**Whorfian Hypothesis**

[**Daniel Casasanto**](http://www.newschool.edu/NSSR/faculty_psy.aspx?id=57753)

**Introduction**

The Sapir-Whorf hypothesis (a.k.a. the Whorfian hypothesis) concerns the relationship between language and thought. Neither the anthropological linguist Edward Sapir (b. 1884–d. 1939) nor his student Benjamin Whorf (b. 1897–d. 1941) ever formally stated any single hypothesis about the influence of language on nonlinguistic cognition and perception. On the basis of their writings, however, two proposals emerged, generating decades of controversy among anthropologists, linguists, philosophers, and psychologists. According to the more radical proposal, *linguistic determinism*, the languages that people speak rigidly determine the way they perceive and understand the world. On the more moderate proposal, *linguistic relativity*, habits of using language influence habits of thinking. As a result, people who speak different languages think differently in predictable ways. During the latter half of the 20th century, the Sapir-Whorf hypothesis was widely regarded as false. Around the turn of the 21st century, however, experimental evidence reopened debate about the extent to which language shapes nonlinguistic cognition and perception. Scientific tests of linguistic determinism and linguistic relativity help to clarify what is universal in the human mind and what depends on the particulars of people’s physical and social experience.

**General Overviews and Foundational Texts**

Writing on the relationship between language and thought predates Sapir and Whorf, and extends beyond the academy. The 19th-century German philosopher Wilhelm von Humboldt argued that language constrains people’s worldview, foreshadowing the idea of linguistic determinism later articulated in [Sapir 1929](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0007) and [Whorf 1956](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0008) ([Humboldt 1988](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0003)). The intuition that language radically determines thought has been explored in works of fiction such as Orwell’s dystopian fantasy *1984* ([Orwell 1949](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0006)). Although there is little empirical support for radical linguistic determinism, more moderate forms of linguistic relativity continue to generate influential research, reviewed from an anthropologist’s perspective in [Lucy 1997](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0005), from a psychologist’s perspective in [Hunt and Agnoli 1991](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0004), and discussed from multidisciplinary perspectives in [Gumperz and Levinson 1996](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0002) and [Gentner and Goldin-Meadow 2003](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0001).
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**Journals**
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**Anti-Whorfian Literature**

During the latter half of the 20th century, some of the most memorable writing about the Whorfian hypothesis was by its opponents. Leading figures in linguistics ([Chomsky 1973](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0018)), philosophy ([Fodor 1985](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0019)), and psychology ([Pinker 1994](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0022)) appear to have been vying to see who could denounce the notion of linguistic relativity the most emphatically, or the most humorously ([Pullum 1991](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0024)). Even as studies accumulated that caused some scholars to reexamine the Whorfian question in the 21st century, others remained convinced that the Sapir-Whorf hypothesis was flawed in principle ([Bloom and Keil 2001](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0017)), or that the empirical support was weak ([Gleitman and Papafragou 2005](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0020), [Munnich and Landau 2003](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0021)) or uninteresting ([Pinker 2007](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0023)).
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Critique of the Sapir-Whorf hypothesis and the data supporting it.
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An “extremely skeptical” (p. x) assessment of Adam Schaff’s pro-Whorfian stance by an eminent linguist.

Find this resource:

* Fodor, Jerry A. 1985. Précis of *The modularity of mind*. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 8:1–42.

DOI: [10.1017/S0140525X0001921X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X0001921X)[Save Citation »](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml?rskey=qN3bK3&result=1&q=casasanto#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItemGroup-0019)[Export Citation »E-mail Citation »](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml?rskey=qN3bK3&result=1&q=casasanto)
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Evidence that, although a range of spatial relational categories are available to infants, some of these categories become harder to use in adults whose language does not encode them.
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Evidence that the languages children are exposed to can influence their mental representations of motion events.
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Reports cross-linguistic differences in the encoding of path and manner information in spontaneous co-speech gestures.
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An eyetracking study that provides insight into the time course over which event processing is affected by language.
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Explains the linguistic basis for the proposed cross-linguistic difference in event representation.
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The capacity to mentally represent exact numbers of objects larger than three or four appears to be uniquely human, and appears to depend in part on exposure to a counting system in language. [Carey 2004](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0057) sketches a mechanism by which learning to count enables children to construct the concept of number, augmenting their innate cognitive capacities (see [Rips, et al. 2006](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0062) for a counterargument). The role of language in the acquisition of number concepts has been tested in members of Amazonian tribes that lack extensive counting systems in their languages ([Frank, et al. 2008](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0059); [Gordon 2004](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0060); [Pica, et al. 2004](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0061); but see [Casasanto 2005](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0058)). Especially compelling are tests of numerical cognition in deaf people who are members of numerate culture but do not know how to count ([Spaepen, et al. 2011](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0063)). Number is one cognitive domain in which claims for strong linguistic determinism are still viable: It appears that if people are not exposed to counting numbers in language, they do not develop cognitive capacities that most Western adults take for granted (e.g., the ability to distinguish six objects from seven). Beyond its role in the acquisition of number, it appears that language is used online as people perform mental arithmetic ([Spelke and Tsivkin 2001](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0064)).
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A proposal that learning number words, initially as a meaningless word game, enables children to acquire number concepts.
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A critique of the methods and strong Whorfian conclusions of [Gordon 2004](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0060).
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A study of the Pirahãs’ numerical abilities that addresses some of the concerns raised about [Gordon 2004](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0060) and clarifies the facts of the Pirahã number lexicon.
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A study claiming evidence for strong linguistic determinism, on the basis of experiments on the numerical abilities of the Pirahã, an Amazonian group with no words for exact numbers.
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Evidence for a dramatic difference in numerical abilities between speakers of a language with a full counting list and speakers of a language with few number words.
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An argument against the proposal in [Carey 2004](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0057) that children use language to learn number concepts.
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Evidence that deaf people who are not exposed to number words as children do not acquire number concepts, despite living in a numerate society. This study strengthens the claim that language (as opposed to other aspects of culture) is crucial for the development of numerical cognition.
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Evidence of interactions between language and mental arithmetic.
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**Color**

