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**Abstract** The interior oceans of several icy moons are considered as affected by rotation. Observations suggest a larger heat transport around the poles than at the equator. Rotating Rayleigh-Bénard convection (RRBC) in planar configuration can show an enhanced heat transport compared to the non-rotating case within this “rotation-affected” regime. We investigate the potential for such a (polar) heat transport enhancement in these subglacial oceans by direct numerical simulations of RRBC in spherical geometry for $Ra = 10^6$ and $0.7 \leq Pr \leq 4.38$. We find an enhancement up to 28% in the “polar tangent cylinder,” which is globally compensated by a reduced heat transport at low latitudes. As a result, the polar heat transport can exceed the equatorial by up to 50%. The enhancement is mostly insensitive to different radial gravity profiles, but decreases for thinner shells. In general, polar heat transport and its enhancement in spherical RRBC follow the same principles as in planar RRBC.

**Plain Language Summary** The icy moons of Jupiter and Saturn like for example, Europa, Titan, or Enceladus are believed to have a water ocean beneath their ice crust. Several of them show phenomena in their polar regions like active geysers or a thinner crust than at the equator, all of which might be related to a larger heat transport around the poles from the underlying ocean. We simulate the flow dynamics and currents in these subglacial ocean by high-fidelity simulations, though still at less extreme parameters than in reality, to study the heat transport and provide a possible explanation of such a “polar heat transport enhancement.” We find that the heat transport around the poles can be up to 50% larger than around the equator, and that the believed properties of the icy moons and their oceans would allow polar heat transport enhancement. Therefore, our results may help to improve the understanding of ocean currents and latitudinal variations in the oceanic heat transport and crustal thickness on icy moons.

1. Introduction

In the common understanding, most icy satellites in the solar system, for example, the Jovian and Saturnian moons Europa, Ganymede, Titan, and Enceladus, contain a global ocean layer beneath their ice crust (e.g., Nimmo & Pappalardo, 2016), which gained a lot of interest in terms of habitable environments (e.g., Chyba & Hand, 2005; Vance et al., 2018). In order to assess their habitability, it is crucial to understand their flow dynamics. On Enceladus, for instance, eruptions from fault systems at the south pole (see, e.g., Nimmo & Pappalardo, 2016) suggest a strong polar anomaly of enhanced heat transport. Furthermore, the crustal thickness counterintuitively decreases from the equator toward the poles (e.g., Beuthe et al., 2016; Čadek et al., 2019; Hemingway & Mittal, 2019; Kang, 2022; Kang & Jansen, 2022), which suggests a large-scale latitudinal variation of the heat released from the subglacial ocean (Kihoulo et al., 2023). In this study, we investigate possible dynamics inside and the heat transport out of such oceans by direct numerical simulations (DNSs) of rotating Rayleigh-Bénard convection (RRBC) in spherical geometry covering the full range from zero to rapid, nearly subcritical rotation. Therewith, we aim to elucidate a possible factor for a polar enhancement of the heat transport on icy moons.

The canonical RRBC system in planar configuration has been extensively studied experimentally and numerically (see, e.g., the reviews by Ecke & Shishkina, 2023; Kunnen, 2021; Plumley & Julien, 2019; Stevens et al., 2013, and Refs therein). Its dynamical behavior is fully controlled by three dimensionless parameters: the Prandtl number $Pr$ describing the fluid properties, the Rayleigh number $Ra$ setting the strength of thermal driving, and the inverse Rossby number $Ro^{-1}$ as a measure for the importance of rotation relative to buoyancy (full definitions in
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Figure 1. Regime diagram of (planar) rotating Rayleigh-Bénard convection (RRBC) in the parameter space of (a) Ra and Ek \(^1\) and (b) Ra and Ro \(^3\) (after Soderlund (2019), see also Kunnen (2021)): The solid gray line denotes the critical Rayleigh number \(Ra_c\) for the onset of convection (Chandrasekhar, 1961). The solid red line depicts the transition between the rotation-dominated and the rotation-affected regimes based on boundary layer crossing and heat transport maximum per fixed \(Ra\) for \(Pr > 1\) fluids (Yang et al., 2020). Dashed and dotted light red lines are alternative estimates for this transition by Ecke and Niemela (2014) and Julien, Knobloch, et al. (2012), respectively. The dashed and dotted green lines represent the transition between the rotation-affected and the buoyancy-dominated regimes based on Gastine et al. (2016) and for a cylinder with diameter-to-height ratio \(1\) (Weiss et al., 2010), respectively. The blue circles mark the simulations of spherical RRBC in this study (\(Pr = 4.38\)). The shaded areas show the predicted parameter range for several icy moons (10 ≤ \(Pr ≤ 13\)) as given in Soderlund (2019). Line offsets symbolize the \(Pr\) dependence of any transition between \(Pr = 4.38\) like in our simulations and \(Pr = 13\) like the upper bound for the icy moons.

