; Eshed-Eisenbach and Peles, 2019). Here, myelin not only participates in positioning of voltage-gated ion channels but also increases electric insulation and thus contributes to a faster conductance velocity. In Drosophila highest conductance velocity is likely to be required during fast and well-tuned locomotion in adults. Thus, we focused our search for myelin-like structures on adult leg nerves. Most of the 760 axons within an adult leg run in a single large nerve that exit the CNS at well-defined positions (Figure 7A-C-.
Unlike the organization in larval nerves, axons running in the leg nerves are found in distinct zones depending on their diameter (Figure 7B,C). At the position of the femur, large axons are always covered by a single glial sheet. Small diameter axons are generally not individually wrapped but rather engulfed as a fascicle (Figure 7B,D). At the coxa, close to the CNS, we noted that large diameter axons were occasionally flanked by several glial membrane sheets (Figure 7E, asterisk). Up to 15 flat glial membrane sheets with a thickness of about 28 nm are found along larger axons (Figure 7E,F-figure supplement 1B). Axons with an intermediate diameter show individual glial wrapping with a single or very few glial sheets (Figure 7E,H). To quantify the occurrence of myelin-like structures we made semi-serial distal to proximal sections of six nerves every 5 µm across the entire lacunar area spanning 40 - 60 µm (Figure 7-figure supplement 2). The position where lacunar structures were first identified was set as zero. We then counted the occurrence of myelin-like structures in every section that we defined as ≥ 4 glial layers in close apposition. Here, we noted an increase in the number of myelin-like structures at the distal end of the lacunae (Figure 7-figure supplement 2B, Figure 7-figure supplement 3). No myelin-like structures were found at proximal positions close to the neuropil. The position of the up to 4 myelin-like structures found within a section plane was variable and could be either at the margin of the lacunae (Figure 7-figure supplement 3A) or could be found separating an area with small axons from an area with large axons (Figure 7-figure supplement 3B), or close to the blood-brain barrier (Figure 7-figure supplement 3C). In rare cases we noted formation of myelin-like membrane stacks without contact to axons in the lacunar region (Figure 7-figure supplement 3D). Myelin-like sheets contact serval axons (Figure
7-figure supplement 3A-C,E,F) but can also engulf single large axons with varying complexity of
the membrane stacks (Figure 7-figure supplement 3G-H, Figure 7-figure supplement 4).

Myelin can be formed by central tract glia and peripheral wrapping glia

To determine which glial cell type is able to form myelin-like structures, we expressed $\text{Apex2}^{\text{Myr}}$
in specific glial cell types and analyzed whether DAB positive myelin-like stacks of glial cell
processes can be detected in the electron microscope. Upon expression of $\text{Apex2}^{\text{Myr}}$ in CNS
derived tract glia $75H03-\text{Gal4}$ DAB positive myelin stacks can be detected (Figure 7G (black
arrowhead),I, Figure 7-figure supplement 3, Figure 7-figure supplement 5). The finding that
$75H03-\text{Gal4}$ directed Apex2-labeling can be found next to unlabeled glial sheets (Figure 7G
white arrowhead) suggests that peripheral wrapping glial cells can also form myelin-like
structures in the leg nerve. Drosophila myelin-like membrane stacks are generated by extensive
membrane folding providing the disadvantage that axons are not entirely insulated (Figure 7I,
Figures S4, S5). However, we occasionally do find axons encircled by multiple glial wraps (Figure
7H, Figures S4,S5,S6). Interestingly, in some areas we noted almost compacted glial membrane
sheets (Figure 7F,I, inlay boxed areas).

To further validate these findings we performed additional high pressure freezing of pre-fixed
samples to optimize tissue preservation (Möbius et al., 2016; Sosinsky et al., 2008). In such
specimens compact stackings of thin glial membrane sheets can be detected, too (Figure 7J,K).
In the compacted areas (Figure 7I-K), the interperiodic distance of the different glial layers is
about 30 nm, which is considerably more than the interperiodic distance of 13 nm found in
mouse peripheral myelin (Fledrich et al., 2018). The unique compact appearance of vertebrate
myelin is mediated by the myelin basic protein (MBP) (Nave and Werner, 2021). In contrast to vertebrate myelin where extra- and intercellular space is removed, fly myelin-like structures only show an irregular compaction of the extracellular space.

Para localization depends on wrapping glial cells

Next, we wanted to test whether wrapping glial cells participate in the control positioning of voltage-gated ion channels. To address this, we ablated either peripheral wrapping glia or central ensheathing glia including the tract glia by directing the expression of the proapoptotic gene hid (Kottmeier et al., 2020; Pogodalla et al., 2021) and assayed the distribution of Shal and Para. Ablation of central or peripheral wrapping glial cells does not affect the distribution of the voltage-gated potassium channel Shal (Figure 8-figure supplement 1). Likewise, removal of the CNS specific ensheathing glia does not affect Para localization in the larval nervous system (Figure 8-figure supplement 2A-E). In contrast, upon ablation of the peripheral wrapping glia a marked change in Para protein localization becomes obvious (Figure 8-figure supplement 2A-B’). In control larvae, anti-Para antibodies detect only a weak labeling of segmental nerves, but all nerves are intensely decorated with Para in wrapping glia ablated larvae. Whereas in wild type control larvae, 2.5 times more Para protein is found at the CNS/PNS transition zone compared to nerve segments on the muscle field, an almost even distribution is noted in glia ablated larvae (Figure 8C). In addition to the redistribution of Para protein along the axon, we also noted a two-fold increase of para mRNA levels in further qRT-PCR experiments (Figure 8D).
Taken together, even in the small insect *Drosophila melanogaster*, myelin-like structures are formed (Figure 9). They are preferentially found distally to a lacunar region. The lacunae are formed by glial cell processes and comprise a large extracellular liquid filled space (Figure 9). Para voltage-gated sodium channels are differentially localized along sensory and motor neurons. In sensory neurons, Para expression is generally weaker and concentrates in an axon initial segment but is also found in dendritic processes. In motor neurons Para localization is enriched in axonal segments that are running within the glial lacunar system. Interestingly, glia ablation experiments indicate that normal *para* mRNA expression as well as Para protein localization is dependent on the presence of wrapping glial cell processes. This suggests a signaling pathway from glia to the regulation of *para* transcription.