Some of the earliest attempts to test the Sapir-Whorf hypothesis used the domain of color as a test bed. Experiments have led to numerous conflicting pro-Whorfian and anti-Whorfian claims, sometimes by the same researcher analyzing different data sets (see [Brown and Lenneberg 1954](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0067) and [Brown 1976](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0066)) or by different researchers analyzing the same data set (see [Heider 1972](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0069) and [Davidoff, et al. 1999](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0068)). Debates continue about the extent to which color categories in language influence color categories in cognition and perception. A focus of debate has been the role of language in producing categorical perception of colors. Although the wavelength spectrum is continuous, mental color space appears to be divided into discrete categories, such that people are generally more efficient at discriminating colors across category boundaries than within a category (e.g., it is easier to distinguish a green dot from a blue dot than to discriminate two blue dots, even when the pairs of dots differ by the same number of wavelengths). Some scholars have argued that these category boundaries are universal ([Berlin and Kay 1969](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0065)). Yet experiments suggest that mental color boundaries are at least partly determined by language (see [Kay and Kempton 1984](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0070); [Özgen and Davies 2002](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0071); [Regier and Kay 2009](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0072); [Thierry, et al. 2009](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0073)). The conditions under which language influences color discrimination and the cognitive mechanisms by which language has its effects remain areas of active research.
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An ethnographic study that reduces all of the variability in the color vocabularies of the world’s languages to eleven basic terms.
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Brown completely renounces his earlier relativistic interpretation of [Brown and Lenneberg 1954](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0067), arguing for a position of “universalism and linguistic insignificance” (p. 152), on the basis of subsequent research, including [Berlin and Kay 1969](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0065) and [Heider 1972](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0069).
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Evidence that colors that are easier to name are easier to remember. Interpreted as evidence for linguistic relativity (but see [Brown 1976](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0066)).
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A reinterpretation of [Heider 1972](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0069), which was a study of the Dani, and a replication of that study in another Papua New Guinean tribe, the Berinmo. A refutation of Heider’s anti-Whorfian conclusion. This study led to numerous follow-up studies of the Berinmo, by these researchers and others.
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Evidence of similarity in color naming and memory between English speakers and members of the Dani tribe of Papua New Guinea, whose language has only two color terms. Interpreted as evidence against previous linguistic relativity claims.

Find this resource:

* Kay, Paul, and Willett Kempton. 1984. What is the Sapir-Whorf hypothesis? *American Anthropologist* 86:65–79.

DOI: [10.1525/aa.1984.86.1.02a00050](http://dx.doi.org/10.1525/aa.1984.86.1.02a00050)[Save Citation »](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml?rskey=qN3bK3&result=1&q=casasanto#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItemGroup-0070)[Export Citation »E-mail Citation »](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml?rskey=qN3bK3&result=1&q=casasanto)

A proposal and validation of a weak version of linguistic relativity, according to which effects of language on color perception should disappear when people are not using language (but see [Thierry, et al. 2009](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0073)).
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Evidence that categorical perception effects can be induced through training. The authors propose and validate an account of how lexical color terms shape nonlinguistic color categories in the mind, via perceptual learning.
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A concise review of studies showing effects of language on color judgments primarily for stimuli presented in the right visual hemifield, and therefore processed by the left hemisphere of the brain.
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Using electrophysiological measures, the authors demonstrate language-specific sensitivity to color categories, providing the first clear evidence of influences of linguistic color categories on color perception; because the study used an implicit measure of unconscious activity, it is not possible to explain this Whorfian effect based on strategic use of language.
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**Objects**