Section 2). The influence of rotation can alternatively be parameterized by the Ekman number \(Ek = Ro / Pr Ra\). Several flow regimes and flow states were discovered and studied over the past decades. The three major regimes based on the trend of heat transport with varying rotation are (a) the buoyancy-dominated regime at relatively slow rotation, where heat transport and flow dynamics remain unaffected compared to the non-rotating case, (b) the transitional rotation-affected regime, where intermediate rotation starts to alter the flow, and (c) the rotation-dominated regime for rapid rotation, where the heat transport steeply decreases with increasing rotation as it impedes vertical motion (Proudman, 1916; Taylor, 1917), see for example, Kunnen (2021) and Ecke and Shishkina (2023). Both rotation-affected and rotation-dominated regimes show a broad variety of subregimes or flow states, all of which are characterized by columnar vortical structures aligned with the rotation axis (e.g., Aguirre Guzmán et al., 2020; Cheng et al., 2015; Julien et al., 1996; Julien, Rubio, et al., 2012; Sprague et al., 2006; Stellmach et al., 2014; Stevens et al., 2009). Due to the huge variety of flow states, there exist various estimates for the boundaries of the above regimes in the literature (see Kunnen (2021) for a detailed overview)—most of them based on RRBC data in the planar configuration. The most common ones are summarized in Figure 1.

An important peculiarity of planar RRBC with \(Pr > 1\) is that Ekman pumping through vertically coherent vortices enhances the heat transport in the rotation-affected regime to exceed its non-rotating value (e.g., Kunnen et al., 2006; Rossby, 1969; Stevens et al., 2013; Zhong et al., 2009). The enhancing effect is most efficient when thermal and kinetic boundary layers have approximately the same thickness (e.g., Julien et al., 2016; Stevens et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2020). This creates a heat transport maximum (per fixed \(Ra\)) that follows \(Ra \propto Ek^{3/2}\) (Figure 1, red line; King et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2020). For very turbulent flows when \(Ra/Pr \geq 10^6\) (Hartmann et al., 2023), the maximum diverges toward weaker rotation and the enhancement magnitude decreases (Yang et al., 2020).

Parameter estimates for icy moon ocean worlds still vary over a wide range (Bire et al., 2022; Soderlund, 2019). Based on the estimates by Soderlund (2019), the subglacial oceans of Europa, Ganymede, Titan, and Enceladus would be situated in the rotation-affected regime (see Figure 1). Given that the water of these oceans has \(Pr \in \{10, 13\}\) (Soderlund, 2019), they arguably have the potential for heat transport enhancement—at least around the poles, where buoyancy is mostly aligned with the rotation axis as in planar RRBC. Such a polar heat transport enhancement could strengthen the latitudinal heat transport variations that are observed in spherical RRBC with \(Pr = 1\) (e.g., Amit et al., 2020; Bire et al., 2022; Kvorka & Čadek, 2022; Soderlund, 2019). We therefore
distinguish between two types of heat transport enhancement: (a) enhancement above the non-rotating heat transport in a specific region is considered as, for example, polar, equatorial, or global enhancement, whereas (b) a larger heat transport at the poles than at the equator is referred to as latitudinal enhancement. Since most simulations of spherical RRBC are conducted for $Pr = 1$ (e.g., Gastine et al., 2016; Soderlund et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2021) and all studies on rotation-induced heat transport enhancement focus on planar RRBC (e.g., Stevens et al., 2009, 2010; Weiss et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2020), we aim to bridge this gap and elucidate the potential of spherical RRBC to show polar and/or global heat transport enhancement. Therefore, we set $Pr_{r} = 4.38$ as in many simulations and experiments of planar RRBC and cover the entire range of regimes (Figure 1).

In the following, we introduce spherical RRBC, its control parameters, and our numerical method (Section 2). Then, latitudinal variations of the heat transport are analyzed and linked to the predominant structures in the flow (Section 3). Subsequently, we discuss the importance of $Pr > 1$ by a direct comparison with $Pr \leq 1$ (Section 4), the influence of the shell thickness, representing the ocean depth (Section 5), the sensitivity to different radial gravity profiles (Section 6), and the relevance of the ratio between thermal and kinetic boundary layers for heat transport enhancement in spherical RRBC (Section 7). The letter ends with conclusions (Section 8).