**Discussion**

In the vertebrate nervous system, saltatory conductance allows very fast spreading of information. This requires localized distribution of voltage-gated ion channels and concomitantly, the formation of the myelin sheath. The evolution of this complex structure is unclear. Here, we report glial-dependent localization of voltage-gated ion channels at an AIS-like domain of peripheral Drosophila larval motor axons. As more channels accumulate in adults, a lacunar system and adjacent myelin-like structures are formed by central tract glia and peripheral wrapping glia.

In myelinated axons of vertebrates, voltage-gated Na⁺ and K⁺ channels are clustered at the AIS and the nodes of Ranvier (Amor et al., 2014; Freeman *et al.*, 2016; Nelson and Jenkins, 2017). In
invertebrate neurons, the AIS corresponds to the spike initiation zone located distal to the soma and distal to the dendrite branching point. Such segments were found in C. elegans (Eichel et al., 2022) and have been previously postulated for Drosophila neurons due to the localization of a giant ankyrin, which in all systems appears to be an important scaffolding protein at the AIS, as well as the presence of voltage-gated ion channels (Dubessy et al., 2019; Freeman et al., 2015; Jegla et al., 2016; Ravenscroft et al., 2020; Trunova et al., 2011).

Moreover, recent modeling approaches at the example of the pioneering aCC motor neuron predicted the localization of voltage-gated ion channels at the CNS/PNS boundary (Günay et al., 2015), which very well matches the localization of the voltage-gated ion channels Para and Shal, as reported here. Interestingly, in Drosophila para mRNA expression as well as Para protein localization depend on the presence of peripheral wrapping glia. In glia ablated nerves, Para expression is increased and decorates the entire axonal membrane. This loss of a clustered distribution may contribute to the pronounced reduction in axonal conductance velocity noted earlier in such glia ablated animals (Kottmeier et al., 2020). In addition, we found an increased para mRNA expression. How glial cells control Para localization and how this is then transduced to an increased expression of para remains to be further studied. Since alterations in glial differentiation caused by manipulation of FGF-receptor signaling specifically in peripheral wrapping glia does not cause a change in Para expression or localization (Figure 8-figure supplement 2F-H), proteins secreted by wrapping glia might be needed for the correct positioning of voltage-gated ion channels (Yuan and Ganetzky, 1999).

In the adult nervous system, the AIS-like domain is embedded in glial lacunar regions formed by wrapping glial cell processes. The increased expression of Para within the AIS-like segments of
adult brains is expected to generate strong ephaptic coupling forces (Rey et al., 2022; Rey et al., 2020). These are caused by ion flux through open channels which generate an electric field that is able to influence the gating of ion channels in closely neighboring axons (Arvanitaki, 1942; Krnjevic, 1986; Rasminsky, 1980). Ephaptic coupling helps to synchronize firing axons (Anastassiou and Koch, 2015; Anastassiou et al., 2011; Han et al., 2018; Shneider and Pekker, 2015), but is also detrimental to the precision of neuronal signaling in closely apposed axons (Arvanitaki, 1942; Kottmeier et al., 2020).

Ephaptic coupling is counteracted by the glial lacunar system, that spatially separates axons and adds more levels of wrapping. Furthermore, it was postulated that the lacunar system provides a large extracellular ion reservoir (Wigglesworth, 1960). Given the tight apposition of axonal and glial membranes with most parts of the nerve, which is in the range of 20 nm, only a very small interstitial fluid volume is normally present. Thus, action potential generation would deplete sodium and potassium ions very fast, and would prevent sustained neuronal activity. The development of lacunar structures might therefore provide sufficient amount of ion and at the same time physically separates axons to reduce the likelihood of ephaptic coupling. It will be interesting to test this hypothesis in the future.

Close to the lacunar structures we detected myelin-like structures. It appears that the glial processes that form the lacunae collapse to form compact myelin-like membrane sheets. Interestingly, myelin-like structures are not formed at the lateral borders of the lacunae but rather form at its distal end. This indicates that insulation is likely not a key function of the myelin-like structures, but rather these structures originate as a consequence of the collapsed
lacunar system. Concomitant with the occurrence of the myelin-like differentiations we note a decrease in the Para ion channel density. At the same time, the need for a large ion reservoir decreases, favoring the formation of myelin-like structures.

A hallmark of vertebrate myelin is the spiral growth of the insulating glial membrane. This is generally not observed in large fly nerves where glial membrane sheets rather fold back than spirally grow around a single axon. Compared to myelinated vertebrate axons, this provides the disadvantage that axons are not entirely insulated. However, spiral growth can be seen in small nerves where less extensive wrapping is noted. An additional unique feature of vertebrate myelin is its compact organization which is mediated by the myelin basic protein (MBP) (Nave and Werner, 2021). In contrast to vertebrate myelin where extra- and intercellular space is removed, fly myelin-like structures only show a compaction of the extracellular space, which is expected to increase resistance as the number of freely moving ions is diminished. A fully compact myelin state would require MBP-like proteins which have not been identified in the fly genome.

In conclusion, the evolution of myelin appears reflected in the different developmental stages of Drosophila. First, voltage gated ion channels are clustered at the AIS with the help of Drosophila glia. Second, upon increased expression of such ion channels in the adult nervous system, an ion reservoir might be formed by the lacunar system. The collapse of glial processes in the non-lacunar regions then provides the basis of myelin formation. In the future, it will be interesting to identify glial derived signals that ensure channel positioning and determine how neuronal signaling adjusts channel expression and triggers formation of myelin.
**Materials**

**Methods**

**Drosophila genetics**

All fly stocks were raised and kept at room temperature on standard Drosophila food. All crosses were raised at 25 °C.

To determine temperature sensitivity, five 3-days old male and female flies were transferred to an empty vial with a foam plug. The vials were incubated in a water bath at 42°C for 1 min and then placed at room temperature. Flies were monitored every 15 secs for 5 min. At least 100 males and females for each genotype were tested.

For MCFO experiments early, white pupae were collected, put in a fresh vial and heat shocked at 37 °C for 1 hour. Pupae were placed back to 25 °C and dissected a few days after hatching.

