Real-time tracking of drug binding to influenza A M2 reveals a high energy barrier
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ABSTRACT

The drug Rimantadine binds to two different sites in the M2 protein from influenza A, a peripheral site and a pore site that is the primary site of efficacy. It remained enigmatic that pore binding did not occur in certain detergent micelles, and in particular incomplete binding was observed in a mixture of lipids selected to match the viral membrane. Here we show that two effects are responsible, namely changes in the protein upon pore binding that prevented detergent solubilization, and slow binding kinetics in the lipid samples. Using 55–100 kHz magic-angle spinning NMR, we characterize kinetics of drug binding in three different lipid environments: DPhPC, DPhPC with cholesterol and viral mimetic membrane lipid bilayers. Slow pharmacological binding kinetics allowed the characterization of spectral changes associated with non-specific binding to the protein periphery in the kinetically trapped pore-apo state. Resonance assignments were determined from a set of proton-detected 3D spectra. Chemical shift changes associated with functional binding in the pore of M2 were tracked in real time in order to estimate the activation energy. The binding kinetics are affected by pH and the lipid environment and in particular cholesterol. We found that the imidazole-imidazole hydrogen bond at residue histidine 37 is a stable feature of the protein across several lipid compositions. Pore binding breaks the imidazole-imidazole hydrogen bond and limits solubilization in DHPc detergent.

1. Introduction

The tetrameric matrix protein 2 (M2) from influenza A (Kochendoerfer, 1999; Sakaguchi et al., 1997; Sugrue and Hay, 1991) forms a central pore through which protons can permeate at low pH. The protein is the target of two aminoadamantyl drugs amantadine and rimantadine that bind to the pore (Cady et al., 2010; Pielak et al., 2011; Stouffer et al., 2009). These drugs alter the pH sensitive proton conduction of the protein, and thereby interfere with the endosomal infection pathway in which low pH triggers release of viral RNA (Sugrue and Hay, 1991; Sugrue et al., 1990). Widespread resistance to these inhibitors in recent flu seasons has led to removal of both inhibitors from the market, and replacements are sought for the common rimantadine resistant variants. These efforts have led to the identification of several compounds that block resistant strains (Li et al., 2016; Rey-Carrizo et al., 2014; Thomaston et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2014; Balanik et al., 2009).

The full length protein is 97 residues, and each monomer contains a largely unstructured N-terminus, which points towards the outside of the virus particle, a single pass transmembrane (TM) alpha helix followed by an amphipathic helix, and finally a C-terminal domain proposed to interact with matrix protein 1 (Lamb et al., 1985). The minimum sequence needed to recapitulate the conduction and drug inhibition properties of the full length protein is comprised by the TM and amphipathic helices, roughly residues 18–60 or 22–62 (Ma et al., 2009; Peterson, 2011; Pielak et al., 2009). Such protein constructs are referred to as the conductance domain (CD). The TM domain alone is still drug sensitive, and its structure has been captured in a variety of conformations, both with (Cady et al., 2010; Stouffer et al., 2008; Thomaston et al., 2020) and without drug (Thomaston and &. 2016), and at a resolution allowing the measurement of precise water positions (Thomaston et al., 2018; Acharaya et al., 2010; Thomaston et al., 2015; Thomaston et al., 2017).