2. Dynamical Equations and Numerical Method

Spherical RRBC describes the dynamics of a fluid in a spherical shell confined by a hot inner and a cold outer sphere, rotating around a polar axis (Figure 2b) (e.g., Aurnou et al., 2015; Busse, 1970, 1983; Roberts, 1968). The geometry of the system is determined by the inner and outer radii $r_i$ and $r_o$, defining the shell thickness $H = r_o - r_i$ expressed by the radius ratio $\eta = r_i/r_o$. The dynamics are controlled by the three dimensionless parameters $Pr$, $Ra$, and $Ro^{-1}$, defined as:

$$Pr = \frac{\nu}{k}, \quad Ra = \frac{\alpha g_0 \Delta T H^3}{\nu \kappa}, \quad Ro^{-1} = \frac{2\Omega H}{g_0 \Delta T H}$$

Therein, $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity, $\kappa$ the thermal diffusivity, $\alpha$ the isobaric thermal expansion coefficient, $g_0$ the reference gravitational acceleration at the outer sphere, $\Delta T$ the temperature difference between inner and outer sphere, and $\Omega$ the angular rotation rate, respectively. Under Oberbeck-Boussinesq approximation, the system is governed by the continuity, Navier-Stokes and temperature convection-diffusion equations, which are given in dimensionless form as:

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0,$$

$$\frac{d\bar{u}}{dt} = \nabla P + \frac{Pr}{Ra} \nabla^2 \bar{u} - \Theta \frac{g}{g_0} \frac{r}{r_o} e_r, \quad \frac{1}{Ro} e_z \times u,$$

$$\frac{d\Theta}{dt} = \frac{1}{Pr Ra} \nabla^2 \Theta$$

Therein, $u$, $P$, and $\Theta$ denote the normalized velocity, pressure, and temperature fields, respectively. $d/dt$ denotes the full, so-called material derivative. $g r/g_0 r_o \Theta$ accounts for radial variations in the gravity profile. The equations are normalized by $H$ and the free-fall velocity $U_0 = \alpha g_0 \Delta T H$. The temperature is normalized as $\Theta = \frac{T - T_{\infty}}{T_{\infty}} \in [0, 1]$. The pressure field $P$ is reduced by the hydrostatic balance and centrifugal contributions. We consider Coriolis forcing from constant rotation around the polar axis, but neglect centrifugal contributions on buoyancy. Isothermal and no-slip boundary conditions are imposed at the hot inner ($\Theta = 1$) and the cold outer ($\Theta = 0$) spheres.

In this study, we conduct DNSs of spherical RRBC at $Ra = 10^6$ with $Pr = 4.38$, 1 and 0.7 in the range of $0 \leq Ro \leq 33.3$ (0 $\leq ek \leq 1.6 \cdot 10^5$) for different radius ratios $\eta$ and gravity profiles $g(r)$. For the chosen parameters, the Reynolds numbers expectably remain relatively low (Re $\leq 270$, see Tables S1–S3 in Supporting Information S1). The DNSs solve the governing equations (Equations 2–4) by a central second-order accurate finite-difference scheme based on a staggered grid discretization in spherical coordinates (Santelli et al., 2020), which has been rigorously validated in subsequent studies (Wang et al., 2021, 2022). The computational grid is...
uniformly spaced in the longitudinal and latitudinal directions, while the grid points in the radial direction are clustered toward the inner and outer spheres. This ensures an appropriate resolution of the Kolmogorov scales in the bulk, as well as of the boundary layers (Shishkina et al., 2010). A summary of grid sizes and numerical parameters can be found in Text S1, Tables S1–S3 of Supporting Information S1.