To generate $\text{para}^{\text{mCherry}}$ flies we employed the MiMIC insertion strain $\text{para}^{\text{Mi8578}}$ generated by the Bellen lab and we injected pBS-KS-attB1-2-PT-SA-SD-0-mCherry (DGRC Stock 1299; https://dgrc.bio.indiana.edu//stock/1299 ; RRID:DGRC_1299 (Venken et al., 2011)) into embryos with the following genotype: $y w \Phi^{31}/\text{para}^{\text{Mi08578}}$. Following crosses to $FM7c$, $y w$ flies were tested by PCR to identify successful insertion events.

To generate $\text{para}^{\text{Apex2}}$ flies, we first removed mCherry encoding sequences from pBS-KS-attB1-2-PT-SA-SD-0-mCherry (DGRC#1299) using restriction enzymes and then inserted the $\text{apex2}$ coding sequence (addgene #49386, using the primers AAGGATCCGAAAGTCTTACCACACTGT...
and AAGGATCCGAGCATCAGCAAACCCAAG). pBS-KS-attB1-2-PT-SA-SD-0-Apex2 was used to establish a $\text{para}^\text{Apex2}$ as described above. Flies were tested via single-fly PCR. To generate UAS-Myr-Flag-Apex2 flies we cloned Apex2 using the primers CACCgactacaaggatgacgacgataa and cagggtcaggcgctcc into pUAST_Myr_rfA_attB, which was then inserted into the landing stie 86Fb using established protocols (Bischof et al., 2007).

**Western blot analysis**

10 adult fly heads were homogenized in 50 µl RIPA buffer on ice. They were centrifuged at 4°C for 20 min at 13,000 rpm. The supernatant was mixed with 5x reducing Lämmli buffer and incubated for 5 min at 65°C. 15 µl of the samples were separated to an 8% SDS-gel and subsequently blotted onto a PVDF membrane (Amersham Hybond-P PVDF Membrane, GE Healthcare). Anti-Para antibodies were generated against the following N-terminal sequence (CAEHEKQKELERKRAEGE), affinity purified, and were used in a 1/1,000 dilution. Experiments were repeated three times.

**Cell culture**

Primary neural cell culture was preformed as described (Prokop et al., 2012). In brief, 3-5 stage11 embryos were collected, chemically dechorionized and homogenized in 100 µl dispersion medium. Following sedimentation for 5 min at 600 g, cells were resuspended in 30 µl culture medium and applied to a glass bottom chamber (MatTek), sealed with a ConA coated coverslip. Cultures were grown for 5-7 days. Experiments were repeated three times.
qPCR

RNA was isolated from dissected larval brains using the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen) and cDNA was synthesised using Quantitect Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. qPCR for all samples was performed using a Taqman gene expression assay (Life technologies) in a StepOne Real-Time PCR System (Thermofisher, para: Dm01813740_m1, RPL32: Dm02151827_g1). RPL32 was used as a housekeeping gene. Expression levels of Para were normalized to RPL32.

Immunohistochemistry

Larval Filets: L3 wandering larvae were collected in PBS on ice. Larvae were placed on a silicon pad and attached with two needles at both ends, with the dorsal side facing up. They were cut with a fine scissor at the posterior end. Following opening with a long cut from the posterior to the anterior end the tissue was stretched and attached to the silicon pad with additional 4-6 needles. Gut, fat body and trachea were removed. Adult brains: Adult flies were anesthetised with CO₂ and were dipped into 70% ethanol. The head capsule was cut open with fine scissors and the tissue surrounding the brain removed with forceps. Legs and wings were cut off and the thorax opened at the dorsal side. The ventral nerve cord was carefully freed from the tissue. For fixation, dissected samples were either covered for 3 min with Bouin’s solution or for 20 min with 4% PFA in PBS. Following washing with PBT samples were incubated for 1 h in 10% goat serum in PBT. Primary antibody incubation was at 4°C followed. The following antibodies were used: anti-Para N-term, this study; anti-dsRed (Takara), anti-GFP (Abcam, Invitrogen), anti-Rumpel (Yildirim et al., 2022), anti-Repo (Hybridoma bank), rabbit α-V5 (1:500, Sigma Aldrich),
mouse α-HA (1:1000, Covance), rat α-Flag (1:200, Novus biologicals). The appropriate secondary antibodies (Thermofisher) were incubated for 3 hrs at RT. The tissues were covered with Vectashield mounting solution (Vector Laboratories) and stored at 4°C until imaging using a LSM880 Airyscan microscope, or a Elyra 7 microscope (Carl Zeiss AG Elyra 7 imaging, lateral resolution 80 nm with a voxel size of 30 nm x 30 nm x 100 nm). All stainings were repeated >5 times.

**High Pressure Freezing**

3 weeks old female flies were used with head, legs and tip of abdomen removed. Following fixation in 4% FA in 0.1M PHEM in a mild vacuum (~200 mbar), at RT for 45 min and 3 washes in 0.1 PHEM, the tissue was embedded in 3% low melting agarose for vibratome sectioning (Leica, VTS1200S). Samples were cut in PBS into 200 μm thick cross sections with 1mm/sec, 1.25mm amplitude. and were placed into lecithin coated 6 mm planchettes, filled with 20% PVP in 0.1M PHEM and high pressure frozen (Leica, HPM100). 7 specimens were sectioned. Freeze substitution was performed in 1 %OsO₄, 0.2%glutaraldehyde, 3% water in acetone at -90°C and stepwise dehydrated over 3 days. Samples were embedded in mixtures of acetone and epon.

**DAB Staining and electron microscopy**

Flies were injected with 4% formaldehyde (FA) in 0.1 M HEPES buffer and fixed at room temperature for 45 min. Following washes and incubation in 20 mM glycine in 0.1 M HEPES, samples were incubated in 0.05 % DAB in 0.1 M HEPES at room temperature for 40 min. 0.03% H₂O₂ was added and the reaction was stopped after 5-10 min. The tissue was then fixed in 4%
FA and 0.2% glutardialdehyde in 0.1 M HEPES at RT for 3 h. After 3 times rinsing the tissue was
fixed in 4% FA at room temperature overnight. The FA was replaced by 2% OsO₄ in 0.1 M HEPES
for 1 h on ice (dark). Uranyl acetate staining was performed *en bloque* using a 2% solution in
H₂O for 30 min (dark). Following an EtOH series (50%, 70%, 80%, 90% and 96%) on ice for 3 min
each step, final dehydration was done at room temperature with 2x 100 % EtOH for 15 min and
2 times propylene oxide for 30 min. Grids of high pressure frozen samples were additionally
counterstained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate. Following slow Epon infiltration specimens
were embedded in flat molds and polymerized at 60 °C for 2 days.