Structures have been reported for CD constructs in both detergent and lipid environments (Pielak et al., 2009; Thomaston et al., 2020; Andreas et al., 2015; Pielak and Chou, 2010; Schnell and Chou, 2008; Sharma et al., 2010). Of these, fourfold symmetric structures were...
determined from a detergent environment by solution nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), as well as by crystallography of V27A M2 in lipidic cubic phase in complex with a spiro-adamantane molecule (Thomaston et al., 2020). While the first crystal structure of M2 using the TM peptide, residues 22 to 46 was asymmetric (Stouffer et al., 2008), later structures of TM M2, including structures solved in lipidic cubic phase exhibited 4-fold symmetry (Thomaston and &, 2016; Acharya et al., 2010; Thomaston et al., 2017). For CD M2 comprising residues 22–62, a single set of peaks was identified in oriented membrane NMR data, from which a symmetric tetrameric structure was derived, whose 4-fold symmetry is broken only by intermolecular hydrogen bonding at residue H37 (Sharma et al., 2010). In contrast, two sets of peaks were observed in MAS spectra of CD M2 comprising residues 18–60, for the lipids 1,2-diphtytyanoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPhPC) and 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC). (26) The two sets of peaks are the result of a two-fold symmetric structure that has inequivalent resonances for peptide chains ’A’ and ’B’ of the tetramer. The two-fold dimer-of-dimers symmetry is evident for many residues of M218-60, and is particularly evident at H37, which forms intermolecular imidazole-imidazole hydrogen bonds (Movellan et al., 2020). Spectra of CD M2 in more complex lipids or in 1,2-dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DMPC) demonstrate broader lines, and a dimer-of-dimers structure was not detected under these conditions for M221-61 (Cady and Wang, 2011).

In the earliest work on oriented TM domain samples, conformational changes were detected upon addition of amantadine that allowed a detailed determination of the monomer structure of the bound form (Hu and Fu, 2007). Later measurements on the CD domain succeeded in determination of the apo structure of both the transmembrane and amphipathic helices, from which a tetrameric structure was assembled based on imidazole-imidazolium dimerization (Sharma et al., 2010; Hu et al., 2006). Intriguingly, a chimeric protein containing the drug binding site from influenza A M2, but the C-terminal sequence of influenza B M2, showed changes in helix packing upon rimantadine binding (Pielak et al., 2011), yet the influenza A tetramer in 1,2-dihexanoyl-sn-glycerophosphocholine (DHPC) micelles was insensitive to the drug (Schnell and Chou, 2008). In all such cases where the drug binds to the pore, large chemical shift changes, exceeding 1 ppm in both 15N and 13C dimensions, are also observed throughout the protein, suggesting again that the drug induces a repacking of the transmembrane helices. The large shift changes associated with pore binding are shown in Fig. 1 for rimantadine binding to CD M2 (residues 18–60) reconstituted in a DPhPC lipid bilayer.

Despite this wealth of structural data in the literature, it remains unexplained how the functionally relevant CD did not bind drug in the pore when reconstituted in DHPC micelles (Schnell and Chou, 2008). Similarly, the CD construct only partly bound drug to the pore when reconstituted in lipid bilayers with concentrations of cholesterol and sphingomyelin selected to match the composition in viral membranes, yet complete binding was observed for the TM domain in these same lipids (Cady and Wang, 2011), and also for the CD in DPhPC lipids (Andreas et al., 2010).

Here we investigate the potential reasons behind these observations via NMR. We used M218-60 reconstituted in lipids as a dimer-of-dimers structure and characterized two effects. Firstly, we find that slow kinetics of drug binding, which are modulated by the lipid composition and pH, can kinetically trap the sample in the pore-unbound state. Secondly, the protein solubility in detergent is altered when drug is bound to the pore. Control of the kinetics via low temperature additionally allows separation of specific and non-specific chemical shift perturbation (CSP), and to associate the large changes in chemical shift at functionally important residues to the specific effects of the drug.

2. Materials and methods

Expression and lipid reconstitution was carried out as described
protein from the 1.3 mm rotors were resuspended in a 300 mM DHPC solution, which is identical to the detergent conditions previously reported for the solution NMR structure determination.(24) These samples were centrifuged at low speed (16,000 g with a benchtop centrifuge) and the supernatant was transferred to a 3 mm solution NMR tube and measured in a 600 MHz Bruker NMR spectrometer equipped with a cryoprobe. The pellet from the resolubilized pore-bound sample was packed in a 1.3 mm rotor and measured at in 800 MHz Bruker NMR spectrometer equipped with a three-channel narrow bore 1.3 mm probe at 55 kHz MAS.

NMR data were processed using Bruker Topspin 3.6 and analyzed using CcpNmr (Skinner et al., 2016).

In order to estimate the activation energy, we assumed Arrhenius behavior and estimated the initial rate as the slope between about 95% and 50% of the initial intensity (see Table S6 for exact information about the values used for the plots). The error estimation includes only the spectrum noise, and is therefore a minimum error estimate. It does not include other potential sources of error, for example in the timing (or temperature) of the incubation step, which may arise due to accidental warming of the sample during handling.