3. Polar Heat Transport Enhancement

We begin our investigation on a rather thick shell of \( \eta \approx 0.6 \) with constant gravity \( g(r) = g_0 \). The dimensionless heat transport is given by the Nusselt number \( Nu \). We first consider \( Nu \) on the outer sphere as a function of the latitude \( \varphi \):

\[
Nu_{\varphi} \varphi = \frac{1}{\eta} \cdot \langle \Theta \rangle_{r, \varphi} = \varphi_{r, \varphi}.
\]

Therein \( \cdot_{r, \varphi} \) indicates averaging in time, longitude, and latitudinal symmetry around the equator. For no and slow rotation \( (Ro \leq 0.3) \), the heat transport is expectedly uniform over \( \varphi \) (Figure 2a). Accordingly, the flow is dominated by radial buoyant plumes (Figure 2c), which can organize in a persistent large-scale circulation pattern. Such large-scale circulations are well known from other non-rotating geometries, for example, RBC in cylindrical containers (e.g., Ahlers et al., 2009, and Refs. therein), 2D RBC (e.g., van der Poel et al., 2013, and Refs. therein), or extremely wide domains (Stevens et al., 2018). However, without rotation, the heat transport ideally is radially symmetric, defining a reference value \( Nu_0 = Nu_{\varphi} \varphi = Ro^{1/2} \) (Figure 2a, horizontal dashed line).

At intermediate rotation rates \( (1 \leq Ro \leq 5) \), the heat transport is reduced toward the equator and enhanced toward the poles compared to the non-rotating reference (Figure 2a). Taylor columns aligned with the rotation axis form in the flow (Figure 2d) and alter the heat transport. Their vortical motion impedes the radial heat transport around the equator and leads to the formation of sheet-like thermal plumes around the columnar structures (similar to Aurnou et al., 2015; Soderlund et al., 2012). On the contrary, the Taylor columns support the radial heat transport around the poles by Ekman pumping through their interior (in presence of no-slip boundary conditions, e.g., Stellmach et al. (2014)). For \( \eta \approx 0.6 \), the polar tangent cylinder, that is, the cylinder around the inner sphere aligned with the polar axis, intersects with the outer sphere at latitude \( |\varphi_0| = 53.13^\circ \). We use \( |\varphi_0| \) to distinguish between the “polar region” \( |\varphi_0| < |\varphi| < 90^\circ \), in which ideal axial Taylor columns connect the hot inner sphere with cold outer sphere, and the “low-latitude region” \( |\varphi_0| > |\varphi| > 0^\circ \), in which axial Taylor columns connect the Northern and Southern hemispheres of the outer sphere (Figure 2b). For \( 1 \leq Ro \leq 5 \), \( |\varphi_0| \) clearly correlates with the transition from reduced to enhanced heat transport \( Nu_{\varphi} \varphi \approx Nu_0 \). The rather smooth trend of \( Nu_{\varphi} \varphi \) across \( |\varphi_0| \) however suggests that the inclination between buoyancy (radial) and rotation (axial) additionally influences the enhancement with latitude (as similarly argued by Gastine & Aurnou, 2023).

For rapid rotation \( (Ro \geq 10) \), the latitudinal trend in the heat transport is inverted (Figure 2a). At high latitudes, the heat transport quickly decreases with increasing \( Ro \) down to \( Nu_{\varphi} \varphi \). Toward the equator, the heat transport first increases slightly (compared to the reduction at intermediate rotation), before it also decreases with increasing \( Ro \). With increasing rotation the fluid motion is suppressed in the axial direction and becomes strongly focused in the orthogonal planes (Proudman, 1916; Taylor, 1917, 1923). Thus, convection halts inside the tangent cylinder and the radial heat transport mostly aligned with the rotation axis becomes purely conductive. Toward the equator, quasi-2D vortical motion aligns with radial buoyancy, which helps to longer sustain convective heat transport via sheet-like plumes (Figure 2e). Also for rapid rotation, \( |\varphi_0| \) depicts a major transition in the trend of \( Nu_{\varphi} \varphi \), namely where the heat transport starts to increase toward its equatorial peak value (Figure 2a, see also Gastine & Aurnou, 2023; Wang et al., 2021).

Overall, Figure 2 shows that heat transport enhancement, as known from planar RRBC, is limited to high latitudes inside the tangent cylinder in spherical RRBC. In order to further quantify the polar enhancement, we consider the radial heat transport at the outer sphere averaged (a) over the polar region \( Nu_{\varphi} \varphi \approx Nu_{\varphi} \varphi > \varphi_{\psi, \psi} \), (b) in the complementary low-latitude region \( Nu_{\varphi} \varphi \approx Nu_{\varphi} \varphi < \varphi_{\psi, \psi} \), and (c) globally over the entire sphere \( Nu_{\varphi} \varphi \). In this way, we can demonstrate that the heat transport in the polar region \( Nu_{\varphi} \varphi \) shows the typical enhancement behavior of planar RRBC (Figure 3a, red triangles). Together with the results above (Figure 2), it becomes clear that the
basic mechanisms, which cause the polar enhancement, remain the same, namely: the formation of axially coherent vortical structures bridging the bulk between the hot and the cold source such that Ekman pumping of relatively hot/cold fluid from the boundary layers can support the heat transport along the axial direction. However, no enhancement is found for the global heat transport of the full Rayleigh-Bénard sphere (Figure 3a, gray circles). The enhanced heat transport inside the polar region is globally balanced by the reduced heat transport in the low-latitude region (Figure 3a, green squares). It seems that the equatorial reduction strengthens as the polar enhancement increases.