6 specimens from 3 different fixation experiments were sectioned. Ultrathin sections were cut
using a 35° ultra knife (Diatome) and collected in formvar coated one slot copper grids. For
imaging a Zeiss TEM 900 at 80 kV in combination with a Morada camera (EMSIS, Münster,
Germany) operated by the software iTEM. Image processing was done using Adobe Photoshop
and Fiji. Ultrathin sections of high pressure frozen samples were examined at a Tecnai 12
biotwin (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and imaged with a 2K CCD veleta camera (EMSIS, Münster,
Germany).

To plot the Para distribution across the axonal surface, an axon was serially sectioned (~70 nm
section thickness) and imaged. The images were cropped to the size of the axon in Fiji and
aligned using Affinity Photo (software version 1.10.5.1342). The rotated / aligned images were
loaded into Fiji and the segmented line tool was used to create ROIs on top of the axonal
membrane in every section. The ROI was set to have the same starting point for the
measurement. Para²Apex staining intensity was measured along the circumference of an axon on
16 sequentially sectioned EM images. The relative grey values were binned by a factor of 100.
This was then interpolated in 3D. We used biharmonic spline interpolation from the MATLAB Curve Fitting Toolbox (software version 9.13.0.2105380 (R2022b) Update 2) to generate a surface plot.

Script:

```matlab
x = ParaE(:,1);
y = ParaE(:,3);
z = ParaE(:,2);
xlin = linspace(min(x), max(x), 100);
ylin = linspace(min(y), max(y), 100);
[X,Y] = meshgrid(xlin, ylin);
% Z = griddata(x,y,z,X,Y,'natural');
% Z = griddata(x,y,z,X,Y,'cubic');
Z = griddata(x,y,z,X,Y,'v4');
mesh(X,Y,Z)
axis tight; hold on
plot3(x,y,z,'.','MarkerSize',15)
```
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Figures

Figure 1  Localization of Para voltage-gated ion channels in the larval nervous system.

(A) Schematic view on the para gene. Alternative splicing at the circled exons results in the generation of more than 60 Para isoforms. All isoforms share a common N-terminus. Here, the MiMIC insertion MI08578 allows tagging of the endogenous para gene. The peptide sequence AEHEKQKEKLERKRAEGE (position 33-49) that was used for immunization is indicated by a green star, the MiMIC insertion is indicated by a magenta star. (B) Homozygous para<sup>mCherry</sup>, para<sup>Apex2</sup> or para<sup>ST76</sup> flies were tested for temperature induced paralysis. The recovery time is indicated. (C) Third instar larval para<sup>mCherry</sup> nervous system stained for Cherry localization. Para<sup>mCherry</sup> is detected in the ventral nerve cord (vnc) and diffusely along peripheral nerves (arrows). (D,D’) Affinity purified anti-Para antibodies detect a protein in the CNS of dissected 24 hours old wild type first instar larvae. (E,E’) No protein is found in the CNS of dissected age-matched para mutant animals. (F) Third instar larval nervous system stained with the pre-immune control. (G) Third instar larval nervous system stained with affinity purified anti-Para antibodies. Scale bars are as indicated.

Figure 2  Differential localization of voltage-gated ion channels in Drosophila

(A) Third instar larvae with the genotype [para<sup>mCherry</sup>; OK371-Gal4, UAS-mCherry<sup>dsRNA</sup>]. para<sup>mCherry</sup> expression is suppressed in all glutamatergic neurons and thus, Para<sup>mCherry</sup> localization along axons of cholinergic sensory neurons becomes visible. (B) Third instar larvae with the genotype [para<sup>mCherry</sup>; Chat-Gal4, UAS-mCherry<sup>dsRNA</sup>]. Here expression of para<sup>mCherry</sup> is
suppressed in all cholinergic neurons which reveals Para localization in motor neurons. Note the prominent Para localization at the CNS/PNS transition point (arrowheads). (C) Third instar larval shaker\textsuperscript{GFP} nervous system stained for GFP localization. Shaker is found in the neuropil (dashed areas). (D) Third instar larval shab\textsuperscript{GFP} nervous system stained for GFP localization. Shab is distributed evenly along all peripheral axons. (E) Third instar larval shal\textsuperscript{GFP} nervous system stained for GFP localization. Shal localizes similar as Para on motor axons. Scale bars are 100 \(\mu\)m. (F) Adult para\textsuperscript{mCherry} ventral nerve cord stained for Para localization. Para\textsuperscript{mCherry} localizes prominently along segments of peripheral nerves (arrow) as they enter thoracic neuromeres.

Note that some axons entering the CNS neuropil show only a weak Para signal (open arrowhead). (G) Control (Oregon R) adult ventral nerve cord stained for Para protein localization using purified anti-Para antibodies. Note the differential localization of Para along axons entering the nerve (arrow, open arrowhead). (H) Ventral nerve cord of an adult fly with the genotype \([\text{para}^{\text{FlpTag-GFP}}; \text{Ok371-Gal4, UAS-flp}]\). The boxed area is shown enlarged in (H'). The arrowheads point to high density of Para. (I) Ventral nerve cord of an adult fly with the genotype \([\text{para}^{\text{FlpTag-GFP}}; \text{Chat-Gal4, UAS-flp}]\). The boxed area is shown enlarged in (H'). Note, that Para localization is reduced as soon axons enter the neuropil (arrows). Scale bars are as indicated.