Assignment data was acquired at a 950 MHz Bruker NMR spectrometer with a 0.7 mm narrow bore MAS NMR probe using amide proton detected assignment spectra (Barbet-Massin et al., 2014). The measurement was acquired using VT gas set to a thermocouple temperature of 260 K (sample temperature of approximately 10 to 15 C) and with 100 kHz MAS for apo M2. For non-specific binding, the MAS was reduced to 80 kHz to reach a sample temperature of about 5 C, which prevents drug binding during the measurement.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Specific rimantadine binding in the pore.

Recombinant M2_{18-60} was reconstituted in perdeuterated DPhPC lipids. As previously described, the M2 tetramer assembles as a dimer-of-dimers structure under these conditions (Andreas et al., 2015; Andreas et al., 2010; Movellan et al., 2020; Movellan et al., 2021). The sample was incubated with 40 mM rimantadine (Rmt) and then packed into a 1.3 mm MAS NMR rotor. After rotor packing, the sample was placed in a water bath to control incubation time and temperature during binding. Incubation was paused by transferring the rotor to a 4 °C water bath and the measurement at the spectrometer was carried out at low enough temperature that minimal binding occurs during measurement. Fig. 1 shows proton detected magic-angle spinning (MAS) NMR spectra of an apo sample (Fig. 1A, blue), as well as a Rmt-containing sample, which has fully converted to the bound state (Fig. 1A, red). Large chemical shift perturbations are evident, and they occur due to binding of Rmt to the pore as previously observed (Andreas et al., 2010; Cady and Wang, 2011). Note in particular the ~7 ppm change of the S31 amide nitrogen (shown in the 13C{1H} projection of the 3D (H)CANH spectrum, Fig. 1B). Consistent with previous studies in phosphocholine lipid bilayers, large chemical shift perturbation (CSP) of >2 ppm for 13N and >1 ppm for 12C, occur throughout the TM region residues 27 to 42 upon pore binding (Andreas et al., 2015; Cady and Wang, 2011; Cady and Hong, 2008). We refer to pore binding as specific since it is the primary site of inhibition. An increase in linewidths is observed in the (H)NH spectra of the pore-bound sample (Fig. 1A, red). This likely results from changes in structural dynamics, but might also reflect changes in helical packing within the tetramer structure of M2 upon pore binding. In the (H)CANH spectrum (Fig. 1B, red) most of the peak doubling is no longer resolved, due in part to a smaller difference between the chemical shifts of A and B peaks, which is suggestive of a more symmetric structure upon pore binding. These interpretations are also consistent with the loss of the interhelical hydrogen bond at residue 37 in the rmt-bound state, which was previously reported (Movellan et al., 2020). As in previous studies, when the sample was handled at room temperature, rather than 4 °C,
complete binding was observed.

To further characterize the bound state, which also exhibits peak doubling, a full set of proton-detected 3D spectra were acquired for assignment proposes (Barbet-Massin et al., 2014). We were able to identify two distinct sets of peaks for residues V26 to G35 in the bound state. We name these as chain A and B. Inter-residue linking spectra connecting residues A30 to I35 of both A and B are shown in Figs. S12 and S13. Note that for this pore bound case, it is not strictly known if the two chains assemble as one tetramer or come from distinct tetramer conformations. Unambiguous assignments span from residue L26 to I35 of both A and B as indicated in the legend. In (Fig. S2A), with distinct specific resonance positions, can be seen clearly for G34 (Fig. S1E), with distinct 15N chemical shifts at about 106.5 ppm and at 109.5 ppm, respectively. The chain B resonances in the apo state could be assigned continuously up to residue 54, while the chain A resonances were only assigned to residue 43. It is unclear whether this difference is due to structural dynamics in Chain A or rather that the Chain A and Chain B chemical shifts could not be distinguished for C-terminal residues. Note that two additional residues (S33–S44) could be assigned as compared with our previous assignments from S31N M2 (Andreas et al., 2015). The slow kinetics of pore binding at 4 °C is qualitatively consistent with the fact that glycerol was observed to kinetically prevent pore binding (Andreas et al., 2013). The glycerol was used in these previous studies in order to form a glassy sample for low temperature dynamic nuclear polarization (DNP) enhanced measurements.