It may seem surprising that mental representations of concrete objects should be susceptible to influences of language, but this appears to be the case. According to many people’s intuitions, our conceptualizations of things like puddles, pots, and ponies should depend solely on our perceptual experiences with these things, but several lines of research challenge this intuition. One set of studies investigates how grammatically marking a distinction between objects and substances influences people’s construal of ambiguous stimuli ([Lucy and Gaskins 2001](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0078), [Imai and Gentner 1997](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0077); but see [Barner, et al. 2010](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0074)). Related studies investigate effects of nominal classifiers (i.e., particles that classify objects according to their shape) on object perception and categorization ([Srinivasan 2010](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0080), [Gao and Malt 2009](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0076)). Still other studies examine effects of grammatical gender on people’s concepts of inanimate objects. These studies suggest that using a masculine gender marker, such as the French “le” or a feminine marker like “la,” can cause speakers to attribute more masculine or feminine qualities to inherently sexless objects like toasters and teapots ([Boroditsky, et al. 2003](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0075); [Sera, et al. 2002](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0079); [Vigliocco, et al. 2005](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0081)). The mechanisms by which these aspects of grammar affect object concepts, and the circumstances under which Whorfian effects can be observed, are still under investigation.
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A brief review and skeptical evaluation of studies suggesting that count/mass syntax influences people’s object representations.
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Evidence that grammatical gender affects people’s conceptual representations of objects.
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Extensive exploration of Chinese classifiers and of their observed and potential cognitive consequences.
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Evidence that cross-linguistic differences in the count/mass distinction in grammar can influence children’s categorization of objects and substances.
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Evidence that cross-linguistic count/mass syntax influences adults’ construal of objects and substances.
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Evidence of effects of grammatical gender on categorization in Italian but not in German, and an exploration of these positive and negative findings using connectionist modeling.
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Evidence that nominal classifiers influence object processing in a visual search task.
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Evidence that grammatical gender affects lexical semantics; the authors argue that their effects do not extend to nonlinguistic, conceptual representations.

Find this resource:

**Counterfactual Reasoning**

Some languages, like Chinese, lack the subjunctive mood, which is used in other languages like English to express counterfactual scenarios (e.g., If it *were* to rain, then I would take an umbrella). Because counterfactuals are harder to express in Chinese than in English, [Bloom 1981](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0083) posited that Chinese speakers would have more difficulty understanding counterfactual aspects of narratives than speakers of languages like English. Although this proposal appeared to be supported initially, [Au 1983](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0082) challenged Bloom’s claim, suggesting that Bloom’s results were due to awkward translations, and providing experimental evidence that when tested in Chinese, Chinese bilinguals performed better on tests of counterfactual understanding than American subjects did when tested in English.
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A failure to replicate the finding in [Bloom 1981](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0083) that Chinese speakers have difficulty understanding counterfactuals, and a refutation of his Whorfian claim.
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Initial evidence for Bloom’s proposal that Chinese speakers have more difficulty with counterfactual reasoning than English speakers due to the lack of a subjunctive mood in Chinese.
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**Theory of Mind**

Learning to use certain syntactic structures may help children develop theory of mind (i.e., the capacity to think about thinking and to understand what other people know, want, or believe). On one proposal, using complement clauses (e.g., he said *that the apple was an orange*) plays a special role in developing the capacity to reason about false beliefs ([De Villiers and Pyers 1997](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0084), [Lohmann and Tomasello 2003](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0085); but see [Slade and Ruffman 2005](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0088)). Another proposal suggests a similar effect of using evidential morphology. Evidential markers on verbs indicate the source of the speaker’s knowledge (e.g., whether you saw something yourself or only heard about it from another person), and are obligatory in some languages, such as Turkish and Korean. The empirical record shows some results that suggest using evidential morphology may hasten the development of theory of mind ([Papafragou 2002](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0086)) and other results that challenge this proposal ([Papafragou, et al. 2007](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItem-0087)).
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Proposal that understanding complement clauses aids theory-of-mind development.

Find this resource:

* Lohmann, Heidemarie, and Michael Tomasello. 2003. The role of language in the development of false-belief understanding: A training study. *Child Development* 74:1130–1144.

DOI: [10.1111/1467-8624.00597](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00597)[Save Citation »](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml?rskey=qN3bK3&result=1&q=casasanto#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItemGroup-0085)[Export Citation »E-mail Citation »](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml?rskey=qN3bK3&result=1&q=casasanto)

Evidence that aspects of the linguistic discourse, as well as elements of syntax, help children develop an understanding of false beliefs.
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Evidence that three-year-old children who speak Korean (a language with evidential markers) are more successful at false-belief tasks than age-matched speakers of English (a language with no evidential markers), supporting a proposed role for evidential morphology in the acquisition of theory of mind.
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A detailed exploration of the semantics of causation across languages and implications for how people perceive and understand causal events.

Find this resource:

* Wolff, Phillip, Ga-hyun Jeon, and Yu Li. 2009. Causers in English, Korean, and Chinese and the individuation of events. *Language and Cognition* 1:167–196.

DOI: [10.1515/LANGCOG.2009.009](http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/LANGCOG.2009.009)[Save Citation »](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml?rskey=qN3bK3&result=1&q=casasanto#obo-9780199766567-0058-bibItemGroup-0092)[Export Citation »E-mail Citation »](http://www.oxfordbibliographies.com/view/document/obo-9780199766567/obo-9780199766567-0058.xml?rskey=qN3bK3&result=1&q=casasanto)

Experiments showing effects of the linguistic encoding of causation on people’s judgments about events.
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