**Figure 3** Clustered localization of Para along motor axons.

(A) High resolution Airyscan analysis of Para\textsuperscript{mCherry} and (B) HRP localization in an adult nerve. The boxed area is shown in higher magnification below (A',B'). Note the clustered appearance of Para\textsuperscript{mCherry}, clusters are about 0.6-0.8 \(\mu\)m apart (arrowheads). (C,C') Primary wild type neural
cells cultured for 7 days stained for Repo (magenta) to label glial nuclei, HRP (cyan) to label
neuronal cell membranes and anti-Para antibodies (green). The Para protein localizes in a
dotted fashion. (D) Ventral nerve cord of a third instar larva with the genotype [\textit{para}^{\text{FlpTag-GFP}},
\textit{94G06-Gal4, UAS-flp}]. The arrow points to a single neuronal cell body found in every
hemineuromer. (E) Higher magnification of single Para^{GFP} expressing axons. Note the dotted
arrangement of Para^{GFP} along motor axons (arrowheads). (F,F') Ventral nerve cord of a third
instar larva with the genotype [\textit{para}^{\text{FlpTag-GFP}}, \textit{94G06-Gal4, UAS-flp}] imaged with super-
resolution. The dashed box is shown in high magnification in (F'). Arrows point to clusters of
Para protein. Scale bars are as indicated. (G) Quantification of Para cluster distance using super-
resolution imaging (\textit{Para}^{\text{FlpTag::GFP}}, average distance is 620 nm, \(n=91\) clusters on 3 axons, 2
larvae) or electron microscopy (\textit{Para}^{\text{Apex2}}, average distance is 706 nm, \(n=64\) clusters on 8 axons
4 larvae, Mann-Whitney-U, \(p=0.0747\), two-tailed). Scale bars are as indicated.

\textbf{Figure 4  Localization of Para along sensory axons.}

(A,A') Ventral pickpocket expressing sensory neuron (\textit{v’ada}) of a third instar larva with the
genotype [\textit{para}^{\text{FlpTag-GFP}}, \textit{ppk-Gal4, UAS-flp, UAS-tdTomato}] stained for GFP (green), HRP
(magenta) and tdTomato (white). The dashed boxes are shown in higher magnification in (B,C).
The asterisk denotes the position of the neuronal cell soma. The filled arrows indicate localized
Para along some of the dendritic processes. The open arrowhead points to a dendritic process
lacking Para localization. Note that Para localization along the descending axon becomes
prominent only after about 50 µm (open arrow). (B,B') Magnification of the neuronal soma
attached dendrites. (C,C') Descending axon of the \textit{v’ada} neuron. Note that the strong Para
signal starts 50 µm distal to the cell soma and fades out after 100 µm (open arrows). Scale bars are as indicated.

**Figure 5** A glial lacunar system surrounds the axon initial segment.

(A) Weak Para expression can be detected on para$^{\text{Apex2}}$ expressing small axons (arrowheads) running in fascicles within the nerve. (B-D) Cross-sections through the same axon at various positions. Distance between individual sections (B,C) is 15 µm, distance between (C,D) is 6.5 µm. Note the intense labeling of the axonal membrane is changing between the different sections. (E) Cross section, to determine the staining intensity along the membrane (below the blue line), a corresponding ROI was defined and (F) quantified using Fiji. (G) Surface plot of Para$^{\text{Apex2}}$ distribution along 16 consecutive axonal cross sections. For details see Materials and Methods. The intensity of DAB precipitates is transformed to different colors. Note that Para clusters are organized in two longitudinal lines across the axonal membrane surface. (H) Longitudinal section of a para$^{\text{Apex2}}$ expressing axon. The staining intensity along the membrane (above the blue line) was quantified using Fiji. (I) Staining intensity of the membrane stretch shown in (H). Note the regular increase in staining intensity every 0.6-0.8 µm. For quantification see Figure 3G. Scale bars are as indicated.

**Figure 6** Organization of the lacuna forming tract glial.

(A,B) Apex2 expression directed by 75H03-Gal4. Axons (asterisks) are engulfed by lacunar structures that are largely formed by the tract glia. (C) Maximum projection of a confocal image
stack. $75H03$-$Gal4$ directed expression of GFP labels the ensheathing/wrapping or tract glia. Note that GFP expression ends proximal to the dissection cut (white dashed circles). (D-F)

MCFO2 analysis of the $nrv2$-$Gal4$, $R90C03$-$Gal80$ positive wrapping glia. Note that glial cells tile the nerve roots with no gaps in between. Scale bars are as indicated.

Figure 7  Drosophila wrapping glia form myelin.

(A) Drosophila leg of a three weeks old fly with wrapping glial nuclei in green, the cuticle is stained by autofluorescence, the genotype is $[nrv2$-$Gal4$, $UAS$lamGFP$]$. (B-K) Electron microscopic images of sections taken from 3 weeks old female flies. (B) Section at the level of the femur. (C) Electron microscopic section at the level of the coxa. In some areas, an increased amount of glial membranes can be detected close to large caliber axons (box with white dashed lines, enlarged as an inlay). (D,E,G) Cross sections through a 2 weeks adult leg of a fly with the genotype $[75H03$-$Gal4$, $UAS$-Myr-Flag-Apex2-NES]$. Glial cell processes are stained by the presence of Apex2 which generates an osmiophilic DAB precipitate. (D) Small caliber axons (ax) are engulfed by a single glial process as fascicle. Larger axons are individually wrapped (asterisk). (E) Large caliber axons are surrounded by glial membrane stacks. The asterisk denotes an axon engulfed by a few glial wraps (red dots). ax: axon. (F) Up to 15 densely packed membrane sheets are found (see inlay for enlargement). (G) Darkly stained tract glia membrane stacks (black arrowhead) can be found next to unlabeled membrane stacks (white arrowhead), suggesting that myelin-like structures can be derived from both, central and peripheral wrapping glial cells. (H) High pressure freezing preparation showing a single axon covered by myelin-like membrane sheets in a lacunar area (asterisks). (I) Note the bulged
appearance of the growing tip of the glial cell processes that form the myelin-like structures (arrowheads). The inlay shows a highly organized membrane stacking. (J,K) High pressure freezing preparation of prefixed samples to reduce tissue preparation artifacts. Note the compact formation of membrane layers. The white dashed area is shown in (K). Scale bars are as indicated.

Figure 8 Localization of the voltage-gated sodium channel depends on glia.