Kinetic control allowed characterization of non-specific CSP. Apo assignments were carried out at 100 kHz (Figs. S2-S3). For assignment of the non-specifically drug-bound sample, we reduced the spinning to 80 kHz MAS with a 0.7 mm rotor and decreased the set temperature to 250 K to reach a sample temperature of ~5 °C to minimize pore binding by Rm during acquisition. Fig. 2B shows the excellent resolution obtained under these conditions for a non-deuterated sample, which allowed us to transfer apo assignments to the non-specific bound state using 3D triple resonance spectra and obtain residue specific CSP information. Consistent with previous reports (Andreas et al., 2015; Andreas et al., 2010; Can et al., 2012), the existence of two sets of peaks in the TM region indicates a dimer of dimers structure. The two sets of peaks are indexed here as chain A (for which assignments extend from L26 to W41), and chain B (assignments from residue V27 to F54). P25 was not assigned here, although it is part of the rigid helix (Andreas et al., 2010), since it is not detected in amide proton based spectra. The CSP was calculated for each residue as $\Delta \delta = \sqrt{\left(\Delta \delta N\right)^2 + 1.4 \Delta \delta H + 0.3 \Delta \delta C}$ (Williamson, 2013) and the individual non-specific CSP for each of H37, N, and Cβ, is shown in Fig. 4. The chemical shifts are tabulated in Tables S1-S3.

All non-specific CSP values are small, similar to CSP observed previously between two lipid environments (Andreas et al., 2010). In the TM region, chain A shows elevated non-specific CSP, above 3 times the

3.2. Characterization of non-specific rimantadine binding.

In contrast, when the sample is kept at 4 °C, only small chemical shift changes, below 0.5 ppm, were observed in the presence of drug (Fig. 2). We refer to these small changes as non-specific effects of the drug. These non-specific shift changes can be compared with the pore-bound shift changes in Fig. S1A-D. Even after several weeks of incubation at 4 °C, peaks indicative of pore binding were absent, while both pore-bound and non-specific (i.e. pore-unbound) populations were present after a week of incubation at 20 °C (Fig. S1D-E). The two populations, non-specific and specific resonance positions, can be seen clearly for G34 (Fig. S1E), with distinct 15N chemical shifts at about 106.5 ppm and at 109.5 ppm, respectively. The chain B resonances in the apo state could be assigned continuously up to residue 54, while the chain A resonances
standard deviation (> ~0.14 ppm), toward the C-terminus, from G34 to W41 with a cluster of larger values of ~0.2, ~0.2 ppm and ~0.17 for residues I35, I39 and W41 respectively. In contrast, chain B shows elevated non-specific CSP for residues V28, ~0.16 ppm, and G34, 0.15 ppm, located at the N-terminus of the TM. The ~0.1 to 0.2 ppm non-specific CSPs are much smaller than the averaged CSPs observed for specific binding to the pore, which are nearly 1 ppm for several residues spanning the TM helix, and that have previously been attributed to conformational changes (Andreas et al., 2010; Cady and Hong, 2008; Hu et al., 2007). The small non-specific CSPs are likely due to a combination of partitioning of drug to the membrane (Cady and Wang, 2011; Wang et al., 2004) as well as to association at the peripheral binding site identified previously (Schnell and Chou, 2008), and consistent with DNP data (Andreas et al., 2013). Elevated Cs non-specific CSP of above 0.35 ppm was observed for residues F47, F48, and Y52 near this peripheral site (Fig. S4). The non-specific CSP of 15N, 13C and 1H are plotted individually in Fig. S4. While the CSPs for non-specific binding are small in comparison to specific CSPs linked to changes in the tetramer quaternary structure (Movellan et al., 2020), they are distributed across the helices and include the pore facing residue G34, which is sensitive to membrane conditions and can form a kink (Hu et al., 2007). This emphasizes a small but detectable degree of sensitivity of the channel to the change in environment, a topic explored more generally for the TM construct (Hu et al., 2011).