The amplitude of polar heat transport enhancement compared to \( N_{U_0} \) reaches \( \approx 28\% \) (Figure 3a, red triangles), which is comparable with the enhancement observed in planar RRBC (e.g., Kunnen et al., 2011; Yang et al., 2020;
Figure 3. (a, d) Heat transport $Nu$ relative to the non-rotating reference $Nu_0$ as a function of $Ro^{-1}$ for the full sphere $Nu = Nu_0 \phi$, in the polar region $Nu_0 \phi > \psi_c$, and in the complementary low-latitude region $Nu_0 \phi < \psi_c$. (b, e) Ratio between the heat transport in the polar region $Nu_0$ and the low-latitude region $Nu_0$ as a function of $Ro^{-1}$. (c, f) Ratio of thermal and kinetic boundary layer thicknesses $\lambda_{th}/\lambda_{kin}$ as a function of $Ro^{-1}$ averaged over the inner sphere, the outer sphere, the polar region, and the low-latitude region. (left) For different $\eta$ with constant $g(r) = R_0$, and (right) for different $g(r) \propto r^\alpha$ with fixed $\eta = 0.6$. All data at $Pr = 4.38$, $Ra = 10^6$. The solid, dashed, and dotted vertical lines mark the predicted optimal rotation rate $Ro_{opt}$ in planar rotating Rayleigh-Bénard convection (RRBC) given by $Ra = 24Erk^{3/2}$ (King et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2020), and the predicted onsets of convection in planar and spherical RRBC given by $Ra = 8.7Erk^{4/3}$ (Chandrasekhar, 1961) and $R_{opt} \eta = 6 \approx 2 Erk^{4/3}$ (estimated from Barak et al., 2023), respectively. The influence of $Ro_{opt}$ on these transitions (shaded areas) are very limited (see Sections 6 and 7). The dotted and dashed-dotted horizontal lines emphasize ratio 1 and 0.8, respectively.

Zhong et al., 2009). The polar enhancement is even larger when only a narrower region directly around the poles is considered (see Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1), which emphasizes the additional influence of the tilt between buoyancy and rotation. Despite the absence of a global heat transport enhancement (relative to $Nu_0$ of the non-rotating system), the spatial large-scale variations of the heat transport are more important in geo- and astrophysical contexts, like the ocean dynamics of the icy moons. A direct comparison of $Nu/Nu_0$ yields up to $\approx 50\%$ larger heat transport in the polar region than in the low-latitude region at the maximal polarization (Figure 3b, full circles). For strong rotation this ratio inverts as convection halts earlier in the tangent cylinder and will again saturate at 1 once the system is fully in rest (Gastine & Aurnou, 2023).

4. Dependence on the Prandtl Number

Heat transport enhancement relative to $Nu_0$ in planar RRBC essentially depends on $Pr$. No clear enhancement due to rotation is observed for $Pr < 1$ as the thermal boundary layer is always thinner than the kinetic Ekman layer (King & Aurnou, 2013; Stevens et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2020). To validate this $Pr$ dependence, we conducted additional series of DNSs for $Pr = 1$ and 0.7 (see Table S3 in Supporting Information S1). As expected, the heat transport enhancement $Nu/Nu_0$ inside the polar tangent cylinder of spherical RRBC vanishes (see Figure S2a in
Supporting Information S1). Interestingly, the heat transport in the low-latitude region also decreases with smaller Pr. Therefore, we can still observe some latitudinal enhancement $\text{Nu}_{\theta}/\text{Nu}_{0} > 1$ for $\text{Pr} \approx 0.7$ (see Figure S2b in Supporting Information S1) without any polar enhancement $\text{Nu}/\text{Nu}_{0} < 1$. This agrees with the results from Soderlund (2019) performed at $\text{Pr} \approx 1$. However, the latitudinal enhancement $\text{Nu}_{\theta}/\text{Nu}_{0}$ is significantly smaller than for $\text{Pr} \approx 4.38$. Based on this trend, we conclude that, the polar enhancement $\text{Nu}/\text{Nu}_{0}$, which typically intensifies with increasing $\text{Pr}$ above unity, will additionally amplify the latitudinal enhancement $\text{Nu}_{\theta}/\text{Nu}_{0}$. Since $\text{Pr}$ also affects the heat transport in the low-latitude region, we speculate that for $\text{Pr} \gg 1$, even an enhancement of the global heat transport $\text{Nu}/\text{Nu}_{0}$ is possible. We note that these observations are opposite to the $\text{Pr}$ trends observed with free-slip boundaries (Kvorka & Čadek, 2022).