(A,A’) Third instar larval filet preparation with the genotype [nrv2-Gal4, UAS-CD8-GFP; R90C03-Gal80] showing the localization of Para as detected using the anti-Para antibody in a control larva. (B,B’) Third instar larval filet preparation with the genotype [nrv2-Gal4, UAS-hid; R90C03-Gal80] showing the localization of Para as detected using the anti-Para antibody in a wrapping glia ablated larva. The white dashed boxes were used for quantification of Para fluorescence intensity in the CNS/PNS transition zone in relation to its expression in the muscle field area. The yellow boxed areas are shown in higher magnification (A’,B’). Note the increased localization of Para along the peripheral nerve at the level of the muscle field (asterisks). Scale bars are as indicated. (C) Quantification of Para fluorescence intensity in the CNS/PNS transition area and the muscle field area in control and wrapping glia ablated larvae (n=10 larval filets, 3 nerves/filet). To exclude a possible influence seen in individual animals, the average fluorescence intensities along nerves of each individual were compared. Note, Para distributes more evenly along the axon in the absence of wrapping glia (p=0,0003; Mann-Whitney-U-test).

(D) Quantification of para mRNA expression using qRT-PCR in control and wrapping glia ablated larvae (n=7, with 15-20 brains each). para ct-values were normalized to ct-values of control
gene, RPL32. Note, the significant increase in para mRNA expression upon wrapping glia ablation (p=0.0006, Mann-Whitney-U-test). Scale bars are as indicated.

Figure 9 Organization of the axon initial segment in Drosophila motor axons

Voltage-gated sodium channels are preferentially positioned at the axon initial segment (AIS) of the motor axon. (A) In the larval nervous system positioning is mediated by the peripheral wrapping glia. (B) In adults these cells form myelin-like structures, which fray out in the lacunae which represent a reservoir possibly needed for ion homeostasis during sustained action potential generation.
Supplementary Figures

Figure 1-figure supplement 1 (A-C) Schematic representation of the larval (A,B) and the adult Drosophila nervous system (C). The ensheathing glia is labelled in blue, the ensheathing/wrapping glia is labelled in green, the wrapping glia is shown in red. The tract glia of the adult nervous system is shown in green and red stripes. The tract glia likely corresponds to the ensheathing/wrapping glia but the exact lineage relationship is not known. (D) Western blot of protein lysates of adult heads. Purified anti-Para antibodies detect a band of 105 kDa and a band of >250 kDa in size. The size of the >250 kDa protein band increases in para^mCherry heads compared to wild type control as well as para^Mimic heads, indicating that this band corresponds to the Para protein. Note that elevated levels of the endogenous Para::mCherry fusion protein are detected. Anti-dsRed antibodies detect only the Para^mCherry fusion protein.

Figure 2-figure supplement 1 (A-C) The tract glial cells as defined by 75H03-Gal4 UAS-tdTomato activity, also express the CNS ensheathing glia marker 83E12-LexA LexAop-CD8::GFP. (D) The PNS wrapping glia marker nrv2-Gal4 90C03-Gal80 UAS-mCherry labels cells that overlap in their expression domain with the tract glial cells. HRP (blue) labels neuronal membranes. Scale bar is 100 µm. (E) Schematic summary of central and peripheral wrapping glial cells in Drosophila. The neuropil is covered by the ensheathing glia. The peripheral axons are wrapped by the peripheral wrapping glia. The 75H03-Gal4 positive glial cells are located in between these two glial cell populations.
Figure 7-figure supplement 1 (A) About 760 axons innervate the leg. The majority is smaller than 0.5 μm in diameter, very few ones are larger than 2 μm. (B) The width of glial cell processes is about 28 nm and very regular.

Figure 7-figure supplement 2 Extent of the lacunar system. (A) CNS/PNS boundary of the mesothoracic neuromere of an adult ventral nerve cord with the genotype [75H03-Gal4, UAS-tdTomato, 83E12-lexA, lexAop-CD8GFP] imaged for tdTomato, GFP and HRP expression. (B) Schematic representation of the image shown in (A) with the position of the lacunar region indicated. (C-F) Examples of a serial section series taken every 5 μm for 40 - 65 μm. The green shading indicates the lacunar region. The numbers in circles show relative distances to the first distal section with lacunar structures. Scale bars are as indicated.

Figure 7-figure supplement 3 Quantification of myelin distribution in the leg nerve. (A-I) Examples of myelin-like structures of the leg nerve. (A) Myelin-like sheets can be found separating the lacunar region from small caliber axons. (B) Myelin-like sheets separate large caliber axons from small caliber axons. (C) Myelin-like sheets can be found towards the blood-brain barrier. (D) Myelin-like sheets are rarely found in the lacunar region without close contact to axons. (E,F) Myelin-like sheets can partially wrap larger axons. (G-I) Myelin-like sheets can be found with different complexity around single large caliber axons. (J) Quantification of the number of myelin-like stacks detected in a specific section plane (see Figure S3). The value set as 0 corresponds to the distal most point where lacunar structures were detected. Progression
of sections is towards the CNS (proximal). (K) Quantification of the number of axons contacting the myelin-like stacks.

Figure 7-figure supplement 4 Multilayered myelin-like structures are formed around single axons in the adult nervous system. (A) Loosely wrapped glial membranes around one single axon (asterisk). The spacing of the glial membranes resembles the glial lacunae. (B) Wrapping around a single axon. The green shaded glial cell process wraps spirally around the central axon. The ends are denoted by the asterisk and the circle. (C) Simple wrapping around single axons. The shading indicates the different glial cell types present in the nerve: Wrapping glia WG, perineurial glia PG, subperineurial glia SPG. (D) Tight wrapping around a single axon. Unlike the image shown in (A) a close apposition of glial membranes is noted. Scale bars are as indicated.

Figure 7-figure supplement 5 Formation of myelin-like structures in the adult CNS of Drosophila. (A) High pressure freezing preparation. Spiral growth of a glial cell process. (B) Membrane stack formed by a wrapping glial cell. Note the bulbed growing tips of the glial membrane sheets (arrowheads). (C-F) Myelin-like membrane sheets can be connected by comb-like structures. (C) Overview of a multilayered membrane stack around several axons, red shading highlights some of the glial membrane sheets. The arrowhead indicates a bulb structure at the end of the glial membrane sheet (D). In some cases, the ends of the membrane sheets are connected by comb-like structures (asterisks) (E). Growing tip of a wrapping glial cell process that navigated around an axon (ax) (F). Scale bars are as indicated.
**Figure 8-figure supplement 1** Glia ablation does not affect the localization of the voltage-gated potassium channel Shal. (A) Control larva with an endogenously tagged Shal potassium channel. Shal predominantly localizes to the axon initial segment. (B) Upon ablation of the ensheathing glia, no change in Shal localization is detected. (C) Control larva. The inlay shows co-staining for wrapping glial cell processes (magenta) and HRP to detect neuronal membranes. (D) Upon ablation of wrapping glia, no change in Shal localization is detected. Scale bars are as indicated.