Residues H37 and W41 are key functional residues controlling conduction in response to pH. It has recently been shown that there is an imidazole - imidazole hydrogen bond in the Apo state and that the hydrogen bond is broken upon Rmt pore binding (Movellan et al., 2020). H37 N–H–N hydrogen bonding persists at a lower pH of 6.2 in a full length construct (Fu et al., 2020). Non-specific binding causes small CSP at the H37Nc and the imidazole - imidazole hydrogen bond was still present (Fig. 3). This indicates that the non-specific effects and peripheral binding likely have at most a minor influence on the pore structure. However, since the pore-bound preparations are also likely bound peripherally, we cannot exclude that the two binding locations act cooperatively.

3.3. Measurements of rimantadine pore-binding kinetics in different environments.

To kinetically characterize the binding process, samples were spun at reduced rates in order to keep the temperature of the sample at ~5 °C during NMR measurement. For 1.3 mm rotors, this was only 40 kHz MAS with cooling gas at 240 K. We primarily used low LPR samples of 1 to 1 by mass, which correspond to about 25 lipids per tetramer. This lipid composition was optimized previously from an initial condition with about 50 lipids per tetramer, which resulted in an identical spectrum (Andreas et al., 2010). These conditions result in an acquisition time of only a few hours for the (H)NH spectrum, which reduces the potential risk of pore binding during acquisition. To control the drug concentration, about 0.75 mg of M2 protein (~3 μL) was pre-packaged in a 1.3 mm rotor and incubated in 200 μL of 40 mM Rmt at 4 °C overnight. The samples, then in the non-specific bound state, were re-packed in either 0.7 mm or 1.3 mm rotors. After recording an initial (H)NH spectrum, the entire packed rotor was then incubated at 25, 40, or 55 °C in a water bath. The rotors were kept closed during the incubation process, which ensures consistent sample conditions at each time point. Binding was tracked by periodically removing the rotor from the water bath, recording an (H)NH spectrum, and replacing the sample in the bath. For measurement conditions of 40 kHz MAS with a non-deuterated membrane protein sample, the resolution is not sufficient to characterize binding kinetics using signals from all amino acids. Residues that report on Rmt pore binding and are particularly well resolved in the 2D spectrum are the side chain of H37 and the backbone of G34. We therefore tracked the intensities of H37Nc and G34N of both chains over time and at different temperatures (Fig. 4 and Fig. S5), and used these data to estimate the energy barrier of Rmt binding (Fig. S6 and Table S7). At a 55 °C incubation temperature, full binding is observed in a few hours, while at 40 °C, binding occurred within 3 days. Fig. 4 shows the combined intensities of H37Nc from chains A and B. Surprisingly, at temperatures of 25 °C (Fig. 4, blue) and 40 °C (Fig. 4, green) the curves do not follow an exponential decay as would be expected for pseudo first order kinetics but rather proceed more slowly at first, indicating kinetic cooperativity. Expectation of pseudo first order kinetics is justified by drug concentration in high excess. Note also that Rmt partitions to the membrane (Cady and Wang, 2011; Wang et al., 2004). A possible explanation for non-exponentiality is that neighboring tetramers may interact in the dense and highly concentrated NMR sample, such that binding is accelerated when a neighboring tetramer is already bound. This is consistent with the recent observation by MAS NMR and coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations showing that M2 has a tendency to cluster in lipid bilayers (Paulino et al., 2019). The slow kinetics is in contrast to fast blocking of proton currents observed in functional assays as discussed further below (Pielak et al., 2009).