5. Influence of Shell Thickness

In fact, the oceans of icy satellites are much thinner water layers, hence characterized by a much larger radius ratio than the previous $\eta = 0.6$. For the popular icy satellites indicated in Figure 1, the estimates are in a range of $0.74 < \eta < 0.99$ (Soderlund, 2019; Vance et al., 2018). A larger $\eta$ also results in a larger polar tangent cylinder, in which the axial columns connect inner and outer sphere. When we increase the radius ratio to $\eta = 0.8$, the tangent cylinder starts already at $\varphi_{\text{tc}} \approx 36.87^\circ$ (compared to $\varphi_{\text{tc}} \approx 53.13^\circ$ for $\eta = 0.6$). Interestingly, the heat transport enhancement in the polar tangent cylinder drops to only $\approx 9\%$, whereas the full sphere average remains unchanged throughout the rotation-affected regime (Figure 3a, open symbols). This confirms the trend observed in Bire et al. (2022). Still, it seems counterintuitive since we would rather expect a constant enhancement amplitude in the enlarged tangent cylinder, which also affects the global heat transport. We speculate that the increasing inclination between radial buoyancy and axial rotation toward the edge of wider tangent cylinders reduces the efficiency of vortices pumping heat in the axial direction. Further, the heat transport enhancement directly around the poles reduces from $\text{Nu}_{\theta}/\text{Nu}_{0} \approx 1.47$ for $\eta = 0.6$ to $\text{Nu}_{\theta}/\text{Nu}_{0} \approx 1.26$ for $\eta = 0.8$ (see Figures S1 and S3 in Supporting Information S1), presumably saturating at such a planar-like enhancement amplitude for even larger $\eta$.

Regardless, the heat transport inside the tangent cylinder can still be significantly larger than at the equator, resulting in a latitudinal enhancement up to $\approx 25\%$ for $\eta = 0.8$ (Figure 3b, open symbols). The optimal rotation rate $\text{Ro}_{\text{opt}}$, at which the maximal enhancements are achieved, remains mostly unaffected.

In the rotation-dominated regime, the heat transport in the polar region decreases similarly with $\text{Ro}^{-1}$ for both $\eta$. Convection in the tangent cylinder ceases around $\text{Ro} \approx 8.67^{3/4} \text{Pr}^{1/2} \text{Ra}^{1/4} \approx 13.06$ (Figure 3a, vertical dashed line), derived from the predicted critical Rayleigh number $\text{Ro}_{l}$, $8.7 \text{Ek}^{4/3}$ in planar RRBC (Chandrasekhar, 1961). On the contrary, faster rotation is necessary to suppress convective heat transport in the low-latitude region for larger $\eta$. This reflects that the critical Rayleigh number $\text{Ro}_{l}$ for the equatorial onset of convection in spherical RRBC additionally depends on $\eta$, meaning $\text{Ro}_{l} \approx \text{Ek}^{4/3}$ (see Al-Shamali et al., 2004; Barik et al., 2023; Dormy et al., 2004), in contrast to $\text{Ro}_{l}$ in planar RRBC valid in the likewise oriented tangent cylinder. We find a good agreement for the equatorial onset in our $\eta = 0.6$ data at $\text{Ro}_{l} \approx 0.6 \approx 2.1 \text{Ek}^{4/3}$ (see Figure 3, Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1), estimated from the results shown in Barik et al. (2023) for $\eta \approx 0.6$ and $\text{Ek} \approx 10^{-4}$ to $10^{-5}$.

Lastly, we note the different slopes of the heat transport in the polar region and the low-latitude region in the rotation-dominated regime. They can be attributed to “steep scaling” $\text{Nu} \propto \text{Ra} \text{Ek}^{4 \times 3/4} \text{Ro}^{4}$ in the polar region where Ekman pumping plays an active role (Gastine & Aurnou, 2023; Julien et al., 2016; King et al., 2012, 2013; Plunkley et al., 2016) and (the onset of) “diffusion-free scaling” $\text{Nu} \propto \text{Ra} \text{Ek}^{4 \times 3/2} \text{Ro}^{2}$ in the low-latitude region (Gastine et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2021). More detailed evidence for this can be found in Text S2 and Figure S4 of Supporting Information S1.