**Figure 8-figure supplement 2** Ablation of central ensheathing glia does not affect positioning of Para at the AIS. CNS preparations of third instar larvae of the genotypes indicated are shown. (A) Control larva, expressing CD8GFP under the control of the split Gal4 driver [83E12-Gal4\textsuperscript{AD}, repo-Gal4\textsuperscript{DBD}, UAS-CD8GFP] specific for ensheathing glial cells stained for Para protein expression. (B) Upon ablation of the ensheathing glia following expression of the proapoptotic gene \textit{hid} no change in the Para expression levels are detected. (C) Quantification of the Para fluorescence intensity in control and ensheathing glia ablated larvae (n=5 larval brains, 10-16 nerves/brain). To exclude influence of individual animals 10-16 nerves per individual were measured and analysis was performed on the mean fluorescence intensity of all values from one animal (p=0.8016, Mann-Whitney-U-test). (D) Control larvae for ensheathing glia ablation using the FlpTag approach. The GFP encoding exon was flipped in all motor neurons using [vGlut-lexA, lexAop-Flp]. Note, the pronounced localization of Para\textsuperscript{GFP} at the AIS-like domain of the nerve. (E) Upon ablation of the ensheathing glial cells no change in Para localization in motor axons can be detected. (F-H) Filet preparations of third instar larvae stained for Para localization. Control larva (F). Upon expression of activated FGF-receptor Heartless no change in
Para localization is noted (G). Upon expression of dominant negative Heartless no change in
Para localization is noted (H). Scale bars are as indicated.