An initial rate approximation was used to estimate the energy barrier (Ea) using the Arrhenius equation, \( k = Ae^{\frac{-Ea}{RT}} \) with \( k \) the rate, \( Ea \) the activation energy, \( R \) the gas constant and \( T \) the temperature. Pseudo first order kinetics is justified, considering that the high drug concentration remains relatively stable during the measurement. (A conservative estimate considering a protein tetramer concentration of ~12 mM and ~300 mM of lipids in the rotor and without concentration of the lipophilic drug in the membrane results in consumption of below 30% of the applied drug at saturation of all pore binding sites). Fig. S6 shows the Arrhenius plot of ln(k) vs 1000/T were the slope gives direct access to the activation energy (slope = -Ea/R). Approximating the rates based on initial points until 50% decay of intensity, an activation energy of 122 ± 16 kJ per mol is found. The determination was repeated for individual
protein cross-peaks, namely the side chain N(2)-H(2) cross-peak of His37 and N(4)-H cross-peak of Gly34 (Table S4-7). This is a relatively high activation energy, similar to the deactivation barrier encountered for enzymes, (D’Amico et al., 2003) and is therefore consistent with substantial change in the helical packing to accommodate drug entry into the pore. It suggests that the pore is not easily accessible to the drug in the apo state, consistent with previous reports indicating that the pore accessibility through the N-terminus is limited by residue V27, referred to as the secondary gate (Yi and Cross, 2008).

Structural data suggests several processes in M2 that can account for this high energy barrier, namely structured water reorganization, imidazole-imidazole hydrogen bond disruption and pore opening. Studies on similar systems, where the pore contains a cluster of organized water molecules, have shown that the reorganization of water molecules necessitates ~3-fold higher activation energies than bulk water, (Chernyshev and Cukierman, 2002) but this is still only ~30 kJ per mol (Pomès and Roux, 1998). X-ray structures suggest that during pore binding, Rmt displaces water molecules found at the N-terminus of the pore (Thomaston et al., 2015; Thomaston et al., 2017). In addition to the water displacement, Rmt pore binding disrupts the imidazole – imidazole hydrogen bond (Movellan et al., 2020) yet this accounts for an energy of ~10 kJ per mol. (Wendler et al., 2010) Therefore helix repacking and transient opening of the N-terminus are likely to be responsible for the bulk of the activation energy.

Both the membrane composition and the M2 protein sequence can be expected to modulate the binding kinetics, in particular considering the differences in protein mobility and amantadine drug binding that were reported previously for TM and CD M2 constructs (Cady and Wang, 2011). Recent molecular dynamics data provided additional evidence of the importance of the amphipathic helix for the pH gating mechanism (Torabifard et al., 2020). We therefore reconstituted the CD construct into two additional membranes, one with DPhPC and 30% cholesterol and another in a mixture of lipids (see experimental section) referred to as the viral membrane (VM) composition, used previously by Hong and co-workers (Cady and Wang, 2011). (H)NH and (H)CANH spectra of M2 reconstituted in DPhPC with 30% cholesterol have excellent 1H, 15N and 13C resolution similar to DPhPC membranes (Fig. 4C-E, Fig. S7 and Fig. S8). Although broader lines are observed in the (H)NH and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2) and (H)CANH spectra recorded for the VM, the (H)NH spectra of all three samples show similar side chain histidine proton chemical shifts (HN+H2).
for the NMR preparations. In other words, the high protein and drug concentrations used in this study could potentially impact the conformational landscape of the protein. To begin to address this, we therefore recorded spectra using a high LPR of 20 (by mass) and recorded an apo spectrum. It displays the histidine peaks characteristic of imidazole-imidazole hydrogen bonding, with no significant changes in chemical shift detected (Fig. S9A). We additionally found that the characteristic H37 peaks persist in different lipid compositions with an LPR of 20 by mass, corresponding to 1 M2 tetramer for 450 lipid molecules (Fig. S9B-C). Unfortunately, the lower sensitivity of dilute samples prevented characterization of binding kinetics by NMR. For kinetics, low LPR of 1 to 1 by mass was used (25 to 1 by mole tetramer), which results in high NMR sensitivity, short acquisition time, and thus minimization of pore binding during acquisition. The high drug concentrations used in this study might also unduly influence the protein in the bound state in a non-specific manner. A 300 μM concentration of Rmt was therefore also applied, and confirmed to break the imidazole-imidazole hydrogen bonding interaction, and to shift the glycine amide peaks to the characteristic positions observed for pore-bound samples containing higher drug concentrations (Fig. S9D).