6. Sensitivity to Different Gravity Profiles

We further investigate the influence of different radial gravity profiles $g r = \gamma g_{0} r r_{o}^{-\gamma}$. Besides a constant gravity ($\gamma = 0$), we apply a homogeneous self-gravitating profile ($\gamma = 1$) and a mass-centered profile ($\gamma = 2$). For this, we stick to $\eta = 0.6$, because the radial gravity variation is larger in thicker shells and so is its potential impact on the heat transport. Aside from minor deviations, we cannot observe major differences in the normalised $\text{Nu}/\text{Nu}_{0}$ in the rotation-affected regime (until the polar heat transport maximum), including the amplitude of the polar and latitudinal enhancement maxima and their optimal rotation rate $\text{Ro}_{\text{opt}}^{1}$ (Figures 3d and
3c). One might spot a small shift in $Ro^{-1}$ with $\gamma$. Its trend likely arises from a change of the effective Rayleigh number of the system $Ra_{eff}$ ($Ra(r)$), when the gravity varies with $r$: $Ra_{eff}(\gamma = 1) < Ra_{eff}(\gamma = 0)$ ($Ra < Ra_{eff}(\gamma = 2)$) (see Text S3 in Supporting Information S1). Solely in the rotation-dominant regime (beyond the polar heat transport maximum), the heat transport remains considerably larger for smaller $\gamma$, hence increasing $Ra_{eff}$. Thus, the relative heat transport enhancement $Nu/\max Nu_{0}$ for $Ro^{-1} \leq Ro_{opt}$ is mostly unaffected by the gravity profile $g(r)$ in contrast to the absolute values $Nu$ (Gastine et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2022). Especially the amplitude of the polar enhancement maximum $Nu_{max}/\max Nu_{0}$ seems to be insensitive to $g(r)$.

7. Relevance of the Boundary Layer Ratio

In planar RRBC, the heat transport maximum for $Ra/Pr \lesssim 10^{3}$ is typically associated with an equal thickness of the thermal and kinetic boundary layers $\lambda_{t}$ and $\lambda_{u}$ (Stevens et al., 2010), which theoretically scales as $\lambda_{t}/\lambda_{u} \propto E_{k}^{3/2} Ra$ (King et al., 2012) giving an estimate for the optimal rotation rate at relatively low $Ra$ (Yang et al., 2020):

$$Ro_{opt}^{-1} \approx 0.12 Pr^{1/2} Ra^{1/2} \text{ or } Ra \approx 24 E_{k}^{3/2}$$

The predicted $Ro_{opt}^{-1}$ nicely aligns with the heat transport maxima in the polar tangent cylinder independent of $\Theta$ and $g(r)$ (Figures 3a and 3d, solid vertical line). Taking $Ra_{eff}$ into account yields $Ro_{opt, eff}^{-1} \approx 0.97 Ro_{opt}^{-1}$ and $Ro_{opt, eff}^{-1} \approx 1.07 Ro_{opt}^{-1}$ (see Text S3 in Supporting Information S1). Both predicted and observed shifts of $Ro_{opt}^{-1}$ with $\gamma$ are mostly negligible.

We further verify the predicted boundary layer crossing by directly computing $\lambda_{t}$ and $\lambda_{u}$ from our DNSs as the height of the first peak in the radial profiles of the laterally averaged root-mean-square temperature and lateral velocity, respectively. Due to the asymmetry of cooling and heating in spherical RRBC, the boundary layer thicknesses differ between inner and outer sphere (Gastine et al., 2015). Therefore, we consider $\lambda_{t}$ and $\lambda_{u}$ separately averaged over (a) the inner and (b) the outer spheres. In addition to the spatial average over the full spheres, we again distinguish between (c) the polar and (d) the low-latitude regions on the outer sphere. Our data confirm such a typical boundary layer crossing for all the regions (a)–(d) in the spherical geometry— independent of $\Theta$ (Figure 3c). Furthermore, the polar heat transport maxima and the predicted $Ro_{opt}^{-1}$ perfectly match to an observed boundary layer ratio of $\lambda_{t}/\lambda_{u} \approx 0.8$ (dotted horizontal line), especially for the polar region (red symbols) and the inner sphere (blue symbols). This fully agrees with the observations of Yang et al. (2020) in planar RRBC based on the same boundary layer definitions. Only for the thinner shell, the boundary layer ratio of the low-latitude region (and consequently also for the full outer sphere) lie slightly below the expected $\lambda_{t}/\lambda_{u} \approx 0.8$. We also relate this to the different flow orientation at the equator, where the inner and outer shells act more like a sidewall for the axial vortex structures compared to the classical configuration in planar RRBC and the alike tangent cylinder. It therefore is even more remarkable that the boundary layer ratio also matches for the low-latitude region in the other cases. For variations of $g(r)$, the agreement with 0.8 is still very good (Figure 3f). These findings strengthen the argumentation by Amit et al. (2020) and Bire et al. (2022) that the transition between “polar and equatorial cooling” follows $Ra \propto E_{k}^{3/2}$.