Zip file Figure 1-figure supplement 1 with source data
Four images of western blots, with and without marker bands, are provided.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagent type (species) or resource</th>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Source or reference</th>
<th>Identifiers</th>
<th>Additional information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent ((Drosophila melanogaster))</td>
<td>(y^1 w^{+*} ) Mi{MIC}MI08578a Mi{MIC}MI08578b</td>
<td>Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center</td>
<td>BDSC51087</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent ((Drosophila melanogaster))</td>
<td>(y[1] w^{[*]} ) Mi{FlpStop}para{MI08578-FlpStop.D}/FM7c</td>
<td>Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center</td>
<td>BDSC67680</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent ((Drosophila melanogaster))</td>
<td>Para-mCherry</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
<td>Figures 1, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent ((Drosophila melanogaster))</td>
<td>Para-Apex</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
<td>Figures 1, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent ((Drosophila melanogaster))</td>
<td>Para-FlpTag GFP/Fm7i</td>
<td>Fendl et al., 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent ((Drosophila melanogaster))</td>
<td>(y[1] w^{[*]} ) Mi{PT-GFSTF.1}Shal{MI00446-GFSTF.1}</td>
<td>Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center</td>
<td>BDSC60149</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent ((Drosophila melanogaster))</td>
<td>(y[1] w^{[*]} ) Mi{PT-GFSTF.2}Sh{MI10885-GFSTF.2}/FM7j, B[1]</td>
<td>Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center</td>
<td>BDSC59423</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent ((Drosophila melanogaster))</td>
<td>(y[1] w^{[*]} ) Mi{PT-GFSTF.2}Shab{MI00446-GFSTF.1}/TM6C, Sh[1], Tb[1]</td>
<td>Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center</td>
<td>BDSC60514</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent ((Drosophila melanogaster))</td>
<td>UAS-CD8GFP II; R90C03Gai80 III</td>
<td>Kottmeier et al., 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>UAS-Hid/CyOw; R90C03Gal80 III</td>
<td>Kottmeier et al., 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>UAS-lacZ NLS II</td>
<td>Y. Hirmoi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>UAS-λ-Htl</td>
<td>Gisselbrecht et al., 1996</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>UAS-htfPn II</td>
<td>Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center BDSC5366</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>UAS-CD8GFP II</td>
<td>Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center BDSC5137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>UAS-CD8mCherry II</td>
<td>Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center BDSC 27391</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>UAS-Hid II</td>
<td>Igaki et al., 2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>UAS-Flp</td>
<td>Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center BDSC 4539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>UAS-Myr-Flag-APEX2-NES86Fb III</td>
<td>This study Figures 6, 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>pBPhsFLP2::pEST / I ; ; UAS HA, FLAG, V5, OLLAS / III</td>
<td>Nern et al., 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>ChAT-Gal4</td>
<td>Salvaterra and Kitamoto, 2001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic Reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>OK371-Gal4</td>
<td>Mahr and Aberle, 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>GMR94G06Gal4 III</td>
<td>Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>Nrv2Gal4 II</td>
<td>Sun et al., 1999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>Nrv2Gal4 II; R90C03Gal80 III</td>
<td>Kottmeier et al., 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>Nrv2Gal4 II; R90C03Gal80, UAS-CD8Cherry III</td>
<td>Kottmeier et al., 2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>GMR83E12_AD II; Repo4.3_DBD III</td>
<td>Bittern et al., 2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>GMR75H03-Gal4 III</td>
<td>Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>ppkGal4, AUS-tdTomato III</td>
<td>Herzmann et al., 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>lexAop-Flp III</td>
<td>Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>w[ ]; TI[2A-lexA::GAD]VGlut[2A-lexA]/CyO</td>
<td>Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>Para^{ST76}</td>
<td>Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>genetic reagent (Drosophila melanogaster)</td>
<td>Oregon R</td>
<td>Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center</td>
<td>BDSC5</td>
<td>antibody</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antibody Anti-Para N-term (rabbit, polyclonal)</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Cat# 632496 RRID:AB_10013483</td>
<td>IF (1:1000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antibody Anti-dsRed (rabbit, polyclonal)</td>
<td>Takara</td>
<td>Cat# ab13970 RRID:AB_300798</td>
<td>IF(1:500)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antibody Anti-GFP (chicken, polyclonal)</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# A-11122 RRID:AB_221569</td>
<td>IF(1:1000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antibody Anti-GFP (rabbit, polyclonal)</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat#</td>
<td>IF(1:1000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antibody Anti-Rumpel (rabbit, polyclonal)</td>
<td>Yildirim et al., 2022</td>
<td>Cat# 8D12 RRID:AB_528448</td>
<td>IF(1:5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antibody Anti-Repo (mouse, monoclonal)</td>
<td>Hybridoma bank</td>
<td>Cat# V8137-2MG RRID:AB_261889</td>
<td>IF(1:500)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antibody Anti-V5 (rabbit, polyclonal)</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat# MMS-101R RRID:AB_291262</td>
<td>IF(1:1000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antibody Anti-HA (mouse, monoclonal)</td>
<td>Covance BioLegend</td>
<td>Cat# NBP1-06712SS RRID:AB_162598</td>
<td>IF(1:200)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antibody Anti-Flag (rat, monoclonal)</td>
<td>Novus biologicals</td>
<td>Cat# N0304 RRID:AB_2905516</td>
<td>IF(1:500)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antibody FluoTag®-X4 anti-GFP (Alpaca, monoclonal)</td>
<td>NanoTag Biotechnologies</td>
<td>Cat# N0404 RRID:AB_2744638</td>
<td>IF(1:500)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Antibody/Reagent</td>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>Cat/RID</td>
<td>Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antibody</td>
<td>Anti-rabbit Alexa 488 (goat, polyclonal)</td>
<td>Thermofisher</td>
<td>Cat # A-11008 RRID:AB_14 3165</td>
<td>IF(1:1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antibody</td>
<td>Anti-rabbit Alexa 568 (goat, polyclonal)</td>
<td>Thermofisher</td>
<td>Cat # A-11011 RRID:AB_14 3157</td>
<td>IF(1:1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antibody</td>
<td>Anti-chicken Alexa 488 (goat, polyclonal)</td>
<td>Thermofisher</td>
<td>Cat# A-11039, RRID:AB_25 34096</td>
<td>IF(1:1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antibody</td>
<td>Anti-mouse Alexa 488 (goat, polyclonal)</td>
<td>Thermofisher</td>
<td>Cat# A-11001, RRID:AB_25 34069</td>
<td>IF(1:1000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antibody</td>
<td>Anti-HRP Alexa 647 (goat, polyclonal)</td>
<td>Thermofisher</td>
<td>Cat# 123-605-021, RRID:AB_23 38967</td>
<td>IF(1:500)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>antibody</td>
<td>Anti-rabbit HRP (goat, polyclonal)</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>Cat # 31460 RRID:AB_22 8341</td>
<td>WB(1:5000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recombinant DNA reagent</td>
<td>PBS-KS-attB1-2-PT-SA-SD-0-mCherry</td>
<td>Drosophila Genome Research Centre</td>
<td>DGRC#1299</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recombinant DNA reagent</td>
<td>PBS-KS-attB1-2-PT-SA-SD-0-Apex2</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td></td>
<td>Generation of transgenic fly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sequenced-based reagent</td>
<td>BamH1 Apex2 fwd</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>PCR Primer</td>
<td>AAGGATCCCGAAAG TCTTACCCAACCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sequenced-based reagent</td>
<td>BamH1 Apex2 rev</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>PCR Primer</td>
<td>AAGGATCCCGGCATC AGCAAACCCCAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sequenced-based reagent</td>
<td>MiLF</td>
<td>Venken et al., 2011</td>
<td>PCR Primer</td>
<td>GCGTAAGCTACCTT AATCTCAAGAAGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sequenced-based reagent</td>
<td>MiLR</td>
<td>Venken et al., 2011</td>
<td>PCR Primer</td>
<td>CGCGGGCGTAATGTG ATTTACTATCATAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sequenced-based reagent</td>
<td>mCherry-Seq fwd</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>PCR Primer</td>
<td>ACGGCCAGTTCATC TACAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sequenced-based reagent</td>
<td>Primer</td>
<td>PCR Primer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mCherry-Seq rev</td>
<td>TTCAGGCTCTCTGCTT GATCTC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex253_rev1</td>
<td>AGCTCAAAATAGGG AACTCCG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apex286_fwd1</td>
<td>TACCAGTTGGCTGG CGTTGTT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para qPCR Primer</td>
<td>Thermofisher Scientific</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat#4331182 Dm01813740 _m1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPL32 qPCR Primer</td>
<td>Thermofisher Scientific</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat#4331182 Dm02151827 _g1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>commercial assay or kit</td>
<td>RNeasy Kit</td>
<td>Quiagen Cat#74104</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>commercial assay or kit</td>
<td>Quantitect Reverse Transcription Kit</td>
<td>Quiagen Cat#205313</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>commercial assay or kit</td>
<td>Taqman™ gene expression assay, Universal Master Mix II, with UNG</td>
<td>Thermofisher Scientific Cat#4440038</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software algorithm</td>
<td>GraphPad PRSIM</td>
<td>GraphPad Software, USA Version 6.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software algorithm</td>
<td>Fiji</td>
<td><a href="https://imagej.net/software/fiji/">https://imagej.net/software/fiji/</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software algorithm</td>
<td>ZEN Software</td>
<td>Zeiss Black version</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software algorithm</td>
<td>Affinity Photo</td>
<td>Serif (Europe)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software algorithm</td>
<td>MatLab</td>
<td>The MathWorks, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software algorithm</td>
<td>Photoshop CS6</td>
<td>Adobe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>para^mCherry</strong></th>
<th><strong>Primary neural cell culture</strong></th>
<th><strong>UAS-flp, 94G06-Gal4, para^{FlipTag}</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Image A" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Image C" /></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Image D" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Image A'" /></td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Image C'" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Image E" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UAS-flp, 94G06-Gal4, para^{FlipTag}</strong></td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Image F" /></td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Image G" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image9" alt="Image F'" /></td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="Image G" /></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legend:**
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- **HRP**
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**Cluster spacing [nm]:**
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ppk-Gal4, UAS-tdTomato, UAS-flp, paraFliTag