Drug binding may occur in vivo at the lower pH of the Golgi or endosomes encountered by the virus during its life-cycle. The Rmt pore-binding kinetics are indeed faster at pH 6, which is close to the pH value of 6 to 6.7 pH found in Golgi (Kellokumpu, 2019). Upon addition of Rmt at pH 6, the histidine side chain peaks are almost fully decayed after 23 h at 40 °C, which is 2- to 3-fold faster than at pH 7.8 (Fig. S10). Previous studies have shown an interchain imidazole-imidazole bond at Histidine 37 (His37) position (Movellan et al., 2020; Fu et al., 2020). Based on M2 pKa measurements (Hu et al., 2006; Colvin et al., 2014; Hu and Schmidt-Rohr, 2012; Miao et al., 2015), a pH of 6 results in population of multiple charge states at His37, including a significant population of the +3 charge state that is understood to be responsible for proton conduction. Loosening of the channel due to electrostatic repulsion in the positively charged His37 side chains provides an explanation for the faster binding kinetics at low pH.

3.4. Changes in the properties of M2 induced by pore binding prevent solubilization by DHPC detergent.

Since we observed slow kinetics in lipid bilayer samples, we thought that this might be a limiting factor for drug binding in DHPC micelles as well. However, we could not prepare pore-bound samples in micelles by first binding Rmt in lipids, and then solubilizing in detergent. A solution of 300 mM DHPC micelles was prepared and used to solubilize Rmt-bound M2 from the DPhPC lipid preparation (final detergent to lipid ratio of about 76). While some protein was solubilized, a large precipitate remained (Fig. 5A). A second membrane sample of M2 without Rmt was fully solubilized in DHPG. Solution 1^H-N-HSQC spectra were recorded for both supernatants (Fig. 5B), and both matched the pore-apo M2 spectrum previously reported by Chou and co-workers (Schnell and Chou, 2008). Much lower sensitivity was observed in the supernatant from the bound sample, suggesting that only the remaining pore-apo protein could be solubilized. This was confirmed by MAS NMR measurements of the remaining pellet (Fig. 5C), which resulted in a spectrum nearly identical to the rmt-bound spectrum in lipids. An explanation for this change in solubilization behavior could be that pore binding impacts the protein surface via repacking of the helices such that the solubility in DHPC micelles is decreased. Repacking of the helices due to drug binding in the pore has been suggested previously based on chemical shift perturbations that are observed far from the binding site (Andreas et al., 2010). The fact that a chimeric protein containing C-terminal residues from Influenza B M2 could be solubilized with drug in the pore, as reported by Chou and coworkers (Pielak et al., 2011), suggests that these effects may involve residues towards the C-terminus.

Indeed, large chemical shift changes upon binding were reported for the chimeric protein for many residues, including those of the C-terminus, in particular at A40 (Pielak et al., 2011).

To our surprise, rather than finding a broad spectrum indicative of inhomogeneous aggregated protein, the pellet from the DPHC-detergent-treated bound sample showed nearly the same spectrum as the drug bound sample in lipid bilayers (Fig. 5C). We could not detect deuterated lipids in the pellet (Fig. S11). This suggests that bound tetramers likely pack close together in such a way that the sample remains stable in detergent, which would be consistent with the above explanation that M2 tetramer clustering might explain the observation of non-exponential drug binding kinetics.

4. Conclusions

In summary, we show using proton detection in combination with ultra-fast MAS, that M2 can be kinetically trapped at low temperature in a pore-apo state for several different lipid membrane compositions. This allows measurement of non-specific CSP and tracking of binding kinetics with real time NMR under lipid bilayer conditions that resemble the viral membrane composition. Additionally, the formation of the
imidazole-imidazolium hydrogen bond was observed in each sample irrespective of the lipid composition. Nonetheless, we observed that the pore-binding kinetics are sensitive to the membrane composition and pH. The data suggest that drug binding causes a change in helix packing that impacts the aggregation properties as measured via dissolution of the membrane sample in DPC micelles. This explains the lack of a pore-bound form in the resulting micellar solution. The high energy barrier to binding highlights the importance of kinetics when considering development of new inhibitors targeting the pore of M2, and underscores the potential role of kinetics more generally for pore-targeting small molecules.
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