8. Conclusions

Our DNSs of spherical RRBC with $Pr$ larger than unity ($Pr = 4.38$) confirm the main features of heat transport enhancement, as known from planar RRBC, to similarly occur in the spherical geometry:

1. The three major regimes (buoyancy-dominated, rotation-affected, rotation-dominated) for the heat transport behavior of RRBC can be identified (Ecke & Shishkina, 2023; Kunnen, 2021).
2. Intermediate rotation enhances the heat transport up to $\approx 28\%$ compared to the non-rotating case inside the polar tangent cylinder, where buoyancy is mostly aligned with the rotation axis and axially coherent vortices (Taylor columns) connect the hot inner with the cold outer shell.
3. The maximal (polar) enhancement is determined by an equal thickness of the thermal and kinetic boundary layers $\lambda_{t}/\lambda_{u} \approx 1$. The associated optimal rotation rate $Ro_{opt}^{-1} \approx E_{k}^{3/2}$ can still be predicted via $Ra \approx 24 E_{k}^{3/2}$ as in planar RRBC (King et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2020).
We however find that the polar heat transport enhancement is accompanied by a reduced heat transport at low latitudes outside the tangent cylinder, where buoyancy is mostly orthogonal to the rotation axis and the axially coherent vortices can only connect both hemispheres of the cold outer shell. The equatorial reduction compensates the polar enhancement on the global average on the one hand, which on the other hand results in an even larger latitudinal enhancement of up to ≈50% between the polar and the low-latitude region.

We further clarified that the relative heat transport enhancements $Nu/Nu_0$ and $Nu_{eq}/Nu_{eq0}$ are mostly unaffected by the radial gravity profile. Rather surprisingly, a thinner shell ($\eta < 0.8$, which comes along with a larger tangent cylinder, shows less but still significant enhancement (≈9% for $Nu/Nu_0$ and ≈25% for $Nu_{eq}/Nu_{eq0}$). While we expect the enhancement closely around the poles to converge for large $\eta$, the outer region of wide tangent cylinders will likely show less or no enhancement due to the inclination between rotation and buoyancy, urging for a better objective separation. This and the impression that the polar enhancement remains globally compensated by the equatorial reduction call for consecutive studies toward larger $\eta$, and for larger $Pr$.

The existence of polar heat transport enhancement in spherical RRBC, which increases the latitudinal difference between polar and equatorial heat transport, implies that accounting for $Pr > 1$ can be crucial for simulations of icy satellite oceans. Heat transport enhancement on the one hand increases with larger $Pr$ (e.g., Stevens et al., 2010; Zhong et al., 2009) but on the other hand decreases with larger $Ra$ (e.g., Yang et al., 2020). Hence, the question on how much enhancement persists on icy satellites with $Pr > 4.38$ (10 ≤ $Pr < 13$) and $Ra \gg 10^6$ ($10^{16} \lesssim Ra \lesssim 10^{24}$) needs to be addressed differently as DNS cannot reach these parameters. Similarly, the effects of salinity (see e.g., Ashkenazy & Tziperman, 2021; Kang et al., 2022; Zeng & Jansen, 2021) on the enhancement needs to be further clarified. However, our findings show, in line with evidences from previous studies (Amit et al., 2020; Bire et al., 2022; Kvorka & Čadek, 2022; Soderlund, 2019), that in principle large-$Pr$ related heat transport enhancement could serve as an explanation for latitudinal heat transport and associated ice thickness variations on icy satellites.

Data Availability Statement

The data on which this article is based are openly available in Hartmann (2023).
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